SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS 1 2 **METHODS** 3 Alternative diagnostic criteria 4 Neuropsychological test scores (Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test delayed memory recall, Rey 5 Auditory Verbal Learning Test delayed memory recognition, Animal fluency, Boston Naming 6 Test, Trail Making Test Parts A & B) covering three cognitive domains (memory, language, 7 executive function) were entered into a cluster analysis to derive three previously documented 8 subtypes of MCI (amnestic MCI, dysnomic MCI, and dysexecutive MCI), as well as a cluster-9 derived CU group. 1-3 The ADNI-defined CU and the cluster-derived CU were combined into one 10 CU group, and the three cluster-derived MCI subtypes were combined into one MCI group, consistent with several recent studies using ADNI data.^{4–6} 11 12 13 Bayesian linear growth models 14 Bayesian linear growth modeling using the brms package⁷ in R⁸ is a multilevel modeling approach using the probabilistic language Stan. Bayesian growth modelling handles missing 15 participant data and thus boosts statistical power and accommodates varying time windows of 16 17 measurement. This is an important feature of the present approach, as ADNI data is not collected 18 uniformly across the entire study. Similar to interaction effects in regression models, the effect of 19 an independent variable(s) (BPV, time), (or their interaction; BPV by time) on a dependent 20 variable (CSF levels) changes depending on another independent variable (APOE $\epsilon 4$). 21 22 **RESULTS** 23 ADNI diagnostic criteria 24 CU In the ADNI-defined CU group, elevated BPV was associated with increased Ptau levels 25 26 (systolic: B: 5.36 [95% CI 4.81, 5.83]; diastolic: B: 10.77 [95% CI 10.65, 10.87]), increased total 27 tau levels (systolic: B: 2.66 [95% CI 2.31, 3.10]; diastolic: B: 9.66 [95% CI 9.54, 9.74]), and decreased Aβ levels (systolic: β: -1.79 [95% CI -3.75, -.48]; diastolic: β: -1.51 [95% CI -2.70, -28 29 .40]). 30 31 MCI 32 Similarly, elevated BPV in the ADNI-defined MCI group was associated with increased Ptau 33 levels (diastolic: B: 3.03 [95% CI .70, 5.44]; systolic was not significant: B: .18 [95% CI -.30, .67]), increased total tau levels (systolic: β: 1.04 [95% CI .69, 1.39]; diastolic: β: 3.95 [95% CI 34 .73, 7.26]), and decreased Aβ levels (systolic: β: -.65 [95% CI -.92, -.31]; diastolic: β: -2.96 35 36 [95% CI -6.96, -.74) at follow-up. 37 38 Alternative diagnostic criteria 39 40 In the alternative criteria-defined CU group, elevated BPV was associated with increased Ptau levels (systolic: B: 4.94 [95% CI 3.44, 6.33]; diastolic: B: 9.55 [95% CI 9.35, 9.77]), increased 41 42 total tau levels (systolic: B: 1.60 [95% CI .76, 2.44]; diastolic: B: 1.77 [95% CI .77, 2.82]), and

45 <u>MCI</u>

[95% CI -.82, .11]).

43

44

decreased Aβ levels (diastolic: β: -2.33 [95% CI -5.48, -.75]; systolic was not significant: β: -.39

- 1 In the alternative criteria-defined MCI group, elevated BPV was associated with increased Ptau
- 2 levels for diastolic BPV (\(\beta\): .39 [95% CI .01, .77]), decreased Ptau levels for systolic BPV (\(\beta\): -
- 3 3.56 [95% CI -5.90, -1.08]), decreased total tau levels for systolic BPV (β: -2.56 [95% CI -4.74, -
- 4 .36]), diastolic was not significant: β: 3.35 [95% CI -.09, 6.80]), and decreased Aβ levels
- 5 (systolic: β: -.78 [95% CI -1.56, -.32]; diastolic: β: -4.86 [95% CI -6.32, -2.08) at follow-up.

Supplementary Table 1.

Baseline clinical and demographic information of excluded participants.

4

	Total sample $(N = 1858)$
Age (years)	73.2 (7.4)
Sex (<i>n</i> , % female)	886 (47.7%)
Education (years)	16.0 (2.8)
APOE $\epsilon 4$ carriers $(n, \%)$	830 (44.7%)

Supplementary Table 2.

