## TRIPOD Checklist: Prediction Model Development and Validation

Section/Topic	ltem		Checklist Item	Page
Title and abstract	1		Identify the study as developing and/or validating a multivariable prediction model, the	1†
Title	1	D;V	target population, and the outcome to be predicted. Provide a summary of objectives, study design, setting, participants, sample size,	1'
Abstract	2	D;V	predictors, outcome, statistical analysis, results, and conclusions.	7-8
Introduction				
Background and objectives	3a	D;V	Explain the medical context (including whether diagnostic or prognostic) and rationale for developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including references to existing models.	10-11
	3b	D;V	Specify the objectives, including whether the study describes the development or validation of the model or both.	11
Methods				
	4a	D;V	Describe the study design or source of data (e.g., randomized trial, cohort, or registry data), separately for the development and validation data sets, if applicable.	12
Source of data	4b	D;V	Specify the key study dates, including start of accrual; end of accrual; and, if applicable,	12
	5a	D;V	end of follow-up. Specify key elements of the study setting (e.g., primary care, secondary care, general	12
Participants		,	population) including number and location of centres.	
	5b 5c	D;V D:V	Describe eligibility criteria for participants. Give details of treatments received, if relevant.	12 N/A
	6a	D;V	Clearly define the outcome that is predicted by the prediction model, including how and	13
Outcome	6b	D;V	when assessed. Report any actions to blind assessment of the outcome to be predicted.	N/A
		,	Clearly define all predictors used in developing or validating the multivariable prediction	
Predictors	7a	D;V	model, including how and when they were measured.	13-16
1 100101010	7b	D;V	Report any actions to blind assessment of predictors for the outcome and other predictors.	N/A
Sample size	8	D;V	Explain how the study size was arrived at.	12, 14
Missing data	9	D;V	Describe how missing data were handled (e.g., complete-case analysis, single imputation, multiple imputation) with details of any imputation method.	15-17, Supp Digtl Content 2
Statistical analysis methods	10a	D	Describe how predictors were handled in the analyses.	13-15
	10b	D	Specify type of model, all model-building procedures (including any predictor selection), and method for internal validation.	14-16
	10c	V	For validation, describe how the predictions were calculated.	14-16
	10d	D;V	Specify all measures used to assess model performance and, if relevant, to compare multiple models.	15-16
Diele energy	10e	V	Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done.	N/A
Risk groups Development	11	D;V V	Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done. For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility	15-16
vs. validation	12	V	criteria, outcome, and predictors.	14-15
Results			Departies the flow of portion onto the patrick, including the graph of portion of	F
Participants	13a	D;V	Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful.	Fig 1
	13b	D;V	Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Supp Digtl Content 1, 3
	13c	V	For validation, show a comparison with the development data of the distribution of important variables (demographics, predictors and outcome).	Supp Digtl Content 4, 5A-5C
Model development	14a	D	Specify the number of participants and outcome events in each analysis.	Supp Digtl Content 1
	14b	D	If done, report the unadjusted association between each candidate predictor and	Supp Digtl
Model specification	15a	D	outcome. Present the full prediction model to allow predictions for individuals (i.e., all regression coefficients, and model intercept or baseline survival at a given time point).	Content 1 Table 1, Supp Digtl
	15b	D		Content 4 19-20,
	100	U	Explain how to the use the prediction model.	Table 1, 3 19, Supp
Model performance	16	D;V	Report performance measures (with CIs) for the prediction model.	Digtl Content 7A- 7D
	17	V	If done, report the results from any model updating (i.e., model specification, model performance).	N/A
Model-updating				-
Model-updating Discussion				
	18	D;V	Discuss any limitations of the study (such as nonrepresentative sample, few events per predictor, missing data).	26-27
Discussion		D;V V	predictor, missing data). For validation, discuss the results with reference to performance in the development	26-27 24
Discussion	18		predictor, missing data). For validation, discuss the results with reference to performance in the development data, and any other validation data. Give an overall interpretation of the results, considering objectives, limitations, results	
Discussion Limitations	18 19a 19b	V D;V	predictor, missing data). For validation, discuss the results with reference to performance in the development data, and any other validation data. Give an overall interpretation of the results, considering objectives, limitations, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	24 23-25
Discussion Limitations	18 19a	V	predictor, missing data). For validation, discuss the results with reference to performance in the development data, and any other validation data. Give an overall interpretation of the results, considering objectives, limitations, results	24

TRAPOD

## TRIPOD Checklist: Prediction Model Development and Validation



				Figure/ Appendices			
Funding	22	D;V	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study.	5-6			
<sup>†</sup> Please note that although this study develops a prediction model (preoperative risk for postoperative acute kidney							
taken A. the forecast the mean model is an harm this way define an electric with the second taken between to be a second to a							

injury), the focus of the manuscript is on how this prediction model interacts with the association between intraoperative hypotension and postoperative acute kidney injury; therefore, the authors did not include the term "prediction model" in the title.

\*Items relevant only to the development of a prediction model are denoted by D, items relating solely to a validation of a prediction model are denoted by V, and items relating to both are denoted D;V. We recommend using the TRIPOD Checklist in conjunction with the TRIPOD Explanation and Elaboration document.