Effects of volatile anesthetics on mortality and postoperative pulmonary and other complications in patients undergoing surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis

SUPPLEMENTAL DIGITAL CONTENT FILE 1

Christopher Uhlig*, M.D.^{1,2}, Thomas Bluth*, M.D.¹, Kristin Schwarz, M.Sc.³, Stefanie Deckert, M.Sc.³, Luise Heinrich, M.Sc.³, Stefan De Hert, M.D., Ph.D.⁴, Giovanni Landoni, M.D.⁵, Ary Serpa Neto, M.D., Ph.D.⁶, Marcus J. Schultz, M.D., Ph.D.⁷, Paolo Pelosi, M.D., F.E.R.S.⁸, Jochen Schmitt, M.D., Ph.D.³, Marcelo Gama de Abreu, M.D., M.Sc., Ph.D., D.E.S.A.¹

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Pulmonary Engineering Group, University Hospital Dresden, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany; ²Division of Health Care Sciences, Center for Clinical Research and Management Education, Dresden International University, Dresden, Germany; ³Center for Evidence-based Healthcare, University Hospital Dresden, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany; ⁴Department of Anesthesiology, Ghent University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; ⁵Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, and Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy; ⁶Department of Critical Care Medicine, Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, and Program of Post-Graduation, Research and Innovation, Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil; ⁷Department of Intensive Care, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 8Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics, IRCCS San Martino IST, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy.

*Drs. Uhlig and Bluth contributed equally to this manuscript.

Correspondence and reprint requests to:

Dr. Marcelo Gama de Abreu, Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Pulmonary Engineering Group, University Hospital Dresden, Technische Universität Dresden, Fetscherstr. 74, 01307 Dresden, Germany. Email: mgabreu@uniklinikum-dresden.de, Tel: +493514584488, Fax: +493514584336.

Table 1: PRISMA Checklist

Section/Topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	1
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	3
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	4
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	4
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.	5
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	5
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	5
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	Table S2
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	5-7
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	6-7
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	5-7

Table 1: PRISMA Checklist continued

Section/Topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
METHODS			
Risk of bias in individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	6-7
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).	6-7
Synthesis of results	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I²) for each meta-analysis.	7-8
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	6-7
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.	7-8
RESULTS	-		
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	9 + Fig.1
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	9-10, Table 1 and Table S6
Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).	10, Fig.3 and Table S7
Results of individual studies	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.	10-12, Fig. 4-9, S1-6
Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	10-12, Fig. 4-9, S1-S6
Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).	10, Fig. 3 +S1
Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	10-12, Fig. 4-9, S2-S6
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).	13
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	16-17

Table 1: PRISMA Checklist continued

Section/Topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
DISCUSSION			
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	17
FUNDING			
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	1

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(6): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

Table 2: Search String

MEDLINE via	1 randomized controlled trial.pt.
Ovid	2 controlled clinical trial.pt.
	3 randomized.ab.
	4 placebo.ab.
	5 clinical trials as topic.sh.
	6 randomly.ab.
	7 trial.ti.
	8 Or/1-7
	9 exp animals/ not humans.sh.
	10 8 not 9
	11 sevoflurane/ OR sevoflurane.mp. OR sevoran*.mp.
	12 desflurane/ OR desflurane.mp. OR supran*.mp.
	13 isoflurane/ OR isoflurane.mp. OR foren*.mp.
	14 inhalation anesthetic agent/ OR inhalation anesthetic.mp.
	·
	15 (volatile anesthetics or gas anesthetics).mp. 16 or/11-15
	17 surgery/ OR surgery.mp. OR surgical*.mp.
	18 (operation or operative or postoperative).mp.
	19 interven*.mp.
	20 general anesthesia/ OR general anesthesia.mp.
	21 balanced anesthesia/ OR balanced anesthesia.mp.
	22 or/ 17-21
	23 10 AND 16 AND 22
	24 case report.tw.
	25 letter/
	26 historical article/
	27 or/ 24-26
	28 23 not 27
CENTRAL via	1 sevoflurane
Cochrane	2 desfluran*
Library	3 suprane
	4 isofluran*
	5 volatile anesthetic*
	6 gas anesthetic*
	7 inhalation anesthestic*
	8 (#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7)
	9 surgery OR surgical*
	10 operation or operative or postoperative
	11 interven*
	12 general anesthesia
	13 balanced anesthesia
	14(#9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13)
	15 (#8 AND #14)

Table 2: Search String continued

EMBASE via	1 Clinical trial/
Ovid	2 Randomized controlled trial/
	3 Randomization/
(SIGN search filter)	4 Single blind procedure/
	5 Double blind procedure/
	6 Crossover procedure/
	7 Placebo/
	8 Randomi?ed controlled trial\$.tw.
	9 Rct.tw.
	10 Random allocation.tw.
	11 Randomly allocated.tw.
	12 Allocated randomly.tw.
	13 (allocated adj2 random).tw.
	14 Single blind\$.tw.
	15 Double blind\$.tw.
	16 (treble or triple) adj (blind\$).tw.
	17 Placebo\$.tw.
	18 Or/1-17
	19 animal/
	20 human/
	21 19 not (19 and 20)
	22 18 not 21
	23 sevoflurane/ OR sevoflurane.mp. OR sevoran*.mp.
	24 desflurane/ OR desflurane.mp. OR supran*.mp.
	25 isoflurane/ OR isoflurane.mp. OR foren*.mp.
	26 inhalation anesthetic agent/ OR inhalation anesthetic.mp.
	27 (volatile anesthetics or gas anesthetics).mp.
	28 or/23-27
	29 surgery/ OR surgery.mp. OR surgical*.mp.
	30 (operation or operative or postoperative).mp.
	31 interven*.mp.
	32 general anesthesia/ OR general anesthesia.mp.
	33 balanced anesthesia/ OR balanced anesthesia.mp.
	34 or/ 29-33
	35 22 AND 28 AND 34
	36 case report.tw.
	37 letter/
	38 historical article/ 39 or/ 36-38
	40 35 not 39
	41 limit 40 to exclude medline journals

Reference List of Manual Search

- 1. Bignami E, Greco T, Barile L, Silvetti S, Nicolotti D, Fochi O, Cama E, Costagliola R, Landoni G, Biondi-Zoccai G, Zangrillo A: The effect of isoflurane on survival and myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2013; 27: 50-8
- 2. de Oliveira GS,Jr, Girao W, Fitzgerald PC, McCarthy RJ: The effect of sevoflurane versus desflurane on the incidence of upper respiratory morbidity in patients undergoing general anesthesia with a Laryngeal Mask Airway: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Clin Anesth 2013; 25: 452-8
- 3. Ebert TJ, Robinson BJ, Uhrich TD, Mackenthun A, Pichotta PJ: Recovery from sevoflurane anesthesia: a comparison to isoflurane and propofol anesthesia. Anesthesiology 1998; 89: 1524-31
- 4. Joo HS, Perks WJ: Sevoflurane versus propofol for anesthetic induction: a meta-analysis. Anesth Analg 2000; 91: 213-9
- 5. Landoni G, Fochi O, Bignami E, Calabro MG, D'Arpa MC, Moizo E, Mizzi A, Pappalardo F, Morelli A, Zangrillo A: Cardiac protection by volatile anesthetics in non-cardiac surgery? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies on clinically relevant endpoints. HSR Proc Intensive Care Cardiovasc Anesth 2009; 1: 34-43
- 6. Landoni G, Greco T, Biondi-Zoccai G, Nigro Neto C, Febres D, Pintaudi M, Pasin L, Cabrini L, Finco G, Zangrillo A: Anaesthetic drugs and survival: a Bayesian network meta-analysis of randomized trials in cardiac surgery. Br J Anaesth 2013; 111: 886-96
- 7. Modolo NS, Modolo MP, Marton MA, Volpato E, Monteiro Arantes V, do Nascimento Junior P, El Dib RP: Intravenous versus inhalation anaesthesia for one-lung ventilation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 7: CD006313

- 8. Schifilliti D, Grasso G, Conti A, Fodale V: Anaesthetic-related neuroprotection: intravenous or inhalational agents? CNS Drugs 2010; 24: 893-907
- 9. Yu CH, Beattie WS: The effects of volatile anesthetics on cardiac ischemic complications and mortality in CABG: a meta-analysis. Can J Anaesth 2006; 53: 906-18
- 10. Zhou C, Liu Y, Yao Y, Zhou S, Fang N, Wang W, Li L: Beta-Blockers and Volatile Anesthetics may Attenuate Cardioprotection by Remote Preconditioning in Adult Cardiac Surgery: a Meta-Analysis of 15 Randomized Trials. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2013; 27: 305-11

Table 3: Definitions of pulmonary postoperative complications (PPCs)

PPCs were defined according to the definition of the authors of the respective manuscript or the following:

Hypoxemia¹

 $PaO_2 < 60 \text{ mmHg or } SpO_2 < 90\% \text{ in room air, but responding to supplemental oxygen (excluding hypoventilation) or$

Need for non–invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation or a PaO₂ < 60 mmHg or SpO₂ < 90% despite supplemental oxygen (excluding hypoventilation)

Bronchospasm¹

Defined as newly detected expiratory wheezing treated with bronchodilators

Suspected pulmonary infection¹

In case patient receives antibiotics and meets at least one of the following criteria: new or changed sputum, new or changed lung opacities on chest X–ray when clinically indicated, timpanic temperature > $38\cdot3^{\circ}$ C, WBC count > 12×109 L

Pulmonary infiltrate¹

Chest X-ray demonstrating monolateral or bilateral infiltrate

Aspiration pneumonitis¹

Defined as respiratory failure after the inhalation of regurgitated gastric contents

Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome 1,2,3

By the consensus criteria or Berlin definition (only in case of non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation)

Atelectasis¹

Suggested by lung opacification with shift of the mediastinum, hilum, or hemidiaphragm towards the affected area, and compensatory overinflation in the adjacent nonatelectatic lung

Pleural effusion¹

Chest X–ray demonstrating blunting of the costophrenic angle, loss of the sharp silhouette of the ipsilateral hemidiaphragm in upright position, evidence of displacement of adjacent anatomical structures, or (in supine position) a hazy opacity in one hemi–thorax with preserved vascular shadows

Pulmonary oedema caused by cardiac failure¹

Defined as clinical signs of congestion, including dyspnea, edema, rales and jugular venous distention, with the chest X–ray demonstrating increase in vascular markings and diffuse alveolar interstitial infiltrates

Pneumothorax¹

Defined as air in the pleural space with no vascular bed surrounding the visceral pleura

Óther PPCs4,5

Such as prolonged mechanical ventilation (depending on the usual time of mechanical ventilation per study cohort, eg. >24 hours), reintubation

PaO₂: arterial partial oxygen pressure, SpO₂: pulseoxymetric measured oxygen saturation

References Table 4:

- 1. PROVE Network Investigators for the Clinical Trial Network of the European Society of Anaesthesiology, Hemmes SN, Gama de Abreu M, Pelosi P, Schultz MJ: High versus low positive end-expiratory pressure during general anaesthesia for open abdominal surgery (PROVHILO trial): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2014; 384: 495-503
- Bernard GR, Artigas A, Brigham KL, Carlet J, Falke K, Hudson L, Lamy M, Legall JR, Morris A, Spragg R: The American-European Consensus Conference on ARDS. Definitions, mechanisms, relevant outcomes, and clinical trial coordination. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994: 149: 818-24
- 3. ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, Fan E, Camporota L, Slutsky AS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. JAMA 2012; 307: 2526-33
- 4. De Conno E, Steurer MP, Wittlinger M, Zalunardo MP, Weder W, Schneiter D, Schimmer RC, Klaghofer R, Neff TA, Schmid ER, Spahn DR, Z'graggen BR, Urner M, Beck-Schimmer B:

- Anesthetic-induced improvement of the inflammatory response to one-lung ventilation. Anesthesiology 2009; 110: 1316-26
- 5. Royse CF, Andrews DT, Newman SN, Stygall J, Williams Z, Pang J, Royse AG: The influence of propofol or desflurane on postoperative cognitive dysfunction in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery. Anaesthesia 2011; 66: 455-64

Table 4: Definitions of other postoperative complications

Other postoperative complications were defined according to the definition of the authors of the respective manuscript or the following:

Acute myocardial infarction 1,2

Detection of rise and/or fall of cardiac markers (preferably troponin) with at least one value above the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit, together with: symptoms of ischemia, ECG changes indicative of new ischemia, development of pathological Q-waves, or imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium or new regional wall motion abnormality, or: sudden unexpected cardiac death, involving cardiac arrest with symptoms suggestive of cardiac ischemia (but death occurring before the appearance of cardiac markers in blood)

Overall cardiac events^{3,4}

Including acute myocardial infarction, congestive herat failure, arrhythmia requiring hospitalization, postoperative need for intraaortic balloon pump or other cardiac events as defined by the authors of the respective manuscript

SIRS, sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock⁵

according to consensus definition

Extrapulmonary infection¹

Wound infection or any other infection

Neurological complications¹

Coma (Glasgow Coma Score < 8 in the absence of therapeutic coma or sedation) or stroke

Acute renal failure (ARF)^{1,6}

Renal failure documented as follows: Risk: increased creatinine x1.5 or glomerular filtration rate (GFR) decrease > 25% or urine output (UO) < 0.5 ml/kg/h x 6 h; Injury: increased creatinine x2 or GFR decrease > 50% or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/h x 12 hr; Failure: increase creatinine x3 or GFR decrease > 75% or UO < 0.3 ml/kg/h x 24 hr or anuria x 12 hrs; Loss: persistent ARF = complete loss of kidney function > 4 weeks

Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC)^{1,7}

DIC score documented as follows: Platelet count < 50 (2 points), < 100 (1 point), or \geq 100 (0 points); D-dimer > 4 µg/ml (2 points), > 0·39 µg/ml (1 point) or \leq 0·39 µg/ml (0 points); Prothrombin time > 20·5 seconds (2 points), > 17·5 seconds (1 point) or \leq 17·5 seconds (0 points); if \geq 5 points: overt DIC

Hepatic failure¹

Serum bilirubin level $> 34 \mu mol/L$ with elevation of the transaminase and lactic dehydrogenase levels above twice normal values

Gastro-intestinal failure^{1,8}

Gastro-intestinal bleeding

Gastro–intestinal failure (GIF) score documented as follows: 0 = normal gastrointestinal function; 1 = enteral feeding with under 50% of calculated needs or no feeding 3 days after abdominal surgery; 2 = food intolerance (FI) or intra–abdominal hypertension (IAH); 3 = FI and IAH; and 4 = abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS)

ECG: electrocardiography, GIF: gastro-intestinal failure, FI: food intolerance, IAH: intra-abdominal hypertension, ACS: abdominal compartment syndrome, GFR: glomerular filtration rate, UO: urine output

References Table 5:

- 1. PROVE Network Investigators for the Clinical Trial Network of the European Society of Anaesthesiology, Hemmes SN, Gama de Abreu M, Pelosi P, Schultz MJ: High versus low positive end-expiratory pressure during general anaesthesia for open abdominal surgery (PROVHILO trial): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2014; 384: 495-503
- 2. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD, Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction, Jaffe AS, Apple FS, Galvani M, Katus HA, Newby LK, Ravkilde J, Chaitman B, Clemmensen PM, Dellborg M, Hod H, Porela P, Underwood R, Bax JJ, Beller GA, Bonow R, Van der Wall EE, Bassand JP, Wijns W, Ferguson TB, Steg PG, Uretsky BF, Williams DO, Armstrong PW, Antman EM, Fox KA, Hamm CW, Ohman EM, Simoons ML,

Poole-Wilson PA, Gurfinkel EP, Lopez-Sendon JL, Pais P, Mendis S, Zhu JR, Wallentin LC, Fernandez-Aviles F, Fox KM, Parkhomenko AN, Priori SG, Tendera M, Voipio-Pulkki LM, Vahanian A, Camm AJ, De Caterina R, Dean V, Dickstein K, Filippatos G, Funck-Brentano C, Hellemans I, Kristensen SD, McGregor K, Sechtem U, Silber S, Tendera M, Widimsky P, Zamorano JL, Morais J, Brener S, Harrington R, Morrow D, Lim M, Martinez-Rios MA, Steinhubl S, Levine GN, Gibler WB, Goff D, Tubaro M, Dudek D, Al-Attar N: Universal definition of myocardial infarction. Circulation 2007; 116: 2634-53

- 3. Lurati Buse GA, Schumacher P, Seeberger E, Studer W, Schuman RM, Fassl J, Kasper J, Filipovic M, Bolliger D, Seeberger MD: Response to letters regarding article, "Randomized comparison of sevoflurane versus propofol to reduce perioperative myocardial ischemia in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery". Circulation 2013; 127: e878-9
- Garcia C, Julier K, Bestmann L, Zollinger A, von Segesser LK, Pasch T, Spahn DR, Zaugg M: Preconditioning with sevoflurane decreases PECAM-1 expression and improves one-year cardiovascular outcome in coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Br J Anaesth 2005; 94: 159-65
- 5. Bone RC: Toward an epidemiology and natural history of SIRS (systemic inflammatory response syndrome). JAMA 1992; 268: 3452-3455
- Bellomo R, Ronco C, Kellum JA, Mehta RL, Palevsky P, Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative workgroup: Acute renal failure - definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy and information technology needs: the Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. Crit Care 2004; 8: R204-12
- Levi M, Toh CH, Thachil J, Watson HG: Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of disseminated intravascular coagulation. British Committee for Standards in Haematology. Br J Haematol 2009; 145: 24-33 Levi M, Toh CH, Thachil J, Watson HG. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of disseminated intravascular coagulation. British Committee for Standards in Haematology. Br J Haematol 2009; 145: 24-33.
- 8. Reintam A, Parm P, Kitus R, Starkopf J, Kern H: Gastrointestinal failure score in critically ill patients: a prospective observational study. Crit Care 2008; 12: R90

Table 5: Reference list of included trials

Author	Reference
1. Alvarez 1990	Alvarez J, Casas JI, Villar Landeira JM: Isoflurane in pulmonary hypertension and failure of the right ventricle. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 1990; 37: 127-36
2. Amr 2010	Amr YM, Yassin IM: Cardiac protection during on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: ischemic versus isoflurane preconditioning. Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2010; 14: 205-11
3. Baki 2013	Baki ED, Aldemir M, Kokulu S, Koca HB, Ela Y, Sivaci RG, Ozturk NK, Emmiler M, Adali F, Uzel H: Comparison of the effects of desflurane and propofol anesthesia on the inflammatory response and s100beta protein during coronary artery bypass grafting. Inflammation 2013; 36: 1327-33
4. Ballester 2011	Ballester M, Llorens J, Garcia-de-la-Asuncion J, Perez-Griera J, Tebar E, Martinez-Leon J, Belda J, Juez M: Myocardial oxidative stress protection by sevoflurane vs. propofol: a randomised controlled study in patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2011; 28: 874-81
5. Beck-S. 2008	Beck-Schimmer B, Breitenstein S, Urech S, De Conno E, Wittlinger M, Puhan M, Jochum W, Spahn DR, Graf R, Clavien PA: A randomized controlled trial on pharmacological preconditioning in liver surgery using a volatile anesthetic. Ann Surg 2008; 248: 909-18
6. Beck-S. 2012	Beck-Schimmer B, Breitenstein S, Bonvini JM, Lesurtel M, Ganter M, Weber A, Puhan MA, Clavien PA: Protection of pharmacological postconditioning in liver surgery: results of a prospective randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg 2012; 256: 837,44; discission 844-5
7. Bein 2005	Bein B, Renner J, Caliebe D, Scholz J, Paris A, Fraund S, Zaehle W, Tonner PH: Sevoflurane but not propofol preserves myocardial function during minimally invasive direct coronary artery bypass surgery. Anesth Analg 2005; 100: 610,6, table of contents
8. Bharti 2008	Bharti N, Chari P, Thingnam SS, Arora S: Comparison of Haemodynamic and Cardiovascular Effects of VIMA with Sevoflurane Versus TIVA with Propofol in Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery. Indian J Anaesth 2008; 52: 805-12

Table 5: Reference list of included trials continued

Author	Reference
9. Biboulet 2012	Biboulet P, Jourdan A, Van Haevre V, Morau D, Bernard N, Bringuier S, Capdevila X: Hemodynamic profile of target-controlled spinal anesthesia compared with 2 target-controlled general anesthesia techniques in elderly patients with cardiac comorbidities. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2012; 37: 433-40
10. Bignami 2012	Bignami E, Landoni G, Gerli C, Testa V, Mizzi A, Fano G, Nuzzi M, Franco A, Zangrillo A: Sevoflurane vs. propofol in patients with coronary disease undergoing mitral surgery: a randomised study. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2012; 56: 482-90
11. Braz 2013	Braz MG, Braz LG, Braz JR, Pierine DT, Correa CR, Ferreira AL, Carvalho LR, Yeum KJ, Salvadori DM: Comparison of oxidative stress in ASA physical status I patients scheduled for minimally invasive surgery under balanced or intravenous anesthesia. Minerva Anestesiol 2013; 79: 1030-8
12. Cavalca 2008	Cavalca V, Colli S, Veglia F, Eligini S, Zingaro L, Squellerio I, Rondello N, Cighetti G, Tremoli E, Sisillo E: Anesthetic propofol enhances plasma gamma-tocopherol levels in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Anesthesiology 2008; 108: 988-97
13. Conno 2009	De Conno E, Steurer MP, Wittlinger M, Zalunardo MP, Weder W, Schneiter D, Schimmer RC, Klaghofer R, Neff TA, Schmid ER, Spahn DR, Z'graggen BR, Urner M, Beck-Schimmer B: Anesthetic-induced improvement of the inflammatory response to one-lung ventilation. Anesthesiology 2009; 110: 1316-26
14. Conzen 2003	Conzen PF, Fischer S, Detter C, Peter K: Sevoflurane provides greater protection of the myocardium than propofol in patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. Anesthesiology 2003; 99: 826-33
15. Cromheecke 2006	Cromheecke S, Pepermans V, Hendrickx E, Lorsomradee S, Ten Broecke PW, Stockman BA, Rodrigus IE, De Hert SG: Cardioprotective properties of sevoflurane in patients undergoing aortic valve replacement with cardiopulmonary bypass. Anesth Analg 2006; 103: 289,96, table of contents
16. De Hert 2002	De Hert SG, ten Broecke PW, Mertens E, Van Sommeren EW, De Blier IG, Stockman BA, Rodrigus IE: Sevoflurane but not propofol preserves myocardial function in coronary surgery patients. Anesthesiology 2002; 97: 42-9

Table 5: Reference list of included trials continued

Author	Reference
17. De Hert 2003	De Hert SG, Cromheecke S, ten Broecke PW, Mertens E, De Blier IG, Stockman BA, Rodrigus IE, Van der Linden PJ: Effects of propofol, desflurane, and sevoflurane on recovery of myocardial function after coronary surgery in elderly high-risk patients. Anesthesiology 2003; 99: 314-23
18. De Hert 2004	De Hert SG, Van der Linden PJ, Cromheecke S, Meeus R, Nelis A, Van Reeth V, ten Broecke PW, De Blier IG, Stockman BA, Rodrigus IE: Cardioprotective properties of sevoflurane in patients undergoing coronary surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass are related to the modalities of its administration. Anesthesiology 2004; 101: 299-310
19. De Hert II 2004	De Hert SG, Van der Linden PJ, Cromheecke S, Meeus R, ten Broecke PW, De Blier IG, Stockman BA, Rodrigus IE: Choice of primary anesthetic regimen can influence intensive care unit length of stay after coronary surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass. Anesthesiology 2004; 101: 9-20
20. De Hert 2009	De Hert S, Vlasselaers D, Barbe R, Ory JP, Dekegel D, Donnadonni R, Demeere JL, Mulier J, Wouters P: A comparison of volatile and non volatile agents for cardioprotection during on-pump coronary surgery. Anaesthesia 2009; 64: 953-60
21. Deegan 2010	Deegan CA, Murray D, Doran P, Moriarty DC, Sessler DI, Mascha E, Kavanagh BP, Buggy DJ: Anesthetic technique and the cytokine and matrix metalloproteinase response to primary breast cancer surgery. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2010; 35: 490-5
22. Eremeev 2011	Eremeev AV, Kirov MI: Comparison of sevoflurane and propofol anesthesia for aortocoronary bypass surgery without artificial circulation. Anesteziol Reanimatol 2011; (3): 4-8
23. Flier 2010	Flier S, Post J, Concepcion AN, Kappen TH, Kalkman CJ, Buhre WF: Influence of propofol-opioid vs isoflurane-opioid anaesthesia on postoperative troponin release in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. Br J Anaesth 2010; 105: 122-30
24. Fräßdorf 2009	Frassdorf J, Borowski A, Ebel D, Feindt P, Hermes M, Meemann T, Weber R, Mullenheim J, Weber NC, Preckel B, Schlack W: Impact of preconditioning protocol on anesthetic-induced cardioprotection in patients having coronary artery bypass surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009; 137: 1436,42, 1442.e1-2

Table 5: Reference list of included trials continued

Author	Reference
25. Fudickar 2014	Fudickar A, Kunath S, Voss D, Siggelkow M, Cavus E, Steinfath M, Bein B: Effect of ischemic and pharmacological preconditioning of lower limb muscle tissue on tissue oxygenation measured by near-infrared spectroscopya pilot study. BMC Anesthesiol 2014; 14: 54,2253-14-54. eCollection 2014
26. Garcia 2005	Garcia C, Julier K, Bestmann L, Zollinger A, von Segesser LK, Pasch T, Spahn DR, Zaugg M: Preconditioning with sevoflurane decreases PECAM-1 expression and improves one-year cardiovascular outcome in coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Br J Anaesth 2005; 94: 159-65
27. Gaszynski 2011	Gaszynski T: The effect of pneumoperitoneum on haemodynamic parameters in morbidly obese patients. Anestezjol Intens Ter 2011; 43: 148-52
28. Godet 1990	Godet G, Bertrand M, Coriat P, Kieffer E, Mouren S, Viars P: Comparison of isoflurane with sodium nitroprusside for controlling hypertension during thoracic aortic cross-clamping. J Cardiothorac Anesth 1990; 4: 177-84
29. Gravel 1999	Gravel NR, Searle NR, Taillefer J, Carrier M, Roy M, Gagnon L: Comparison of the hemodynamic effects of sevoflurane anesthesia induction and maintenance vs TIVA in CABG surgery. Can J Anaesth 1999; 46: 240-6
30. Guarracino 2006	Guarracino F, Landoni G, Tritapepe L, Pompei F, Leoni A, Aletti G, Scandroglio AM, Maselli D, De Luca M, Marchetti C, Crescenzi G, Zangrillo A: Myocardial damage prevented by volatile anesthetics: a multicenter randomized controlled study. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2006; 20: 477-83
31. Helman 1992	Helman JD, Leung JM, Bellows WH, Pineda N, Roach GW, Reeves JD,3rd, Howse J, McEnany MT, Mangano DT: The risk of myocardial ischemia in patients receiving desflurane versus sufentanil anesthesia for coronary artery bypass graft surgery. The S.P.I. Research Group. Anesthesiology 1992; 77: 47-62
32. Howie 1996	Howie MB, Black HA, Romanelli VA, Zvara DA, Myerowitz PD, McSweeney TD: A comparison of isoflurane versus fentanyl as primary anesthetics for mitral valve surgery. Anesth Analg 1996; 83: 941-8

