

## SDC 1 – Study Quality Assessment

Study (Year)	Question																											Rep (11)	EV (3)	IV-B (7)	IV-C (6)	Pow (5)	Total (32)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27						
Ashimine (2014)	1	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	9	3	4	3	0	19
Ejaz (2013)	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	11	3	5	6	0	25
Gloor (2005)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	3	3	2	0	16
Grim (2007)	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	9	3	4	3	0	19
Hirai (2012) <sup>a</sup>	1	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	9	3	4	3	0	19
Loupy (2010)	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	3	3	2	0	16
Montgomery (2009)	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	3	3	2	0	16
Nishida (2009)	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	3	3	2	0	19
Song (2012)	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	3	4	2	0	17
Stegall (2006)	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	9	3	4	3	0	19
Tanabe (2007) <sup>b</sup>	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	10	3	4	3	0	20
Waigankar (2013)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	2	3	0	10

<sup>a</sup> Score based on Ishida et al. (2014) (27)  
<sup>b</sup> Score based on Kohei et al. (2012) (17)  
*EV* – external validity; *IV-B* – internal validity (bias); *IV-C* – internal validity (confounding); *Pow* – power; *Rep* – reporting

## SDC 2 – Search Strategies

### The Cochrane Library

<b>The population – renal transplant recipients</b>	
1	TRANSPLANTATION (MeSH term, this term only)
2	ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION (MeSH term, this term only)
3	exp KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION (MeSH term, this term only)
4	((kidney* or renal* or organ* or viscera*) NEAR/5 transplant*):ti,ab
5	((kidney* or renal* or organ* or viscera*) NEAR/5 graft*):ti,ab
6	((kidney* or renal* or organ* or viscera*) NEAR/5 allograft*):ti,ab
7	<b>#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6</b>
<b>The intervention – rituximab</b>	
8	(rituximab or mabthera or rituxan or CD20 or C2B8):ti,ab
9	<b>#7 AND #8</b>

### OVID Embase

<b>The population – renal transplant recipients</b>	
1	TRANSPLANTATION/
2	ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION/
3	exp KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION/
4	((kidney\$1 or renal\$1 or organ\$1 or viscera\$) adj5 transplant\$).ti,ab.
5	((kidney\$1 or renal\$1 or organ\$1 or viscera\$) adj5 graft\$).ti,ab.
6	((kidney\$1 or renal\$1 or organ\$1 or viscera\$) adj5 allograft\$).ti,ab.
7	<b>or/1-6</b>
<b>The intervention – rituximab</b>	

8	RITUXIMAB/
9	(rituximab or mabthera or rituxan or CD20 or C2B8).ti,ab.
10	or/8-9
11	7 AND 10

#### OVID MEDLINE

<b>The population – renal transplant recipients</b>	
1	TRANSPLANTATION/
2	ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION/
3	exp KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION/
4	((kidney\$1 or renal\$1 or organ\$1 or viscera\$) adj5 transplant\$).ti,ab.
5	((kidney\$1 or renal\$1 or organ\$1 or viscera\$) adj5 graft\$).ti,ab.
6	((kidney\$1 or renal\$1 or organ\$1 or viscera\$) adj5 allograft\$).ti,ab.
7	or/1-6
<b>The intervention – rituximab</b>	
8	(rituximab or mabthera or rituxan or CD20 or C2B8).ti,ab.
9	7 and 8

#### The Transplant Library

<b>The intervention – rituximab</b>	
1	(rituximab or mabthera or rituxan or CD20 or C2B8).ti,ab.

### SDC 3 –Downs and Black Quality Index

#### Reporting

1. Is the hypothesis/aim/objective of the study clearly described?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

2. Are the main outcomes to be measured clearly described in the Introduction or Methods section?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

3. Are the characteristics of the patients included in the study clearly described?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

4. Are the interventions of interest clearly described?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

5. Are the distributions of principal confounders in each group of subjects to be compared clearly described?

*Yes = 2; Partially = 1; No = 0*

6. Are the main findings of the study clearly described?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

7. Does the study provide estimates of the random variability in the data for the main outcomes?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

8. Have all important adverse events that may be a consequence of the intervention been reported?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

9. Have the characteristics of patients lost to follow-up been described?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

10. Have actual probability values been reported (e.g. 0.035 rather than <0.05) for the main outcomes except when the probability value is less than 0.001?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

### External validity

11. Were the subjects asked to participate in the study representative of the entire population from which they were recruited?

*Yes = 1; No = 0*

12. Were those subjects who were prepared to participate representative of the entire population from which they were recruited?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

13. Were the staff, places and facilities where the patients were treated representative of the treatment the majority of patients receive?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

Internal validity – bias

14. Was an attempt made to blind study subjects to the intervention they have received?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

15. Was an attempt made to blind those measuring the main outcomes of the intervention?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

16. If any of the results of the study were based on ‘data dredging’, was this made clear?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

17. In trials and cohort studies, do the analyses adjust for different lengths of follow-up of patients, or in case-control studies, is the time period between the interventions and outcome the same for cases and controls?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

18. Were the statistical tests used to assess the main outcomes appropriate?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

19. Was compliance with the intervention/s reliable?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

20. Were the main outcome measures used accurate (valid and reliable)?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

Internal validity – confounding (selection bias)

21. Were the patients in different intervention groups (trials and cohort studies) or were the case and controls (case-control studies) recruited from the same population?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

22. Were study subjects in different intervention groups (trials and cohort studies) or were the case and controls (case-control studies) recruited over the same period of time?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

23. Were study subjects randomised to intervention groups?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

24. Was the randomised intervention assignment concealed from both patients and health care staff until recruitment was complete and irrevocable?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

25. Was there adequate adjustment for confounding in the analyses from which the main findings were drawn?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

26. Were losses of patients to follow-up taken into account?

*Yes = 1; No = 0; Unable to determine = 0*

#### Power

27. Did the study have sufficient power to detect a clinically important effect where the probability value for a difference being due to chance is less than 5%?

*Size of smallest intervention group:*

$< n_1 = 0$

$n_1 - n_2 = 1$

$n_3 - n_4 = 2$

$n_5 - n_6 = 3$

$n_7 - n_8 = 4$

$n_8 + = 5$