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| **Supplementary Table S2. Quality of included studies assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Scale for cohort studies** |
| **Study** | **Study design** | **Selection (Max = 4 stars)** | **Comparability (Max = 2 stars)** | **Outcome (Max =3 stars)** | **Total** |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Beom 20183 | RC | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | 6 |
| Childs 201510 | RC | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ― | ― | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | 5 |
| Choi 20134 | RC | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | 7 |
| Flanagan 20175 | RC | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ✯ | ✯✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | 8 |
| Ganuza 20116 | RC | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | 6 |
| Guirgis 20169 | RC | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | 6 |
| Khan 20197 | RC | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ― | ― | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | 6 |
| Romero 20098 | RC | ✯ | ― | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | ✯ | 7 |
| **Selection**1)Representativeness of the exposed cohort2)Selection of the non-exposed cohort3)Ascertainment of exposure4)Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study**Comparability**1)Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis**Outcome**1)Assessment of outcome2)Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur3)Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts |
| **Quality rating** | **Number of points in selection domain** | **Number of points in comparability domain** | **Number of points in outcome domain** |
| Good | ≥3 | ≥2 | ≥3 |
| Fair | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Poor | 0-1 | 0 | 0-1 |