Table 5: Reference list of included trials continued

Author	Reference
33. Huang 2011	Huang Z, Zhong X, Irwin MG, Ji S, Wong GT, Liu Y, Xia ZY, Finegan BA, Xia Z: Synergy of isoflurane preconditioning and propofol postconditioning reduces myocardial reperfusion injury in patients. Clin Sci (Lond) 2011; 121: 57-69
34. Jovic 2012	Jovic M, Stancic A, Nenadic D, Cekic O, Nezic D, Milojevic P, Micovic S, Buzadzic B, Korac A, Otasevic V, Jankovic A, Vucetic M, Velickovic K, Golic I, Korac B: Mitochondrial molecular basis of sevoflurane and propofol cardioprotection in patients undergoing aortic valve replacement with cardiopulmonary bypass. Cell Physiol Biochem 2012; 29: 131-42
35. Kendall 2004	Kendall JB, Russell GN, Scawn ND, Akrofi M, Cowan CM, Fox MA: A prospective, randomised, single-blind pilot study to determine the effect of anaesthetic technique on troponin T release after off-pump coronary artery surgery. Anaesthesia 2004; 59: 545-9
36. Kirov 2007	Kirov MY, Lenkin AI, Kuzkov VV, Suborov EV, Slastilin VY, Borodin VV, Chernov II, Shonbin AN, Bjertnaes LJ: Single transpulmonary thermodilution in off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: haemodynamic changes and effects of different anaesthetic techniques. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2007; 51: 426-33
37. Ko 2008	Ko JS, Gwak MS, Choi SJ, Kim GS, Kim JA, Yang M, Lee SM, Cho HS, Chung IS, Kim MH: The effects of desflurane and propofol-remifentanil on postoperative hepatic and renal functions after right hepatectomy in liver donors. Liver Transpl 2008; 14: 1150-8
38. Ko 2010	Ko JS, Gwak MS, Choi SJ, Yang M, Kim MJ, Lee JY, Kim GS, Kwon CH, Joh JW: The effects of desflurane and sevoflurane on hepatic and renal functions after right hepatectomy in living donors*. Transpl Int 2010; 23: 736-44
39. Kortekaas 2014	Kortekaas KA, van der Baan A, Aarts LP, Palmen M, Cobbaert CM, Verhagen JC, Engbers FH, Klautz RJ, Lindeman JH: Cardiospecific sevoflurane treatment quenches inflammation but does not attenuate myocardial cell damage markers: a proof-of-concept study in patients undergoing mitral valve repair. Br J Anaesth 2014; 112: 1005-14
40. Kottenberg 2012	Kottenberg E, Thielmann M, Bergmann L, Heine T, Jakob H, Heusch G, Peters J: Protection by remote ischemic preconditioning during coronary artery bypass graft surgery with isoflurane but not propofol a clinical trial. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2012; 56: 30-8

Table 5: Reference list of included trials continued

Author	Reference
41. Landoni 2007	Landoni G, Calabro MG, Marchetti C, Bignami E, Scandroglio AM, Dedola E, De Luca M, Tritapepe L, Crescenzi G, Zangrillo A: Desflurane versus propofol in patients undergoing mitral valve surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2007; 21: 672-7
42. Lee, MC. 2006	Lee MC, Chen CH, Kuo MC, Kang PL, Lo A, Liu K: Isoflurane preconditioning-induced cardio-protection in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2006; 23: 841-7
43. Lee, J. 2012	Lee JJ, Kim GH, Kim JA, Yang M, Ahn HJ, Sim WS, Park KJ, Jun BH: Comparison of pulmonary morbidity using sevoflurane or propofol-remifentanil anesthesia in an Ivor Lewis operation. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2012; 26: 857-62
44. Leung 1991	Leung JM, Goehner P, O'Kelly BF, Hollenberg M, Pineda N, Cason BA, Mangano DT: Isoflurane anesthesia and myocardial ischemia: comparative risk versus sufentanil anesthesia in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery. The SPI (Study of Perioperative Ischemia) Research Group. Anesthesiology 1991; 74: 838-47
45. Lindholm 2013	Lindholm EE, Aune E, Noren CB, Seljeflot I, Hayes T, Otterstad JE, Kirkeboen KA: The anesthesia in abdominal aortic surgery (ABSENT) study: a prospective, randomized, controlled trial comparing troponin T release with fentanyl-sevoflurane and propofol-remifentanil anesthesia in major vascular surgery. Anesthesiology 2013; 119: 802-12
46. Lorsomradee 2006	Lorsomradee S, Cromheecke S, Lorsomradee S, De Hert SG: Effects of sevoflurane on biomechanical markers of hepatic and renal dysfunction after coronary artery surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2006; 20: 684-90
47. Lurati Buse 2012	Lurati Buse GA, Schumacher P, Seeberger E, Studer W, Schuman RM, Fassl J, Kasper J, Filipovic M, Bolliger D, Seeberger MD: Randomized comparison of sevoflurane versus propofol to reduce perioperative myocardial ischemia in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. Circulation 2012; 126: 2696-704
48. Mahmoud 2011	Mahmoud K, Ammar A: Immunomodulatory Effects of Anesthetics during Thoracic Surgery. Anesthesiol Res Pract 2011; 2011: 317410

Table 5: Reference list of included trials continued

Author	Reference
49. Mazoti 2013	Mazoti MA, Braz MG, de Assis Golim M, Braz LG, Dias NH, Salvadori DM, Braz JR, Fecchio D: Comparison of inflammatory cytokine profiles in plasma of patients undergoing otorhinological surgery with propofol or isoflurane anesthesia. Inflamm Res 2013; 62: 879-85
50. Meco 2007	Meco M, Cirri S, Gallazzi C, Magnani G, Cosseta D: Desflurane preconditioning in coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a double-blinded, randomised and placebo-controlled study. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2007; 32: 319-25
51. Ndoko 2007	Ndoko SK, Tual L, Ait Mamar B, Sauvat S, Jabre P, Zakhouri M, Rosanval O, Abdi M, Kirsch M, Pouzet B, Loisance D, Dhonneur G: Isoflurane, 0.5 minimum alveolar concentration administered through the precardiopulmonary bypass period, reduces postoperative dobutamine requirements of cardiac surgery patients: a randomized study. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2007; 21: 683-9
52. Parsons 1994	Parsons RS, Jones RM, Wrigley SR, MacLeod KG, Platt MW: Comparison of desflurane and fentanyl-based anaesthetic techniques for coronary artery bypass surgery. Br J Anaesth 1994; 72: 430-8
53. Piriou 2007	Piriou V, Mantz J, Goldfarb G, Kitakaze M, Chiari P, Paquin S, Cornu C, Lecharny JB, Aussage P, Vicaut E, Pons A, Lehot JJ: Sevoflurane preconditioning at 1 MAC only provides limited protection in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: a randomized bi-centre trial. Br J Anaesth 2007; 99: 624-31
54. Rex 2009	Rex C, Wagner S, Spies C, Scholz J, Rietbergen H, Heeringa M, Wulf H: Reversal of neuromuscular blockade by sugammadex after continuous infusion of rocuronium in patients randomized to sevoflurane or propofol maintenance anesthesia. Anesthesiology 2009; 111: 30-5
55. Royse 2011	Royse CF, Andrews DT, Newman SN, Stygall J, Williams Z, Pang J, Royse AG: The influence of propofol or desflurane on postoperative cognitive dysfunction in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery. Anaesthesia 2011; 66: 455-64

Table 5: Reference list of included trials continued

Author	Reference
56. Schoen 2011	Schoen J, Husemann L, Tiemeyer C, Lueloh A, Sedemund-Adib B, Berger KU, Hueppe M, Heringlake M: Cognitive function after sevoflurane- vs propofol-based anaesthesia for on-pump cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Br J Anaesth 2011; 106: 840-50
57. Searle 1996	Searle NR, Martineau RJ, Conzen P, al-Hasani A, Mark L, Ebert T, Muzi M, Hodgins LR: Comparison of sevoflurane/fentanyl and isoflurane/fentanyl during elective coronary artery bypass surgery. Sevoflurane Venture Group. Can J Anaesth 1996; 43: 890-9
58. Slogoff 1989	Slogoff S, Keats AS: Randomized trial of primary anesthetic agents on outcome of coronary artery bypass operations. Anesthesiology 1989; 70: 179-88
59. Song, JC. 2010	Song JC, Sun YM, Yang LQ, Zhang MZ, Lu ZJ, Yu WF: A comparison of liver function after hepatectomy with inflow occlusion between sevoflurane and propofol anesthesia. Anesth Analg 2010; 111: 1036-41
60. Song, JG. 2012	Song JG, Shin JW, Lee EH, Choi DK, Bang JY, Chin JH, Choi IC: Incidence of post-thoracotomy pain: a comparison between total intravenous anaesthesia and inhalation anaesthesia. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2012; 41: 1078-82
61. Soro 2012	Soro M, Gallego L, Silva V, Ballester MT, Llorens J, Alvarino A, Garcia-Perez ML, Pastor E, Aguilar G, Marti FJ, Carratala A, Belda FJ: Cardioprotective effect of sevoflurane and propofol during anaesthesia and the postoperative period in coronary bypass graft surgery: a double-blind randomised study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2012; 29: 561-9
62. Story 2001	Story DA, Poustie S, Liu G, McNicol PL: Changes in plasma creatinine concentration after cardiac anesthesia with isoflurane, propofol, or sevoflurane: a randomized clinical trial. Anesthesiology 2001; 95: 842-8
63. Thomson 1991	Thomson IR, Bowering JB, Hudson RJ, Frais MA, Rosenbloom M: A comparison of desflurane and isoflurane in patients undergoing coronary artery surgery. Anesthesiology 1991; 75: 776-81

Table 5: Reference list of included trials continued

Author	Reference
64. Tritapepe 2007	Tritapepe L, Landoni G, Guarracino F, Pompei F, Crivellari M, Maselli D, De Luca M, Fochi O, D'Avolio S, Bignami E, Calabro MG, Zangrillo A: Cardiac protection by volatile anaesthetics: a multicentre randomized controlled study in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting with cardiopulmonary bypass. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2007; 24: 323-31
65. Xu 2014	Xu WY, Wang N, Xu HT, Yuan HB, Sun HJ, Dun CL, Zhou SQ, Zou Z, Shi XY: Effects of sevoflurane and propofol on right ventricular function and pulmonary circulation in patients undergone esophagectomy. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2013; 7: 272-9
66. Yildirim 2009	Yildirim V, Doganci S, Aydin A, Bolcal C, Demirkilic U, Cosar A: Cardioprotective effects of sevoflurane, isoflurane, and propofol in coronary surgery patients: a randomized controlled study. Heart Surg Forum 2009; 12: E1-9
67. Yoo 2014	Yoo YC, Shim JK, Song Y, Yang SY, Kwak YL: Anesthetics influence the incidence of acute kidney injury following valvular heart surgery. Kidney Int 2014; 86: 414-22
68. Zangrillo 2011	Zangrillo A, Testa V, Aldrovandi V, Tuoro A, Casiraghi G, Cavenago F, Messina M, Bignami E, Landoni G: Volatile agents for cardiac protection in noncardiac surgery: a randomized controlled study. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2011; 25: 902-7

Detailed information regarding number of patients enrolled in the manuscripts reporting pospoperative pulmonary and other complications

Beside mortality, 26 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) reported PPCs including 2,306 patients comparing in 25 trials (2,232 patients) volatile anesthetics (sevoflurane n=708, desflurane n=111, isoflurane n=322) to TIVA (n=1,091) and in one trial (74 patients; included in network meta-analysis) sevoflurane (n=37) vs. desflurane (n=37). Out of those trials 15 RCTs recruited 1,630 patients undergoing cardiac surgery (sevoflurane n=475, desflurane n=287, isoflurane n=61, TIVA n=807) and 11 RCTs with 602 patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery (sevoflurane n=233, desflurane n=35, isoflurane n=50, TIVA n=284).

Another 47 RCTs (5,376 patients) described other postoperative comlications in addition to mortality. Forty-four trials (5,169 patients) compared volatile anesthetics (total n=2,746, sevoflurane n=1,506, desflurane n=688, isoflurane n=552) to TIVA (n=2,423) and three trials investigated VOLs (total n=207, sevoflurane n=177, desflurane n=58, isoflurane n=153) without a TIVA group (included in network meta-analysis). Cardiac surgical patients were recruited in 32 trials (4,038 patients) comparing volatile anesthetics (total n=2,173, sevoflurane n=1,018, desflurane n=628, isoflurane n=527) to TIVA (n=1,865). Twelve RCTs (1,131 patients) with TIVA control group recruited patients from surgical fields other than cardiac surgery (sevoflurane n=488, desflurane n=60, isoflurane n=25, TIVA n=558).

