

Appendix 1. Clinical Quality Scoring

Score	Meaning
4	Optimal image quality consistent with highest quality in-clinic setting images Organ has been clearly visualized in all dimensions and rater can easily interpret images
3	Acceptable image quality. Imaging is consistent with minimum standard of clinical-quality and the organ of interest is captured in all dimensions. Images are easily interpreted by raters.
2	Below threshold of clinical-quality. For example, organ is suboptimally visualized, video does not sufficiently capture the organ of interest, or images are otherwise not readily interpretable.
1	Nondiagnostic. No organ images are observed

Chung EH, Petishnok LC, Conyers JM, Schimer DA, Vitek WS, Harris AL, et al. Virtual compared with in-clinic transvaginal ultrasonography for ovarian reserve assessment. *Obstet Gynecol* 2022;139.

The authors provided this information as a supplement to their article.

©2022 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.

Page 1 of 2

Appendix 2. Rater Performance

Rater metrics	Rater A	Rater B	Rater C (tiebreaker)
Images rated	334	334	15 (8 at home, 7 in-clinic)
Rated 3 or 4 (virtual, in-clinic)	96.4%, 98.2%	97.0%, 98.8%	62.5%, 57.1%
Average image rating (virtual, in-clinic)	3.75, 3.84	3.80, 3.89	2.63, 2.29
AFC per ovary (virtual, in clinic)*	13.45, 13.08	9.07, 8.79	N/A

*Based on ovaries with AFC provided by that rater in virtual and in-clinic