2 Model estimates of systolic BPV, APOE predicting CSF AD biomarker levels with additional

3 covariates

ß (95% credible interval)						
	Ptau		Total tau		Αβ	
Added covariate	BPV x time	BPV x time	BPV x time	BPV x time	BPV x time	BPV x
		x APOE €4		x APOE €4		time x
						APOE €4
History of smoking	.84 (.77, .95)	8.55 (.55,	.83 (.71,	33 (-1.22,	-1.84 (-4.28,	
		14.97)	1.02)	.56)	25)	
History of	.89 (.76,	9.43 (.85,	1.15 (.71,	34 (-1.21,	-1.94 (-5.31,	
dyslipidemia	1.06)	17.30)	1.63)	.52)	22)	
Antidementia	.83 (.74, .97)	9.39 (2.33,	.91 (.70,	34 (-1.21,	-1.82 (-4.54,	
medication use		16.46)	1.16)	.54)	34)	
Clinical diagnosis	.51 (.21, .73)	15.23 (13.45,	.94 (.71,	34 (-1.21,	88 (-2.38, -	
(ADNI)		17.04)	1.25)	.54)	.08)	
Clinical diagnosis	.51 (.23, .73)	11.37 (5.62,	.99 (.71,	35 (-1.22,	-1.78 (-2.41,	
(alt.)		17.08)	1.33)	.53)	09)	
BMI	.51 (.21, .73)	9.99 (2.73,	1.04 (.71,	34 (-1.21,	-1.43 (-2.26,	
		17.15)	1.44)	.53)	11)	
History of alcohol	.51 (.26, .73)	12.81 (8.38,	.98 (.71,	35 (-1.22,	89 (-2.32, -	
abuse		17.09)	1.32)	.52)	.08)	

- 4 Models adjusted for age at lumbar puncture/CSF sample collection, sex, APOE $\epsilon 4$ carrier status,
- 5 baseline MMSE score, years of education, average BP, baseline hypertension, antihypertensive
- 6 medication use and vascular risk.
- 7 Abbreviations: BPV = blood pressure variability; APOE $\epsilon 4$ = apolipoprotein $\epsilon 4$; Ptau =
- 8 phosphorylated tau; $A\beta$ = amyloid-beta; BMI = body mass index; ADNI = Alzheimer's Disease
- 9 Neuroimaging Initiative

Supplementary Table 3.

2 Model estimates of diastolic BPV, APOE predicting CSF AD biomarker levels with additional

3 covariates

1

	ß (95% cred	ible interval)				
	Ptau		Total tau		Αβ	
Added covariate	BPV x time	BPV x time x APOE ε4	BPV x time	BPV x time x APOE ε4	BPV x time	BPV x time x APOE 64
History of smoking	3.71 (2.14, 5.27)	24.75 (18.85, 30.61)	1.85 (.82, 2.84)	25 (-1.20, .75)	-3.28 (-6.82, 32)	
History of dyslipidemia	3.75 (2.13, 5.31)	,	1.96 (.84, 3.02)	26 (-1.18, .70)	-3.02 (-6.28, 34)	
Antidementia medication use	3.76 (2.15, 5.31)	25.13 (19.67, 30.52)	, ,	26 (-1.19, .70)	-3.64 (-7.05, 53)	
Clinical diagnosis (ADNI)	3.94 (2.25, 5.62)	28.35 (27.24, 29.45)	1.92 (.91, 2.87)	27 (-1.18, .64)	-2.29 (-5.27, 30)	
Clinical diagnosis (alt.)	3.91 (2.26, 5.54)	23.47 (17.31, 29.38)	, ,	28 (-1.20, .65)	-2.97 (-6.45, 33)	
BMI	3.82 (2.26, 5.38)	25.77 (22.14, 29.32)	1.86 (.77, 2.94)	28 (-1.20, .66)	-2.57 (-4.80, 31)	
History of alcohol abuse	3.82 (2.25, 5.38)	30.55 (29.93, 31.21)	1.86 (.85, 2.80)	23 (-1.19, .78)	-2.46 (-4.08, 60)	

- 4 Models adjusted for age at lumbar puncture/CSF sample collection, sex, APOE $\epsilon 4$ carrier status,
- 5 baseline MMSE score, years of education, average BP, baseline hypertension, antihypertensive
- 6 medication use and vascular risk.
- 7 Abbreviations: BPV = blood pressure variability; APOE $\epsilon 4$ = apolipoprotein $\epsilon 4$; Ptau =
- 8 phosphorylated tau; $A\beta$ = amyloid-beta; BMI = body mass index; ADNI = Alzheimer's Disease
- 9 Neuroimaging Initiative

Supplementary Table 4.