Table 6: Detailed trial information

Publi	ication o	details	Po	pulation	Interven	tion	Outcome		
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary	
Alvarez ¹	1990	single	mitral valve surgery with non-ischemic etiology, mean pulmonary artery pressure > 30 mmHg	aortic valve pathology	Premedication: clorazepate, Induction: diazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=13)	Premedication: clorazepate, Induction: diazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: high dose fentanyl (n=17)	not defined	hemodynamic parameters, cardiac index, gas exchange	
Amr ²	2010	single	2-3 coronary heart disease, (ejection fraction between 40% and 50%)	unstable angina; recent myocardial infarction (<1 month); prior CABG surgery; hepatic, renal, or pulmonary disease; concurrent valve repair or insufficiency; left bundle branch block or conduction defect; and treatment with oral hypoglycemic sulfamide (antagonist of K _{ATP} channels) and nicorandil (agonist of K _{ATP} channels) within 5 days before surgery	Premedication: diazepam, Induction: midazolam, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane (preconditioning 10 mins), midazolam, sufentanil (n=15)	Premedication: diazepam, Induction: midazolam, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: midazolam, sufentanil (n=15)	not defined	hemodynamic data, cardiac troponin I, cardiac fraction of creatine kinase, cardiac function, cardiac events within 1 year	
Baki ³	2013	dual	coronary artery disease and scheduled for elective CABG	Left ventricular ejection fraction <30%, need for emergency coronary revascularization, acute reanl failure, hepatic failure, autoimmune disease, collagen tissue disease, systemic inflammatory disease, cerebrovascular disease within last 6 months	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: etomidate, fentanyl, rocuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, remifentanil (n=20)	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: etomidate, fentanyl, rocuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=20)	not defined	cytokines (tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin 6, interleukin 8), hemodynamic data, S100 beta level	

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, K_{ATP}: adenosine triphosphate dependent potassium channels.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Public	Publication details			Population	Interve	ntion	Out	tcome
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Ballester ⁴	2011	single	age > 18 years, elective surgery and ASA III or less	history of allergy to propofol, previous cardiac surgery, combined surgery, severe valve insufficiency, myocardial infarction within the previous 6 weeks, severe hepatic disease (alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase >150U/I), renal failure (creatinine concentration >1.5mg/dl), severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease(forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FEV1 <50%), preoperative antioxidant therapy and pregnancy	Premedication: n/r, Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, cis-atracurium Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=18)	Premedication: n/r, Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, cis-atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=20)	intraoperative myocardial oxidative stress represented by the level of F2- isoprostanes in coronary sinus blood	hemodynamic data, cardiac troponin I, cardiac fraction of creatine kinase, lactate level, cardiac function, clinical outcome
Beck- Schim- mer ⁶	2012	single	age >18 years, scheduled for liver resection (benign or malignant tumors) were eligible for the study	non-German speaking, laparoscopic liver resection (minor resection), emergency surgery (safety concerns), experienced coagulopathy (platelets <50,000/mL and/or international normalized ratio >1.5), or presented with liver cirrhosis (histologically confirmed)	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane (postconditioning circa 30mins), fentanyl, remifentanil (n=48)	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl+remifentanil (n=17)	aspartate transaminase level	alanine transaminase, other blood liver synthesis and function parameters, postoperative complications
Beck- Schim- mer ⁵	2008	single	consecutive patients undergoing elective liver resection with inflow occlusion	age <18 years, liver cirrhosis, additional ablation therapies (cryosurgery or radiofrequency),living donors, and liver resections without inflow occlusion	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl+remifentanil (n=40)	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl+remifentanil (n=34)	aspartate transaminase level	alanine transaminase, other blood liver synthesis and function parameters, postoperative complications

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, FEV1: forced expiratory volume in one second, n/r: not reported.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	ication d	details	Po	pulation	Interve	ntion		Outcome
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Bein ⁷	2005	single	elective minimal invasive CABG surgery single-vessel coronary artery disease (i.e., LAD stenosis). preoperative left ventricular ejection fraction >40%	unstable angina, acute myocardial infarction < 4 weeks ago, valvular heart disease, intracardiac shunts, severe pulmonary disease, pathologies of the esophagus or stomach, emergency cases	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, rocuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, remifentanil (n=24)	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, rocuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=26)	myocardial performance index	myocardial cell damage (cardiac troponin T, cardiac fraction of creatine kinase, echocardiography variables, hemodynamic data
Bharti ⁸	2008	single	elective CABG surgery, ASA I-III	Patients with severely impaired left ventricular function (EF <30%, LVEDP >18), renal or liver impairment, recent myocardial infarction (<6 weeks), associated valvular lesion or heart block, gross obesity (BMI >30%), anticipated difficult intubation, repeated coronary surgery, concurrent valve repair, or aneurysmal resection	Premedication: diazepam, Induction: sevoflurane, fentanyl, vecuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=15)	Premedication: diazepam, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, vecuronium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=15)	incidence of bradycardia	feasibility of volatile induction and maintenance technique, hemodynamic data, gas exchange, postoperative complications
Biboulet 9	2012	single	age > 75 years, ASA III or IV with severe cardiac comorbidities, hip fracture, undergoing hip nailing or partial hip replacement	contraindication to spinal anesthesia, allergy to any of the anesthetic drugs used, and total hip replacement.	Premedication:n/r, Induction: sevoflurane, remifentanil, lidocaine local vocal cord anesthesia, Maintenance: sevoflurane, remifentanil (n=14)	Premedication:n/r, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, lidocaine local vocal cord anesthesia, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=14)	number of hypotensive episodes	total dose of ephedrine administered, maximal decrease in mean arterial pressure, creatinine and serum urea nitrogen level, hemodynamic data

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, LAD: left anterior descendend coronary artery, LVEDP: left ventricular end-diastolic pressure, EF: left ventrucular ejection fraction, BMI: body mass index.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	ication o	details	Рор	ulation	Interv	vention	Outcome		
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary	
Bignami 10	2012	single	coronary artery disease, scheduled for elective mitral valve surgery, age > 18 years, signed the written informed consent, at least one coronary vessel with a stenosis > 50% at the coronary angiogram	Patients were excluded in the case of previous unusual response to an anaesthetic, use of sulfonylurea, theophylline, or allopurinol, elevated preoperative cardiac troponin I.	Premedication: diazepam, Induction: propofol,fentanyl,rocu ronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=50)	Premedication: diazepam, Induction: propofol,fentanyl,rocuro nium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=50)	cardiac troponin I	mortality	
Braz ¹¹	2013	single	ASA I, age 18-50 years, minimally invasive elective otorhinological surgeries	smokers, alcoholics, obese, any medication, vitamins, antioxidants or radiation therapy within last 30 days	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol,fentanyl,rocu ronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=15)	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol,fentanyl,rocuro nium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=15)	not defined	plasma Interleukin 6 level, plasma malondialdehyde level, hemodynamic parameters	
Cavalca 12	2008	single	stable angina, left ventricular ejection fraction > 40%, age 60–80 years	aortic valve stenosis, angina on arrival in the operating room, and acute myocardial infarction during the past 7 days	Premedication: morphine, Induction: thiopental, remifentanil, pancuronium, succinylcholine, Maintenance: sevooflurane, fentanyl (n=21)	Premedication: morphine, Induction: thiopental, remifentanil, pancuronium, succinylcholine, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=22)	plasma γ- tocopherol level	plasma Interleukin 10 level, plasma malondialdehyde level, α-tocopherol level, hemodynamic parameters	

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	ication o	details	Р	opulation	Interv	ention	Outcome		
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary	
Conno ¹³	2009	single	ASA status I–III, scheduled to undergo elective thoracic surgery with lung resection performed through thoracotomy or thoracoscopy, and requiring OLV during surgery	ongoing treatment with any dose of systemic or topical steroids, acute pulmonary or extrapulmonary infections (elevated C-reactive protein > 10 ng/ml [reference range<5 ng/ml] or leukocytosis > 10 x 10 ³ /l [reference range 3.0–9.6 x 10 ³ /l]), severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Gold stage 2–4), history of recurrent pneumothoraces, pneumonectomy, and/or lung volume—reduction surgery	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl+remifentanil (n=27)	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl+remifentanil (n=27)	lung cytokines	pulmonary infections necessitating antibiotic treatment, pneumonia, atelectasis, pleural effusion, fistula, reintubation, systemic inflammatory response syndrome, sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome, surgical revision, and death	
Conzen 14	2003	single	one vessel or two-vessel coronary artery disease suitable for repair without cardiopulmonary bypass (off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery), informed consent, age greater than 18 years, elective surgery, body mass index below 150% of ideal, and ASA II–IV	previous unusual response to an anesthetic, an experimental drug within 28 days before surgery, severe accompanying disease (hepatic, renal), previous surgical coronary artery repair, severe cardiac dysrhythmias or an ejection fraction below 0.3 preoperative cardiac catheterization), and combined surgery involving a second organ (e.g., carotid endarterectomy), oral glibenclamide or other sulfonylurea drugs	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: etomidate, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, sufentanil (n=10)	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: propofol, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, sufentanil (n=10)	troponin I level	intraoperative hemodynamic data, creatine kinase, myocardial fraction of creatine kinase, Interleukin 6	

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, OLV: one lung ventilation, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publica	tion de	tails		Population	Interve	ention	Out	come
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Cromheecke	2006	single	elective aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis	Previous coronary surgery or valve replacement, combined operations (simultaneous valve repair and coronary surgery, carotid endarterectomy, or left ventricular aneurysm repair), critical aortic stenosis (aortic valve area <0.5 cm², unstable angina, occurrence of coronary stenosis on coronary angiography, documented myocardial infarction within the previous 6 wk, active congestive heart failure, hemodynamic instability with the need for medical or mechanical support, severe hepatic disease (alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase _150 U/L), renal insufficiency (creatinine concentration >1.5 mg/dL), severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (forced expired volume in 1 s <0.8 L), or history of neurologic disturbance	Premedication: n/s, Induction: sevoflurane,remifentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, remifentanil (n=15)	Premedication: n/s, Induction: propofol,remifentanil,pa ncuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=15)	cardiac troponin I level, maximum rate of pressure development (dP/dt) post - CPB	intraoperative hemodynamic data,
De Hert ²⁰	2009	dual	elective isolated coronary artery bypass grafting with CPB were included	documented evidence for a recent (< 7 days) or ongoing myocardial infarction, combined surgical procedures or redo operations	Premedication: n/s, Induction: n/s, Maintenance: sevoflurane (n=132) desflurane (n=137), opiod n/s	Premedication: n/s, Induction: n/s, Maintenance: propofol (n=145), opiod n/s	troponin T level	mortality, clinical outcome

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, n/s: not specified in study protocol.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	ication o	details		Population	Interve	ntion	Outo	come
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
De Hert ¹⁷	2003	single	age >70 years with three-vessel disease and with a preoperative ejection fraction less than 50%	repeat coronary surgery, concurrent valve repair, or aneurysm resection, unstable angina or with valve insufficiency	Premedication: n/r, Induction: group 1 (n=15): sevoflurane, remifentanil, pancuronium, group 2 (n=15): diazepam, remifentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, remifentanil (group 1), desflurane, remifentanil (group 2)	Premedication: n/r, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=15)	cardiac troponin I level, maximum rate of pressure development (dP/dt) post - CPB	hemodynamic data
De Hert ¹⁶	2002	single	elective CABG surgery, preoperative ejection fraction of more than 40% were included	repeat coronary surgery, concurrent valve repair, or aneurysm resection, unstable angina or valve insufficiency, None of the patients included in this study had oral antidiabetic medication or were treated with theophylline	Premedication: n/r, Induction: sevoflurane, remifentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, remifentanil (n=10)	Premedication: n/r, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=10)	cardiac troponin I level	hemodynamic data
De Hert ¹⁸	2004	single	elective coronary surgery with CPB	previous coronary or valvular heart surgery, combined operations (simultaneous valve repair, carotid endarterectomy, or LV aneurysm repair), unstable angina, valve insufficiency, documented myocardial infarction within the previous 6 weeks, active congestive heart failure, hemodynamic instability with the need for medical or mechanical support, severe hepatic disease (alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase > 150 U/I), renal insufficiency (creatinine concentration > 1.5 mg/dl), severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (forced expired volume in 1 s < 0.8 l), or history of neurologic disturbances	Premedication: lorazepam, fentanyl, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane (three different durations of administration), remifentanil (n=150, 50 per group)	Premedication: lorazepam, fentanyl Induction: propofol, remifentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=50)	cardiac troponin I level	hemodynamic data

