Supplemental digital content for Sebok-Syer SS, Klinger DA, Sherbino J, Chan TM. Mixed Messages or Miscommunication? Investigating the Relationship Between Assesors' Workplace-Based Assessment Scores and Written Comments. Acad Med. 2017.

## Supplemental Digital Appendix 1

## $\label{eq:completed} \textbf{Completed Clinical Evaluation Report Rating (CCERR) - Modified from Dudek and colleagues^{20}}$

"Ratings" refers to the task or global comments provided in the assessment. Please rate the following by checking the appropriate box.

		1 Not at all	2	3 Acceptable	4	5 Exemplary
1.	Comments are <b>balanced</b> providing both strengths					
	and areas for improvement.					
2.	Comments <b>justify</b> the ratings provided.					
3.	Clearly explained <b>examples of strengths</b> using					
	specific descriptions (not generalizations) are					
	provided in the comments.					
4.	Clearly explained examples of weaknesses using					
	specific descriptions (not generalizations) are					
	provided in the comments.					
5.	Concrete <b>recommendations</b> for the trainee to attain					
	a higher level of performance are provided.					
6.	Comments are provided in a <b>supportive manner</b> .					