2 Baseline clinical and demographic information by ADNI-defined clinical diagnosis

Total sample $(N = 466)$			
	CU (n = 165)	MCI (n = 301)	
Age (years)	74.7 (5.5)	72.0 (7.2)	
Sex (<i>n</i> , % female)	77 (46.7%)	126 (41.9)	
Education (years)	16.4 (2.7)	16.3 (2.6)	
APOE $\epsilon 4$ carriers $(n, \%)$	38 (23.0%)	146 (48.5)	
MMSE score	29.2 (1.2)	27.7 (1.8)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.3 (4.9)	27.2 (5.0)	
Vascular risk* (n, % low)	156 (94.6%)	280 (93.0)	
Vascular risk factors (n, %)			
Cardiovascular disease	12 (7.3%)	30 (10.0)	
Diabetes mellitus type 2	10 (6.1%)	25 (8.3)	
Atrial fibrillation	7 (4.2%)	5 (1.7)	
Carotid artery disease	2 (1.2%)	2 (0.7)	
TIA/subclinical stroke	6 (3.6%)	3 (1.0)	
Medication use $(n, \%)$			
Antihypertensive agents	68 (41.2%)	121 (40.2)	
ACE inhibitors	25 (15.2%)	47 (15.6)	
ARBs	9 (5.5%)	21 (7.0)	
Alpha blockers	4 (2.4%)	6 (2.0)	
Calcium channel blockers	16 (9.7%)	18 (6.0)	
Diuretics	13 (7.9%)	26 (8.6)	
Antidementia agents	0 (0.0%)	57 (18.9)	
Systolic BP (mmHg)			
Baseline	135.5 (15.1)	134.2 (17.2)	
Average	134.0 (12.1)	133.3 (13.3)	
VIM	5.2 (3.3)	5.4 (3.3)	
Diastolic BP (mmHg)			
Baseline	74.7 (11.2)	73.9 (9.7)	
Average	74.0 (8.4)	73.5 (7.6)	
VIM	6.0 (1.2)	5.9 (1.2)	

- 3 Means and SDs shown unless otherwise indicated.
- 4 *Baseline vascular risk level determined from presence/absence of individual risk factors
- 5 (history of cardiovascular disease, history of diabetes mellitus type 2, history of atrial fibrillation,
- 6 history of carotid artery disease, history of TIA/subclinical stroke). Risk level is low (≤ 1
- 7 individual vascular risk factor) or high (≥ 2 individual vascular risk factors), as described
- 8 elsewhere. 20,37,38

- 9 Abbreviations: MMSE = Mini Mental State Exam; BP = blood pressure; BMI = body mass
- index: VIM = variability independent of mean; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; CDR-sb =
- 11 Clinical Dementia Rating Scale sum of box score; ACE inhibitors = angiotensin-converting
- enzyme inhibitors; ARBs = angiotensin II receptor blockers; TIA = transient ischemic attack; CU
- 13 = cognitively unimpaired

1 REFERENCES

- Bondi M, Edmonds EC, Jak AJ, et al. Neuropsychological criteria for Mild Cognitive
 Impairment improves diagnostic precision, biomarker associations, and progression rates.
 J Alzheimer's Assoc. 2014;42:275–289.
- Edmonds EC, Delano-Wood L, Clark LR, et al. Susceptibility of the conventional criteria for mild cognitive impairment to false-positive diagnostic errors. Alzheimer's Dement.
 2015;11:415–424.
- 8 3. Clark LR, Delano-Wood L, Libon DJ, et al. Are empirically-derived subtypes of mild cognitive impairment consistent with conventional subtypes? J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2013;19:635–645.
- Sible IJ, Nation DA, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Long-term blood
 pressure variability across the clinical and biomarker spectrum of Alzheimer's disease. J
 Alzheimer's Dis [online serial]. 2020;77:1655–1669. Accessed at:
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32925032.
- Jang JY, Ho JK, Blanken AE, Dutt S, Nation DA. Affective neuropsychiatric symptoms as
 early signs of dementia risk in older adults. J Alzheimer's Dis. 2020;77:1195–1207.
- Dutt S, Li Y, Mather M, Nation DA. Brainstem Volumetric Integrity in Preclinical and Prodromal Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimer's Dis. 2020;77:1579–1594.
- Bürkner PC. brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. J Stat Softw.
 20 2017;80.
- 21 8. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2018.