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, LV: left ventricular.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	ication d	etails		Population	Interve	ention	Out	tcome
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
De Hert II ¹⁹	2004	single	elective coronary surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass	previous coronary or valvular heart surgery, combined operations (simultaneous valve repair, carotid endarterectomy, or LV aneurysm repair), unstable angina, valve insufficiency, documented myocardial infarction within the previous 6 weeks, active congestive heart failure, hemodynamic instability requiring medical or mechanical support, severe hepatic disease (alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase >150 U/I), renal insufficiency (creatinine concentration >1.5 mg/dl), severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (forced expired volume in 1 s <50% of predicted or <2.0 I), or history of neurologic disturbances	Premedication: lorazepam, fentanyl Induction: group 1 and 2 (n=160) midazolam, remifentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: group 1 sevoflurane, remifentanil (n=80), group 2 desflurane, remifentanil (n=80)	Premedication: lorazepam, fentanyl Induction: group 3 (n=80) midazolam, remifentanil, pancuronium, group 4 (n=80) propofol, remifentanil, pancuronium Maintenance: group 3 midazolam, remifentanil (n=80), group 4 propofol, remifentanil (n=80)	hospital and ICU length of stay	cardiac troponin I level, hemo- dynmanic data
Deegan ²¹	2010	single	18 to 85 years and scheduled for mastectomy and axillary node clearance or wide local tumor excision without known extension beyond the breast and axillary nodes (ie, believed to be tumor stages I-III, nodes 0-2)	previous breast cancer surgery (except diagnostic biopsy), inflammatory breast cancer, ASA IV or greater, any contraindication to paravertebral anesthesia (including coagulopathy and abnormal anatomy), and any contraindication to midazolam, propofol, sevoflurane, fentanyl, or morphine	Premedication: n/r, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, Maintenance: sevoflurane, morphine (n=17)	Premedication: n/r, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl, paravertebral block (n=15)	not defined	systemic inflammatory response/plas ma cytokine levels

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, LV: left ventricular, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Public	cation d	etails		Population	Interve	ention	Outcome	
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Eremeev 22	2011	single	CAD, elective off- pump CABG, EF>30%, no contraindication regional anesthesia, ability to assess pain, scale 1-10, ability to use PCA	valvular disease concomittant, severe atriosclerosis, damage peripherial vessels, simultant porcedure with valve or carotid endarteiocetomy, necessity of CPB	Premedication: phenazepam, phenobarbital, omeprazol, promedol, Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, pipercuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=12)	Premedication: phenazepam, phenobarbital, omeprazol, promedol, Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, pipercuronium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=12)	not defined	hemodynamic data, postoperative pain
Flier ²³	2010	single	elective CABG with the use of CPB	emergency surgery; combined or re- do procedures; diagnosis of any hormone disorder other than diabetes, chronic inflammatory disease, malignancy, or current infections, preoperative treatment with steroids; and participation in another study that might interfere with the endpoints of the current trial.	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: midazolam, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, sufentanil (n=41)	Premedication: midazolam, Induction: midazolam,sufentanil,pan curonium, Maintenance: propofol, sufentanil (n=43)	cardiac troponin I level	clinical outcome, in- hospital morbidity and mortality
Fräßdorf ²⁴	2009	single	isolated coronary revascularization (CABG)	ASA status 4 or 5, angina during the previous 72 hours, unstable angina, acute myocardial infarction, ejection fraction lower than 40%, congestive heart failure, emergency procedures, former CABG surgery, concurrent valve repair, oral antidiabetics, or theophylline therapy	Premedication: diazepam, Induction: propofol, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, sufentanil (n=20)	Premedication: diazepam, Induction: propofol, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, sufentanil (n=10)	cardiac troponin I level	clinical outcome, hemodynamic data, creatine kinase, myocardial fraction of creatine kinase
Fudickar ²⁵		single	elective surgical treatment of peripheral occlusive arterial disease with clamping of the femoral artery under general anesthesia	skin disease rendering NIRS impossible and patients with amputation of the leg opposite to the side of surgery were excluded from the study.	Premedication:midazolam, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, rocuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane (preconditioning), propofpl, remifentanil (n=20)	Premedication:midazola m, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, rocuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=20)	leg muscle tissue oxygen saturation	clinical outcome, blood gas analysis data

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CAD: Coronary artery disease, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, PCA: patient controlled anesthesia, EF: ejection fraction, NIRS: near infrared spectroscopy.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Public	cation d	etails		Population	Interve	ention	Ou	tcome
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Garcia ²⁶	2005	Multi- center	elective CABG surgery	concomitant aortic or valvular surgery, elevated cardiac enzymes <24 h before surgery, unstable angina, angina <24 h before surgery, hemodynamic instability requiring inotropic support and administration of diazoxide, nicorandil, sulfonylurea or theophylline	Premedication: n/r Induction: propofol, etomidate, opioide+NMBA n/s, Maintenance: sevoflurane, opioide n/s (n=37)	Premedication: n/r Induction: propofol, etomidate, opioide+NMBA n/s, Maintenance: propofol, opioide n/s (n=35)	not defined	transcript levels of platelet— endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1, cardiac troponin I, NTproBNP, clinical outcome
Gaszynski ²⁷	2011	single	morbid obesity (body mass index >40 kg/m²), ASA ≤ II, NYHA ≤ II	coexisting cardiovascular diseases, except for well-controlled hypertension	Premedication: n/r Induction: midazolam, propofol,fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=41)	Premedication: n/r Induction: midazolam, propofol,fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=40)	not defined	hemodynamic data
Godet ²⁸	1990	single	were undergoing surgical repairs of the descending thoracic aorta that did not necessitate one lung ventilation	none	Premedication: n/r Induction: flunitrazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=10)	Premedication: n/r Induction: flunitrazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: high dose fentanyl (n=10)	not defined	hemodynamic data, blood gas analysis data, oxygen consumption and delivery
Gravel ²⁹	1999	single	age >18 and <75 yr, left ventricular ejection fraction >40%, normal hepatic and renal function	Emergency surgery, allergy to study medication, drug or alcohol abuse, gastro-esophageal reflux, obesity (body mass index >32), anticipated difficult intubation	Premedication: lorazepam, morphine Induction: sevoflurane, sufentanil, cis-atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, sufentanil (n=15)	Premedication: lorazepam, morphine Induction: midazolam, sufentanil, cis- atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, sufentanil (n=15)	not defined	hemodynamic data, clinical outcome

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, NYHA: New York Heart Association, NTproBNP: N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide, n/r: not reported, n/s: not specified in study protocol, NMBA: neuromuscular blocking agent.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publication details		Population		Intervention		Outcome		
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Guarracino 30	2006	multicenter	elective CABG surgery with the OPCAB; isolated coronary revascularization were eligible if referred for an elective procedure, were 18 years old, and if an OPCAB procedure was deemed technically feasible technique	case of CABG with CPB, myocardial infarction during the preceding 6 weeks, valve insufficiency, active congestive heart failure, any other surgical procedure during current admission, previous unusual response to an anesthetic, and use of any experimental drugs within 28 days before surgery. Patients taking sulfonylurea, theophylline, or allopurinol were also excluded.	Premedication: diazepame, morphine, scopolamine, Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, fentanyl (n=57)	Premedication: diazepame, morphine, scopolamine, Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, fentanyl (n=55)	cardiac troponin I level	postoperative morbidity, length of hospital stay
Helman ³¹	1992	single	elective CABG surgery, EF>30%, stenosis grade coronary artery >70% for RIVA, RCX, RCA or >50% main stem	uninterpretable ECG (pacermaker, left bundle branch block), esophageal disease precluding TEE probe insertion	Premedication: midazolam, morphine, Induction: thiopental, sufentanil, pancuronium or vecuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, sufentanil (n=100)	Premedication: midazolam, morphine, Induction: thiopental, sufentanil, pancuronium or vecuronium, Maintenance: midazolam during CPB+ high dose sufentanil (n=100)	not defined	myocardial ischemia events, hemodynamic and echocardiogra phic data

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, OPCAB: off-pump coronary artery bypass, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass, ECG: electrocardiography, EF: ejection fraction, RIVA: Ramus interventricularis anterior of the left coronary artery, RCX, Ramus circumflexus of the left coronary artery, RCA: right coronary artery, TEE: transesophagic echocardiography.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publication details		Population		Intervention		Outcome		
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Howie ³²	1996	single	undergo elective mitral valve repair or replacement surgery who had a mean pulmonary artery pressure equal to or more than 25 mmHg written, informed consent	Pregnancy, left main coronary artery stenosis, significant left ventricular dysfunction with a left ventricular ejection fraction ~40% as measured by radiographic angiography, significant cardiac dysrhythmias as defined by the cardiologist in the catheterization report, prior myocardial infarction within 48 h before surgery, CABG surgery, significant liver or kidney disease as defined by serum levels of aminotransferase exceeding three times normal, bilirubin > 2 mg/dL, and creatinine > 2.5 mg/d.	Premedication: lorazepam, morphine, Induction: thiopental, vecuronium or pancuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=23)	Premedication: lorazepam, morphine, Induction: thiopental, vecuronium or pancuronium, Maintenance: high dose fentanyl (n=21)	not defined	hemodynamic data
Huang ³³	2011	single	primary elective CABG	emergency revascularization for unstable angina, previous coronary or valvular heart surgery, combined operations (simultaneous valve repair, carotid endarterectomy or left ventricular aneurysm repair), preoperative myocardial infarction within the last 4 weeks or ongoing myocardial infarction, poor ventricular function (ejection fraction 0.30), preoperative haemodynamic instability with the need for medical or mechanical support, severe hepatic disease, (alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase>150 units/l), renal insufficiency (creatinine concentration>1.5 mg/dl), severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (forced expiratory volume in 1 s) >0.8 L, severe coagulation abnormalities, history of neurological disturbances	Premedication: morphine, scopolamine, Induction: etomidate, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=30)	Premedication: morphine, scopolamine, Induction: etomidate, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: group 1 propofol + fentanyl (n=30), group 2 midazolam + fentanyl (n=29)	not defined	cardiac troponin I, postoperative morbidity, hemodynamic data, inflammatory cytokine levels

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publication details		Population		Intervention		Outcome		
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Jovic ³⁴	2012	single	elective AVR due to severe aortic stenosis, aortic valve area <1 cm ² , with cardiopulmonary bypass	previous heart surgery (coronary, valvular or aortic reconstructive surgery), concomitant: coronary or valvular disease, aortic valve insufficiency, acute congestive heart failure, renal insufficiency (creatinine concentration >1.5 mg/dL), as well as presented carotid artery disease (stenosis >50%), severe hepatic disease (alanine or aspartate aminotransferase >150 U/L) and severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Premedication: midazolam, morphine, atropine Induction: midazolam, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, sufentanil (n=11)	Premedication: midazolam, morphine, atropine, Induction: propofol, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, sufentanil (n=11)	not defined	protein levels and transcriptional levels of mitochondrial enzymes, hemodynamic data
Kendall ³⁵	2004	single	elective OPCAB	Patients undergoing emergency surgery and those with unstable angina were excluded from the study. Patients with plasma creatinine values > 160 mmol.l) were excluded from the study; troponin T levels can be difficult to interpret in the presence of renal impairment. Patients taking anticoagulant therapy and those with any other contraindication to the insertion of a thoracic epidural were also excluded.	Premedication: n/r, Induction: etomidate, fentanyl, vecuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=10)	Premedication: n/r, Induction: propofol, fentanyl, vecuronium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=10)	not defined	troponin T levels, hemodynamic data
Kirov ³⁶	2007	single	coronary artery disease, scheduled for elective OPCAB	age < 18 years, simultaneous interventions (carotid endarterectomy, aneurysm repair, etc.) and severely stenosed femoral arteries	Premedication: diazepam, Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, pipecuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=12)	Premedication: diazepam Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, pipecuronium, Maintenance: group 1 midazolam, fentanyl (n=12), group 2 propofol, fentanyl (n=10)	not defined	hemodynamic data

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, OPCAB: off-pump coronary artery bypass, AVR: aortic valve replacement.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publication details		Population		Intervention		Outcome		
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Ko ³⁸	2010	single	liver donors undergoing right hepatectomy	Patients undergoing re-operation, those contraindicated to spinal injection of morphine sulfate (e.g. skin infection at the site of injection) or those with a known allergy to any of the drugs used in this study were excluded	Premedication: none, Induction: thiopental, remifentanil,vecuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurnae, remifentanil,morphine intrathecal (n=37)	Premedication: none, Induction: thiopental, remifentanil, vecuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, remifentanil,morphine intrathecal (n=37)	ALAT	liver markers (ASAT, albumin), prothrombin time, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine
Ko ³⁷	2008	single	patients undergoing right donor hepatectomy	known allergy to eggs, propofol, or any of the drugs used in this study.	Premedication: n/r Induction: thiopental, opiod n/r,vecuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, opiod n/r (n=35)	Premedication: n/r Induction:propofol, remifentanil,vecuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, remifentanil (n=35)	not defined	liver markers (ALAT, ASAT, total bilirubin, prothrombin time, albumin), blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, postsurgical morbidity
Kortekaas 39		single	regurgitation due to degenerative mitral valve disease	left ventricular dysfunction, (ejection fraction below 35%), minimal invasive or emergency, procedures, previous cardiac surgery, and the use of ketamine, aprotinin, corticosteroids, and volatile sevoflurane perioperatively	Premedication: lorazepam, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, NMBA: n/r, Maintenance: sevolfurane during CPB, propofol, remifentanil (n=11)	Premedication: lorazepam, Induction: propofol, remifentanil, NMBA: n/r, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=10)	not defined	cardiac troponin I, cytokine levels

