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**Neuropsychological assessment**

Patients completed a standard neuropsychological test battery as a part of the diagnostic workup of the memory clinic using validated Dutch-language tests and appropriate age, education and/or sex-adjusted normative data. The test battery was administered by trained neuropsychologists. The selection of the tests was made according to the psychometric properties and cognitive theory of the tests.1,2 *Speed of information processing* was assessed by the Letter Digit Substitution Test.3 *Episodic memory* was assessed by the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, using the immediate recall score (total number of words recalled over the 5 trials) and the delayed recall score after 20 minutes.4 *Executive function* was assessed by the Concept Shifting Test part C, in which patients alternately connect circles with letters and numbers in ascending order, and Verbal Fluency (1-minute animal and profession naming).5,6 *Language* was assessed by the 30-item short form of the Dutch version of the Boston Naming Task.7
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