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CPB: cardiopulomanry bypass, NMBA: neuromuscular blocking agent, ALAT: alanine aminotransaminase, ASAT: aspartate aminotransferase, n/r: not reported.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Public	ation de	etails		Population	Interve	ention	Outcome			
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary		
Kottenberg	2012	three-vessel coronary artery disease scheduled for CABG surgery,>18 years of age, who were scheduled first-time CABG surgery for three-vessel coronary artery disease were eligible The proper single The properative individual infart preoperative inotropic support be induction of anesthesia, any kind mechanical assist device, those any condition potentially increas preoperative troponin I concentre e.g., coronary interventions with previous 6 weeks, or those having received any type of emergency surgery, or those with any previous and company artery disease were eligible The proper single in three-vessel any type of diabetes mellitus (controlled by diet, oral drugs, or insulin), renal insufficiency (seru creatinine >2 mg/dl), peripheral vascular disease affecting the up limbs, acute coronary syndrome acute or recent myocardial infart preoperative inotropic support be induction of anesthesia, any kind mechanical assist device, those any condition potentially increas preoperative troponin I concentre e.g., coronary interventions with previous 6 weeks, or those having received any type of emergency surgery, or those with any previous acrdiac operations were excluded Patients receiving chronic treatm with acetylsalicylic acid and/or clopidogrel The proper size of diabetes mellitus (controlled by diet, oral drugs, or insulin), renal insufficiency (seru creatinine >2 mg/dl), peripheral vascular disease affecting the up limbs, acute coronary syndrome acute or recent myocardial infart preoperative inotropic support be induction of anesthesia, any kind mechanical assist device, those any condition potentially increas preoperative troponin I concentre e.g., coronary interventions with previous 6 weeks, or those having received any type of emergency surgery, combined CABG/valve surgery, or those with any previous accentration and the properative cardiac troponin I volume.	(controlled by diet, oral drugs, or insulin), renal insufficiency (serum creatinine >2 mg/dl), peripheral vascular disease affecting the upper limbs, acute coronary syndrome, acute or recent myocardial infarction, preoperative inotropic support before induction of anesthesia, any kind of mechanical assist device, those with any condition potentially increasing preoperative troponin I concentration, e.g., coronary interventions within the previous 6 weeks, or those having received any type of emergency surgery, combined CABG/valve surgery, or those with any previous cardiac operations were excluded. Patients receiving chronic treatment with acetylsalicylic acid and/or clopidogrel	Premedication: flunitrazepam, Induction: etomidate, sufentanil, rocuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, sufentanil (n=39)	Premedication: flunitrazepam, Induction: etomidate, sufentanil, rocuronium, Maintenance: propofol, sufentanil (n=33)	cardiac troponin I level	creatinine level, anesthetic and surgical data			
Landoni ⁴¹	for r repa yea		for mitral valve repair age > 18 years, written	response to an anesthetic; or use of sulfonylurea, theophylline, or	Premedication: morphine, scopolamine Induction: propofol, fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=59)	Premedication: morphine, scopolamine Induction: propofol, fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=61)	cardiac troponin I peak level	postoperative morbidity, length of hospital stay		

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1. ,

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Public	ation d	etails		Population	Interv	ention	Outcome			
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary		
Lee, J. ⁴³	aged 40 to 70 years old and undergoing an elective Ivor Lewis operation primary squamous cell or adenocarcinoma of the esophagus temperature (above 37°C), increased levels of C-reactive protein and white blood cells, an administration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent or corticosteroid within 3 months, and a vital capacity or peak expiratory volume at one minute 50% of expected		Premedication:n/r Induction: thiopental, rocuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=24)	Premedication:n/r Induction: propofol, remifentanil, rocuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=24)	interleukin 6 level	pulmonary complications and inflammatory response				
Lee,M-C. ⁴²	2006		with stable angina and multi-vessel disease undergoing elective CABG surgery	Patients with acute (1 week) myocardial infarction, unstable angina, left ventricular aneurysm or very poor left ventricular function (ejection fraction 25%), significant valvular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, advanced renal or hepatic dysfunction and those taking sulphonylurea anti-diabetic drugs or theophylline preparations	Premedication:n/r Induction: diazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=20)	Premedication:n/r Induction: diazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium Maintenance: propofol, midazolam, fentanyl (n=20)	not defined	cardiac troponin I level, hemodynmani c data, perioperative pharmacologic al inotropic support, clinical outcome		
Leung ⁴⁴	1991 single elective CABG none		none	Premedication: diazepam, morphine Induction: diazepam, thiopental, fentanyl, NMBA n/s Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=64)	Premedication: diazepam, morphine Induction: diazepam, thiopental, sufentanil, NMBA n/s Maintenance: midazolam, sufentanil (n=126)	not defined	hemodynamic data, ischemic episodes, clincial outcome			

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, NMBA: neuromusular blocking agent, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publica	ation de	tails		Population	Inter	vention	Ou	tcome
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Lindholm ⁴⁵	2013 single Consecutive patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm and/or aortic arteriosclerosis obliterans scheduled for open abdominal aortic surgery 2013 single Consecutive patients with abdominal abdominal aortic are riosclerosis obliterans scheduled for open abdominal aortic surgery 2013 single Consecutive patients with abdominal aortic surgerious infarction 30 days before inclusion, acuabdominal aortic surgery (acute dissector upture), planned laparoscopic abdominal and continuous patients with patients with abdominal autic surgery, included in other pharmaceutical studies, abuse of opioi benzodiazepines, antiepileptic drugs, alcohol, and α2-agonists, pregnant and breastfeeding women, familiar history of malignant hyperthermia, known hypersensitivity for opioids, propofol, or volatile anesthetics, serious arrhythmia ventricular fibrillation/fachycardia or tachycardia >100 beats/min (atrial fibrillation/flutter <100 beats/min was acceptable), severe valvular diseases requiring surgical repair before major noncardiac surgery, uncontrolled hypertension, serious psychiatric diseasunstable angina pectoris or myocardial infarction 30 days before inclusion, acuabdominal aortic surgery (acute dissector rupture), planned laparoscopic abdominal aortic surgery alcohol, and α2-agonists, pregnant and cohol, and α2-agonists,		pharmaceutical studies, abuse of opioids, benzodiazepines, antiepileptic drugs, alcohol, and α2-agonists, pregnant and breastfeeding women, familiar history of malignant hyperthermia, known hypersensitivity for opioids, propofol, or volatile anesthetics, serious arrhythmias; ventricular fibrillation/tachycardia or tachycardia >100 beats/min (atrial fibrillation/flutter <100 beats/min was acceptable), severe valvular diseases requiring surgical repair before major noncardiac surgery,uncontrolled hypertension, serious psychiatric disease, unstable angina pectoris or myocardial infarction 30 days before inclusion, acute abdominal aortic surgery (acute dissection or rupture), planned laparoscopic abdominal	Premedication: paracetamol, Induction: thiopental, fentanyl, vecuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=97)	Premedication: paracetamol, Induction: thiopental, fentanyl, vecuronium, Maintenance: propofol, sufentanil (n=96)	cardiac troponin I level	postoperative morbidity, diuresis, anesthetic and surgical data	
Lorsomradee 46	or rupture), planned laparoscopic abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery		Premedication: lorazepam, fentanyl, droperidol, Induction: sevoflurane, remifentanil, cis- atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=160)	Premedication: lorazepam, fentanyl, droperidol, Induction: sevoflurane, remifentanil, cis- atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=160)	serum glutamic oxaloacetic trans- aminase	cardiac troponin I, liver enzymes, renal function, hemodynamic data		

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	cation d	etails		Population	Inter	vention	Outcome		
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary	
Lurati Buse ⁴⁷	disease +planned for major surgery /general anesthesia or 2 2 risk factors for CAD + major vascular		+planned for major surgery /general anesthesia or ≥ 2 risk factors for CAD + major vascular surgery/general	current medication with sulfonylurea derivatives or theophylline unless stopped ≥2 days before surgery because these drugs reportedly inhibit anesthetic preconditioning; current congestive heart failure; current unstable angina pectoris; preoperative hemodynamic instability, defined as the use of vasopressors; hepatic disease, defined as alanine aminotransferase and/or aspartate aminotransferase values >100 U/L; renal insufficiency, defined as creatinine clearance <30 mL/min; emergent surgery; severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, defined as forced expiratory volume in the first second of expiration <1 L; prior enrollment in the study; concurrent enrollment in another RCT; pregnancy; or absence of written informed consent.	Premedication: n/s Induction:etomidate, opiods + NMBAs n/s, Maintenance: sevoflurane, opioids n/s (n=184)	Premedication: n/s Induction:etomidate, opiods + NMBAs n/s, Maintenance: propofol, opioids n/s (n=201)	ischemic episodes (composite of troponin T elevation and/or ischemia in ECG)	ECG recordings, hemodynamic variables, N- terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide	
Mahmoud ⁴⁸	2011	single	adult ASA I-III patients undergoing elective open thoracic surgery using one-lung ventilation	significant lung diseases forced expiratory volume in 1 s or vital capacity < 50% of the predicted values, heart failure or mean pulmonary artery pressure >30mmHg, coagulation disorders or a history of preoperative immuno-suppressant medications	Premedication: midazolam Induction:propofol, fentanyl, cis- atracurium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl, TEA (n=25)	Premedication: midazolam Induction:propofol, fentanyl, cis- atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl, TEA (n=25)	alveolar and plasma cytokine level (interleukin 8, tumor necrosis factor alpha)	arterial blood gas and respiratory parameters, postoperative morbidity	
Mazoti ⁴⁹	2013 single ASA I adults, scheduled for elective otorhinological surgery >120 min			smokers, alcoholics, obese, infection/inflammatory diseases, any medication or radiation therapy within last 30 days	Premedication: n/s Induction:propofol, fentanyl, rocuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl (n=16)	Premedication: n/s Induction:propofol, fentanyl, rocuronium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl, (n=18)	plasma pro- inflammatory cytokines	hemodynamic data	

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, CAD: coroanry artery disease, RCT: randomized controlled trial, TEA: thoracic epidural anesthesia, ECG: electrocardiography, NMBA: neuromuscular blocking agent, n/s: not specified in study protocol.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	ication d	etails		Population	Inter	vention	Outcome		
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary	
Meco ⁵⁰	2007	single	undergoing elective coronary artery bypass grafting elevated troponin I concentration with h before surgery, unstable angina, and within 24 h before surgery, hemodyng instability with the need for medical of mechanical inotropic support, administration of adenosine-triphosp sensitive potassium channel agonists antagonist such as diazoxide, nicora sulfonylurea, or theophylline, left mai disease, reintervention, preoperative values of creatinine > 1.7 mg/dl, chro obstructive pulmonary disease, age 70 years, preoperative ejection fracti inferior to 40%, preoperative hepatop emergencies		Premedication: n/s Induction: propofol, midazolam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, propofol, midazolam, fentanyl (n=14)	Premedication: n/s Induction: propofol, midazolam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, midazolam, fentanyl (n=14)	cardiac troponin I and N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide level	tissue doppler imaging data, hemodynamic data	
Ndoko ⁵¹	2007	single patients scheduled for elective cardiac surgery with CPB acute myocardial infarc confirmed endochemodynamic in support, severe leading and scheduled for or nitric oxide do or implantation or implantation of devices, emerge acute myocardial angina, or recent myocardial infarc confirmed endochemodynamic in support, severe leading to scheduled for or nitric oxide do or implantation or implan		consumption of sulfonylurea medications or nitric oxide donors, heart transplantation or implantation of ventricular assistance devices, emergency cardiac surgery with acute myocardial ischemia, unstable angina, or recent (<6 weeks) documented myocardial infarction, suspected or confirmed endocardial sepsis, preoperative hemodynamic instability requiring inotropic support, severe hepatic disease resulting from right ventricular dysfunction	Premedication: hydroxyzine Induction: propofol, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, propofol, sufentanil (n=128)	Premedication: hydroxyzine Induction: propofol, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, propofol, sufentanil (n=124)	postoperative dobutamine requirements	cardiac troponin I level, postoperative morbidity	
Parsons ⁵²	patients preexisting neurological disease, pregnancy, preoperative medication affecting central nervous system, participant in other trial within 28 day before surgery, Left ventricular ejection preexisting neurological disease, pregnancy, preoperative medication affecting central nervous system, participant in other trial within 28 day before surgery, Left ventricular ejections.		pregnancy, preoperative medication affecting central nervous system, participant in other trial within 28 days before surgery, Left ventricular ejection fraction < 35%, packed cell volume < 25%, unstable cardiovascular status	Premedication: morphine, hyoscine Induction: thiopental, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, fentanyl (n=25)	Premedication: morphine, hyoscine Induction: thiopental, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: midazolam, fentanyl (n=25)	not defined	hemodynamic, anesthestic and surgical data		

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	ication d	etails		Population	Inter	vention	Outcome			
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary		
Piriou ⁵³	2007	dual	patients (18-79 years) undergoing elective coronary artery bypass grafting	left ventricular ejection fraction <40%, treatment with oral hypoglycaemic sulfamide (antagonist of K _{ATP} channels), and nicorandil (agonist of K _{ATP} channels) within 5 days before surgery, emergency surgery, myocardial infarction, or clinical angina within 7 days before surgery, history of serious adverse event, or serious allergy, or any contraindication to sevoflurane, propofol, midazolam or opioids, and major coagulation disorders	Premedication: hydroxyzine Induction:propofol, sufentanl, cis- atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, propofol, sufentanil (n=36)	Premedication: hydroxyzine Induction:propofol, sufentanl, cis- atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, sufentanil (n=36)	cardiac troponin I level	hemodynamic data and tissular enzymes		
Rex ⁵⁴	2009	multicent er	ASA I-III patients (20-65 years) undergoing 2-5 h GA with NMBA use for surgery	neuromuscular disorder affecting NMB; anatomical malformation that predicts difficult intubation; history of malignant hyperthermia, significant renal dysfunction, or allergy to medications used during general anesthesia; concurrent use of medications known to interfere with NMBAs (e.g., antibiotics anticonvulsants, magnesium salts); and women who were pregnant, breastfeeding, or of childbearing potential and not using an adequate method of contraception	Premedication: n/s Induction: propofol, opioids n/s, rocuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, opioids n/s (n=26)	Premedication: n/s Induction: propofol, opioids n/s, rocuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, opioids n/s (n=25)	time to recovery of train of four	clinical effect of sugammadex		
Royse ⁵⁵ 2011 single patients >18years scheduled for elective CABG under CPB without add. procedure, able to sufficiently speak english		>18years scheduled for elective CABG under CPB without add. procedure, able to sufficiently	dialysis dependent renal failure, liver transaminases more than 1.5 times normal, pre-existing diagnosis of schizophrenia, dementia, recent stroke, known disorder affecting cognition, severe anxiety states, recent alcohol abuse or a history of chronic opioid or other psychotropic drug use	Premedication: n/s Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, rocuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=91)	Premedication: n/s Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, rocuronium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=89)	postopertive cognitive dysfunction	postoperative morbidity			

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, GA: general anesthesia, NMBA: neuromuscular blocking agent, n/s: not specified in study protocol, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass, K_{ATP}: adenosine triphosphate dependent potassium channels.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	cation d	etails		Population	Interv	ention	0	utcome
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Schoen ⁵⁶	2011	single	patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery with CPB	age below 18 yr, overt neurological diseases or dementia, significant stenosis of the carotid arteries, pregnancy, contraindications for sevoflurane, insufficient knowledge of the German language, and emergency indication	Premedication: n/s Induction:etomodate, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, propofol, remifentanil (n=59)	Premedication: n/s Induction:etomodate, sufentanil, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=60)	cognitive function	postoperative morbidity, cardiac troponin I, creatine kinase, myocardial fraction of creatine kinase, anesthesia and surgical data
Searle ⁵⁷	1996	Multi- center	elective CAPB, ASA III-IV, NYHA I-II	significant valvular disease, , ejection fraction<30%, uninterpretible ECG (Left bundle branch block, atrioventricular block II and III), childbearing potenital, drug and alcohol abuse	Premedication: diazepam, morphine Induction:midazolam, fentanyl, vecuronium, Maintenance:isoflurane, fentanyl (n=133)	Premedication: diazepam, morphine Induction:midazolam, fentanyl, vecuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=140)	not defined	hemodynamic parameters, myocardial ischemia detected by ECG
Slogoff ⁵⁸	1989	single	elective CABG, 21-75 age	previous cardiac operation, emergency procedure, additional procedure to CABG, severe systemic non-cardiac disease other than diabetes, hypertension, history of allergy to any drug that might be administered, preop ECG diagnosis of ischemia (left bundle branch block) or failure to obtain consent	Premedication: n/r Induction:diazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane (n=253)	Premedication: n/r Induction:diazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: high dose sufentanil (n=254)	not defined	intraoperative ischemia, intraoperative hemodynamic data, postoperative morbidity
Song, J- C. ⁵⁹	2010	single	block) or failure to obtain consent ASA physical status I/II/III therapies (cryosurgery or radiofrequency ablation), prior liver resection for donation or scheduled resection not requiring inflow occlusion		Premedication: midazolam, atropine Induction:sevoflurane, fentanyl, cis-atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, TEA (n=50)	Premedication: midazolam, atropine Induction:propofol, fentanyl, cis-atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, TEA (n=50)	peak alanine transaminase level	hemodynamic data, liver enzymes (aspartate aminotransferase, total bilirubin, prothrombin time, albumin), postoperative morbidity

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass, NYHA: New York Heart Association classification, TEA: thoracic epidural anesthesia, ECG: electrocardiography.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	cation o	letails		Population	Inter	vention	Ou	tcome
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Song, J- G. ⁶⁰	years) scheduled for thoracotomy during surgery for lung and oesophageal cancer severe cardiovascular disease (NYHA III or IV), severe pulmonary disease, contraindications to epidural catheter placement (coagulopathy, infection or patient refusal)		Premedication: n/s Induction: etomidate, opioids n/s, rocuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, TEA (n=176)	Premedication: n/s Induction: etomidate, opioids n/s, rocuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil, TEA (n=177)	incidence of post- thoracotomy pain syndrome six month after surgery	anesthetic and surgical intraoperative data, postoperative morbidity, postoperative pain and analgesic use		
Soro ⁶¹	2012	single	patients (>18 years) scheduled for elective CABG and > 4 h of postoperative sedation	combined surgery, reintervention, valve dysfunction, preoperative troponin I more than 0.5 ng/ml, altered liver (serum aspartate transaminase or serum glutamate pyruvate transaminase concentration >150 IU/I) or kidney function (serum creatinine concentration >132mmol/I) and history of chronic alcoholism or neurological disease	Premedication: lorazepam Induction: etomidate, midazolam, fentanyl,cis-atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, midazolam, remifentanil (n=36)	Premedication: lorazepam Induction: etomidate, midazolam, fentanyl, cisatracurium, Maintenance: propofol, midazolam, remifentanil (n=37)	cardiac troponin I level	myocardial biomarkers, hemodynamic data, postoperative morbidity
Story ⁶²	2001 single patients for CABG Emergency surgery, valve surgery, obesity (body mass index >35 kg/m²), preoperative renal dialysis, lung disease treated with oral corticosteroids		Premedication: papavaretum, scopolamine Induction: diazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, fentanyl, morphine (n=120)	Premedication: papavaretum, scopolamine Induction: diazepam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl, morphine (n=120)	creatinine level	urea levels		

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, NYHA: New York Heart Association classification, TEA: thoracic epidural anesthesia, ECG: electrocardiography, n/s: not specified.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	cation d	etails		Population	Inter	vention	Ou	tcome
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Thomson 63	1991	single	elective CABG, LVEF >34%	BW>110kg, Hct<25%, 35°C>T>38°C, disease of central nervous system, chronic exposure to or abuse of alcohol or drugs, general anesthesia 7 days before surgery, adverse reaction to anesthetics or opioids, malignant hyperthermia, respiratory disease sufficient to alter inhaled anesthetic uptake, recent use of any experimental drug or device	Premedication: morphine im, scopolamine im, Induction: thiopental, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, midazolam, fentanyl, (n=20)	im, scopolamine im, lnduction: thiopental, pancuronium, nce: isoflurane, m, fentanyl, midazolam, fentanyl, (n=21)		ecg recordings, hemodynamic variables, myocardial ischemia markers (CK, CK-MB)
Tritapepe 64	2007	multi- center	All subjects underwent isolated CABG and were eligible if referred for isolated elective coronary bypass surgery and were 18 yr of age.	CABG planned with the off-pump technique; any other surgical procedure during current admission; a Q-wave myocardial infarction in the preceding 6 weeks; valve insufficiency; active congestive heart failure; previous unusual response to an anesthetic; an experimental drug within 28 days before surgery; use of sulfonylurea, theophylline or allopurinol.	Premedication: diazepam, morphine, scopolamine, Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: desflurane, fentanyl (n=75)	Premedication: diazepam, morphine, scopolamine, Induction: midazolam, fentanyl, pancuronium, Maintenance: propofol, fentanyl (n=75)	cardiac troponin I level	postoperative morbidity, hemodynmaic data
Xu ⁶⁵	2014	single	elective open- chest coagulation disorders, hepatic and thoracotomy for esophagectomy		Premedication: n/r Induction: sevoflurane, remifentanil, cis- atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, remifentanil (n=20)	Premedication: n/r Induction: propofol, remifentanil, cis- atracurium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=20)	Not defined	hemodynamic data

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology physical status, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, ECG: electrocardiography, LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction, CK: creatine kinase, CK-MB: myocardial fraction of creatine kinase, BW: body weigth, Hct: hemotocrit, T: temperature, n/r: not reported.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Publi	cation d	etails		Population	Interv	vention	Outcome			
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary		
Yildirim ⁶⁶	2009	single	CABG	previous coronary or valve heart surgery, combined surgical procedures (valve repair etc), unstable angina, valve insuffiency, documented acute myocardial infarction within the previous 6 weeks, active congestive heart failure, hemodynmaic instability reqiureing medical or mechanical support, severe hepatic disease, renal insufficieny, severe chronic obstructive lung disease, or history of neurological disturbances	Premedication: diazepam Induction: midazolam, remifentanil, vecuronium, Maintenance: isoflurane, remifentanil (n=20)	Premedication: diazepam Induction: propofol, remifentanil, vecuronium, Maintenance: propofol, remifentanil (n=20)	not defined	hemodynamic data, cardiac troponin I, thiobarbiturate acid-reactive substance, nitrous oxide, glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase levels		
Y00 ⁶⁷	2014	single	insufficieny, severe chronic obstructive lung disease, or history of neurological disturbances valvular heart surgery pre-existing renal insufficiency (serum creatinine level >1.5mg/dl in men or >1.3mg/dl in women),36 older than 80 years, coronary artery occlusive disease, hepatic or pulmonary,		Premedication: n/r Induction: midazolam, sufentanil, rocuronium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, sufentanil (n=56)	Premedication: n/r Induction: propofol, sufentanil, rocuronium, Maintenance: propofol, sufentanil (n=56)	incidence of acute kidney injury	cystatin C, interleukin 1, interleukin 6, tumor necrosis factor alpha, cardiac fraction of creatine kinase, postoperative morbidity		

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, n/r: not reported.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 6: Detailed trial information continued

Public	cation d	etails	Popu	lation	Interv	vention	Out	come
Author*	Year	Centers	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Treatment (VOL)	Control (TIVA or VOL)	Primary	Secondary
Zangrillo ⁶⁸	2011	single	patients with a Lee index ≥2 scheduled for elective lung surgery and major peripheral vascular surgery, one-lung ventilation for lung (using either thoracotomic or thoracoscopic approach) or peripheral revascularization surgery, age> 18 years, written informed consent, and planned for general anesthesia	previous unusual response to an anesthetic use of sulfonylurea, theophylline, or allopurinol	fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance:	Premedication: diazepam Induction: thiopental, fentanyl, atracurium, Maintenance: sevoflurane, fentanyl (n=44)	cardiac troponin I	postoperative morbidity and mortality,

VOL: volatile anesthetics, TIVA: total intravenous anesthesia.*: References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests

Publica detai									Risk of I	Bias Assessm	nent							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	ger	quence neration ction bias)	conce	cation ealment ion bias)	stud	of participants, by personnel ormance bias)	asse	of outcome ssment ion bias)	Incomplete data (attrition bias)		re	Selective outcome eporting - primary outcome rition bias)	secondary outcome (attrition		o	other
Alvarez ¹	1990	no specific statement	high risk	randomized by even/ uneven days	high risk	randomized by even/ uneven days	high risk	no blinding	high risk	no blinding	high risk	no patient lost to follow-up	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Amr ²	2010	none declared	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient lost to follow-up	low risk	only deaths reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Baki ³	2013	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	only deaths reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Ballester	2011	none declared	low risk	computer- generated	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	only surgeons blinded, not considered to increase risk of bias	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	2/40 excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Beck-S. ⁶	2012	none declared	low risk	computer- generated, stratified	low risk	concealed online	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	4/195 excluded, handling of missing data reported	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	AEs pre- defined	low risk	none
Beck-S. ⁵	2008	grant by manufacturer (Abbott)	low risk	computer- generated, non- stratified	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	only surgeons blinded, not considered to increase risk of bias	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	6/70 excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	AEs pre- defined	low risk	none
Bein ⁷	2005	none declared	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	2/50 excluded	low risk	no death reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica deta										Risk of Bias Asse	esmant							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration ion bias)	cond	ocation cealment ction bias)	particip per	nding of pants, study rsonnel mance bias)	Blindi assessme	ng of outcome nt (detection bias)	Incom	nplete data tion bias)	o re p	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)	,	other
Bharti ⁸	2008	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	only death reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Biboulet ⁹	2012	none declared	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclea r risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	2/45 excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	AEs predefined	low risk	none
Bignami ¹⁰	2012	none declared	low risk	computer- generated	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	all patients analyzed, ITT analysis performed	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	main AEs defined, definition of EPPC only partially reported	low risk	none
Braz ¹¹	2013	none declared	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	according to clinicaltrials.g ov, participants were blinded to intervention	low risk	according to clinicaltrials.gov, investigators were blinded to intervention	low risk	none lost to follow up	low risk	all pateints survived	low risk	no AE obtained	low risk	none
Cavalca ¹²	2008	no specific statement	low risk	computer- generated	low risk	intra- operative investigato rs blinded to treatment until the morning of surgery and after enrollment	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	1/44 excluded	low risk	all pateints survived	low risk	no major AE obtained	low risk	none
Conzen ¹³	2003	financial support by department al grant	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclea r risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no information regarding mechanical ventilation settings and fluid therapy is provided

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica deta										Risk of Bias Ass	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration ion bias)	con	location cealment ction bias)	particip per	nding of pants, study rsonnel mance bias)	Blindi	ng of outcome nent (detection bias)	Incom	nplete data tion bias)	o re F o	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)	,	other
Crom- heecke ¹⁴	2006	no specific statement	low risk	computer- generated random code	low risk	"The participant randomization assignment was concealed in an envelope until the start of anesthesia."	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	only one outcome assessor blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
De Conno ¹⁵	2009	financial support by institutional grants and grant by manufacturer (Abbott)	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	high risk	16/70 patients excluded, due to intraoperative change of surgical procedure	low risk	all patients survived	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none
De Hert ²⁰	2009	financial support by grants from manufacturer (Abbott, Baxter, GSK)	low risk	computeris ed block randomisat ion	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	high risk	free choice of the opioid and NMBAs
De Hert ¹⁷	2003	financial support by governmental grant	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	only one outcome assessor blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	deaths reported	low risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
De Hert ¹⁶	2002	financial support by governmental grant	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none
De Hert ¹⁸	2004	none declared, financial support by institutional and departement al resources	low risk	computer- generated code	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement regarding blinding of participants, data collectors blinded	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1. GSK: GaxoSmithKline, NMBAs: neuromuscular blockers.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica deta										Risk of Bias Asse	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration ion bias)	cond	ocation cealment tion bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel nance bias)		ng of outcome ment (detection bias)		nplete data tion bias)	o re r	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)		other
De Hert	2004	none declared, financial support by institutional and departement al resources	low risk	computer- generated code	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement regarding blinding of participants, data collectors blinded	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none
Deegan ²¹	2010	none declared, financial support by two independent research grants	low risk	secure web-based system	low risk	secure web- based system	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	paravertebral block intstead of opioid in TIVA group
Eremeev 22	2011	none declared	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	high risk	no blinding	high risk	no blinding	low risk	no patients excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined, only "serious adverse events assessed 3 days after surgery, at discharge, 30 days and one year after surgery" according to clinicaltrials.g	unclear risk	different duration of surgical procedures between groups

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica deta										Risk of Bias Ass	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration ion bias)	cond	ocation cealment tion bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel nance bias)	Blindi	ng of outcome nent (detection bias)	Incom	plete data tion bias)	o re F o	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)		other
Flier ²³	2010	none declared, financial support by grant from the European Association of Cardio- Thoracic Anaesthesiol ogists and departement al funds	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	unclear risk	no patient lost to follow- up, in 13/100 patients the intervention was discontinued	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Fräßdorf ²⁴	2009	financial support from manufacturer (Abbott) and governmental grant	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Fudickar ²⁵	2014	one author received lecture fees from Abbvie	low risk	block randomizat ion, selfmade	low risk	sealed envelopes	high risk	no blinding reported	high risk	one outcome assesor was blindend to the primary otcome of the trial, no further blinding reported	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	high risk	ischemic pre- conditioning was performed in control group in addition to TIVA
Garcia ²⁶	2005	financial support from manufacturer (Abbott)	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement regarding blinding of participants, studypersonn el was blinded	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no information regarding mechanical ventilation settings and fluid therapy is provided

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica deta										Risk of Bias Asso	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration ion bias)	cond	ocation cealment tion bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel ance bias)	Blindii	ng of outcome nent (detection bias)	Incom	iplete data tion bias)	o re p	elective utcome porting - primary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)	,	other
Gasz- ynski ²⁷	2011	financial support by governmental grant	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	high risk	100 patients included, but only complete data from 81 patients is reported, without further specification why	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no information regarding mechanical ventilation settings and fluid therapy is provided
Godet ²⁸	1990	none declared	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	high risk	not pre- defined, PPCs only for patients who died reported	high risk	systemic nitroprussid infusion in the control group
Gravel ²⁹	1999	none declared	unclear risk	block randomizat ion 3:3, not further specified	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	only participants blinded to intervention	high risk	outcome assessor not blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Guarra- cino ³⁰	2006	Provision of Desflurane for free by manufacturer (Baxter)	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none
Helman ³¹	1992	financial support from manufacturer (Anaquest)	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no information regarding mechanical ventilation settings and fluid therapy is provided

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica deta										Risk of Bias Ass	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration ion bias)	cond	ocation cealment ction bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel nance bias)		ng of outcome nent (detection bias)		nplete data tion bias)	o re p o	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)	,	other
Howie ³²	1996	none declared	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	high risk	6 patients from TIVA group excluded due to inability to maintain baseline hemodynami c stability	uncl ear risk	all patients survived, but severe hypotensio n occured in the excluded patients	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	different neuromuscula r blocking agents used
Huang ³³	2011	financial support by governmental grant	low risk	computer- generated random code	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	high risk	one patient was excluded due to severe intraoperative right coronary artery thrombosis, who died	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Jovic ³⁴	2012	financial support by governmental grant	unclear risk	"randomly allocated"	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded, only isoflurane and propfol group for the present meta- analysis analysed	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no information regarding mechanical ventilation settings and fluid therapy is provided
Kendall ³⁵	2004	financial support by intstitutional funds	low risk	shuffled envelopes	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no explicit statement, only "single blind" reported	unclear risk	no explicit statement, only "single blind" reported	low risk	no patient excluded, only isoflurane and propfol group for the present meta- analysis analysed	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica detai										Risk of Bias Asso	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration ion bias)	cond	ocation cealment tion bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel nance bias)		ng of outcome nent (detection bias)		iplete data tion bias)	o re F o	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)		other
Kirov ³⁶	2007	financial support by governmental grants and Pulsion Medical Systems (provided technical support)	unclear risk	only "randomize d" statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	None
Ko ³⁸	2010	financial support by unrestricted educational institutional grant	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no specific statement	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no data regarding mechincal ventilation settings reported
Ko ³⁷	2008	none declared	low risk	computer- generated list	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	high risk	no analgesic reported for desflurane group
Kortekaas ³⁹	2014	governmental grant	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	patients were blinded, no statement regarding blinding of study personnel	unclear risk	no statement regarding blinding	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	nothing reported regarding mechanical ventilation settings and fluid management

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica detai										Risk of Bias Asse	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration tion bias)	cond	ocation cealment ction bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel aance bias)		ng of outcome ment (detection bias)		plete data tion bias)	o re i	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)	(other
Kotten- berg ⁴⁰	2012	none declared	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no statement reqarding blinidn of participants, study personnel partially blinded	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	high risk	remote ischemic preconditioni ng was used in two groups additionally
Landoni ⁴¹	2007	none declared	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	None
Lee, J. ⁴³	2012	intstitutional grant	low risk	computer generated list in ACTRN registered protocol	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	high risk	10/58 patients 5 in each group) were excluded after randomizatio n due to incomplete data acquisition	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none
Lee,M- C. ⁴²	2006	intstitutional grant	unclear risk	"randomize d"	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	deaths reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no data reqarding intraoperativ e ventilation and fluid managemnt shown

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica deta										Risk of Bias Asso	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration ion bias)	cond	ocation cealment ction bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel nance bias)		ng of outcome ment (detection bias)		plete data tion bias)	o re F o	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)	,	other
Leung ⁴⁴	1991	financial support by governmental grants	high risk	"randomize d" reported but group size was unequal (124 vs. 62 patients)	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	high risk	only cardiac deaths reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	high risk	no data regarding mechanical ventilation and fluid management reported, high opioid anesthesia
Lindholm 45	2013	institutional and departement al funding, First author received presentation fees from manufacturer (Baxter)	low risk	block randomizat ion 1:1	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	participants not blinded, study personnel not blinded	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	different opioids used
Lorsomra dee ⁴⁶	2006	not reported	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	no specific statement on blinding of participants, but double- blind trial design;data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica detai										Risk of Bias Asso	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration tion bias)	cond	ocation cealment ction bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel aance bias)		ng of outcome ment (detection bias)		plete data tion bias)	o re I	elective outcome porting - orimary outcome rition bias)	rep second	ve outcome porting - ary outcome ition bias)	,	other
Lurati Buse ⁴⁷	2012	financial support by instituional grants and manufacturer (Abbott)	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	unclear risk	17/385 patients were erroneously randomized to the wrong group
Mahmoud ⁴⁸	2011	none declared	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	statistician ensured "proper concealment"	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Mazoti ⁴⁹	2013	none declared	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	no specific statement	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	unclear risk	2/36 patients excluded after enrollment before randomizatio n, becuase "critical data were missing"	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Meco ⁵⁰	2007	none declared	low risk	"The randomisat ion manageme nt was delegated to a person unconnect ed to the clinical experiment ation"	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no data reqarding intraoperativ e ventilation and fluid managemnt shown

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica deta										Risk of Bias Asso	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gen	uence eration ion bias)	cond	ocation cealment ction bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel nance bias)	Blindi	ng of outcome ment (detection bias)	Incom	iplete data tion bias)	o re F o	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome ition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)	(other
Ndoko ⁵¹	2007	none declared	unclear risk	sequence generation not further specified	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	high risk	28/280 patients were excluded from the analysis due to severe complications	uncl ear risk	mortality only reported from the patients not excluded from analysis	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no data reqarding intraoperativ e ventilation and fluid managemnt shown
Parsons ⁵²	1994	grant from manufacturer (Anaquest)	low risk	random number table	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	1/51 patients, excluded due to equipment failure	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Piriou ⁵³	2007	financial support by manufacturer (Laboratoire Abbott France)	low risk	blocked randomizat ion stratified by center	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no blinding, but the primary outcome is not likely to be influenced	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	8/72 patients excluded due to protocol deviations (all sevoflurane group), but data is reported in the intention- to-treat analysis	low risk	all patients survived	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none
Rex ⁵⁴	2009	financial support by manufacturer (Schering- Plough)		central randomizat ion list system	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	1/51 patients lost to follow- up	low risk	all patients survived	low risk	not pre- defined, but this trial was a safety study and all Aes and SAEs irregardless of causation were strictly monitored	low risk	none

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publica										Risk of Bias Ass	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	gene	uence eration ion bias)	cond	ocation cealment ction bias)	participa pers	ding of ants, study sonnel ance bias)	Blindii	ng of outcome nent (detection bias)	Incom	nplete data tion bias)	re I	elective utcome porting - orimary utcome rition bias)	rep seconda	ve outcome orting - ary outcome tion bias)		other
Royse ⁵⁵	2011	investigator initiated trial, financial support by manufacturer (Baxter)	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	3/182 patients lost to follow-up	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	None
Schoen ⁵⁶	2011	two authors recieved honoria for lectures from Coviedien, financial grant support by manufacturer (Abbott)	low risk	multiple randomizat ion lists, stratified	low risk	investigators had no access to the randomizatio n lists	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	11/128 patients lost to follow-up, but relevant data for this meta- analysis of these patients is reported	low risk	death reported	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none
Searle ⁵⁷	1996	financial support by manufacturer (Abbott)	unclear risk	block randomizat ion 1:1, no statement about sequence generation	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	only one outcome assessor blinded	unclear risk	11/284 patients excluded from analysis (different reasons mentioned)	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no data regarding mechincal ventilation settings reported
Slogoff ⁵⁸	1989	none declared	low risk	random number table	low risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	deaths reported	low risk	pre-defined	unclear risk	no data reqarding intraoperative ventilation and fluid managemnt shown
Song, J- C. ⁵⁹	2010	none declared, financial support by governmental grant	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient lost to follow- up	low risk	all patients survived	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publication details										Risk of Bias Asso	essment							
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	Sequence generation (selection bias)		Allocation concealment (selection bias)		Blinding of participants, study personnel (performance bias)		Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)		Incomplete data (attrition bias)		Selective outcome reporting - primary outcome (attrition bias)		Selective outcome reporting - secondary outcome (attrition bias)		other	
Song, J- G. ⁶⁰	2012	none declared	low risk	computer- generated list	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement on blinding of participants, data collectors blinded	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	13/366 patients lost to follow-up and 10/183 deaths within six months	low risk	deaths reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	None
Soro ⁶¹	2012	none declared, no financial support declared	low risk	random number table generator	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and study personnel blinded to intervention	low risk	double blind double dummy design	low risk	2/75 patients excluded because surgery was not carried out (propofol group)	low risk	deaths reported	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none
Story ⁶²	2001	financial support from manufacturer (Abbott and AstraZeneca)	low risk	random number table	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	highr risk	34/360 patients excluded from intention-to- treat analysis	uncl ear risk	one patient who died was excluded from analysis and the reason for that is unclear. The patient possibly died intraoperati vely.	low risk	pre-defined	low risk	none
Thomson 63	1991	none declared	low risk	random number table generator	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	only one outcome assessor blinded	low risk	no specific statement	low risk	deaths reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no data regarding mechincal ventilation settings reported
Tritapepe 64	2007	free provision of desflurane by manufacturer (Baxter)	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient lost to follow- up	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	low risk	daily evaluation of Aes	low risk	none

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.

Table 7: Detailed risk of bias assessment and conflict of interests continued

Publication details				Risk of Bias Assessment														
Author*	Year	Conflicts of interest/ financial support	Sequence generation (selection bias)		Allocation concealment (selection bias)		Blinding of participants, study personnel (performance bias)		Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)		Incomplete data (attrition bias)		Selective outcome reporting - primary outcome (attrition bias)		Selective outcome reporting - secondary outcome (attrition bias)		other	
Xu ⁶⁵	2014	none declared	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	no specific statement	unclear risk	no specific statement	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	deaths reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Yildirim ⁶⁶	2009	none declared	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient lost to follow- up	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no data reqarding intraoperativ e ventilation and fluid managemnt shown
Yoo ⁶⁷	2014	none declared	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	unclear risk	blinding of participants not reported, study personnel blinded	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	no patient excluded	low risk	all patients survived	unclear risk	not pre- defined	low risk	none
Zangrillo ⁶⁸	2011	none declared	low risk	computer- generated list	low risk	sealed envelopes	low risk	participants and data collectors blinded to intervention	low risk	outcome assesors blinded	low risk	1/88 patients lost to follow- up	low risk	mortality of all patients reported	unclear risk	not pre- defined	unclear risk	no data reqarding intraoperativ e ventilation and fluid managemnt shown, postoperativ e complication s all summarized

^{*:} References are listed in table 5 in the supplemental digital content file 1.