

NOTICE: This document contains correspondence generated during peer review and subsequent revisions but before transmittal to production for composition and copyediting:

- Comments from the reviewers and editors (email to author requesting revisions)
- Response from the author (cover letter submitted with revised manuscript)*

Personal or nonessential information may be redacted at the editor's discretion.

Questions about these materials may be directed to the *Obstetrics & Gynecology* editorial office: obgyn@greenjournal.org.

^{*}The corresponding author has opted to make this information publicly available.

Date: Aug 19, 2022

To: "Abigail Ford Winkel"

From: "The Green Journal" em@greenjournal.org

Subject: Your Submission ONG-22-1255

RE: Manuscript Number ONG-22-1255

Facilitating an Optimal Transition to Residency in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Dear Dr. Winkel:

Thank you for sending us your work for consideration for publication in Obstetrics & Gynecology. Your manuscript has been reviewed by the Editorial Board and by special expert referees. The Editors would like to invite you to submit a revised version for further consideration.

If you wish to revise your manuscript, please read the following comments submitted by the reviewers and Editors. Each point raised requires a response, by either revising your manuscript or making a clear argument as to why no revision is needed in the cover letter.

To facilitate our review, we prefer that the cover letter you submit with your revised manuscript include each reviewer and Editor comment below, followed by your response. That is, a point-by-point response is required to each of the EDITOR COMMENTS (if applicable), REVIEWER COMMENTS, STATISTICAL EDITOR COMMENTS (if applicable), and EDITORIAL OFFICE COMMENTS below. Your manuscript will be returned to you if a point-by-point response to each of these sections is not included.

The revised manuscript should indicate the position of all changes made. Please use the "track changes" feature in your document (do not use strikethrough or underline formatting).

Your submission will be maintained in active status for 21 days from the date of this letter. If we have not heard from you by Sep 09, 2022, we will assume you wish to withdraw the manuscript from further consideration.

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

Reviewer #1: The authors present a national curriculum to improve the transition to residency in OBGYN. They explain the rationale behind this initiative and provide arguments in favor of its implementation.

I found the topic timely and relevant. It addresses the need for a standardized transition to residency in the context of disparities among medical students that match into OBGYN. The manuscript is well organized and it provides a clear idea about the process followed to develop the curriculum.

Some sections in the introduction felt redundant and I think they could be merged. For example:

Lines 21 to 44: These two paragraphs basically address the same issues: changes in the field of OBGYN, challenges with the transition to residency and curricula implementation has a way to address those challenges.

Lines 46 to 68: The idea that we nee more structured curricula is mentioned in two different paragraphs: "An explicit curriculum is needed" (line 50-51) and "More structured learning and better support for transition is urgently needed" (line 62-63) are very similar ideas.

My suggestion is to have only three paragraphs in the introduction, each one with defined goals:

Paragraph#1. State the problem: Why is the transition to residency so challenging? What do we know about intern readiness from prior studies? How does diversity in medical school experiences add to the problem? What are the potential consequences for both interns and patients if these challenges are not addressed?

Paragraph#2. Propose curricula development as a way to address these challenges: What does the data on pre-residency preparedness courses show? How would the implementation of national curricula would improve patient care and resident wellness?

8/30/2022, 4:31 PM

Paragraph#3. Introduce you curriculum and the importance of a collaborative approach.

On the other hand, I would suggest to provide more details in other sections of the manuscript:

Lines 93 to 98: Among all the available material, How did you choose what to include? What was the actual process? Did all the participants review the content? Did they all vote to decide or was there a different method of topic selection? Were there any differences in opinion regarding what material should be included? If so, How were these differences resolved? How was the curriculum advertised to residency programs and medical students?

Lines 122 to 125: What was the process to develop the multiple-choice questions? Were they taken from an available question bank or were they written by the workgroup members? If written, Was there a validation process?

Lines 127 to 133: Are the results of the self-assessment being collected for future research? If so, what type of study are you planning?

Lines 226 to 228: What metrics will be used to assess the impact of the program? What tools will be use for quality improvement?

Reviewer #2: This paper is a review of a robust program developed for transitioning residents from undergraduate medical education into residency. They describe the reasoning behind the necessity of a curriculum of this nature and the various components that were developed. They discuss the importance of residents starting a program with the right skills and attitudes for success.

Reviewer #3: This paper addresses the undergraduate to graduate medical education transition, defining success as an intern possessing the requisite skills and knowledge combined with professional identity formation. This paper provides new information about a coordinated, flexible, and equitable curricula to ensure preparedness for residency. The key components of this program include self-directed learning, small group workshops, and coaching.

This program addresses a crucial transition point in medical education. It acknowledges that an individual student's experience may be highly variable due to disparities that exist within medical education. This is a clinically relevant topic as a diverse workforce is more likely to provide care for underserved populations and patient-physician racial/ethnic concordance is associated with higher levels of trust. This commentary provides a compelling and tangible mechanism to increase diversity, equity, and inclusion among OB/GYN residents by providing a level starting point to their residency training.

EDITORIAL OFFICE COMMENTS:

- 1. If your article is accepted, the journal will publish a copy of this revision letter and your point-by-point responses as supplemental digital content to the published article online. You may opt out by writing separately to the Editorial Office at em@greenjournal.org, and only the revision letter will be posted.
- 2. When you submit your revised manuscript, please make the following edits to ensure your submission contains the required information that was previously omitted for the initial double-blind peer review:
- * Funding information (ie, grant numbers or industry support statements) should be disclosed on the title page and at the end of the abstract. For industry-sponsored studies, describe on the title page how the funder was or was not involved in the study.
- * Include clinical trial registration numbers, PROSPERO registration numbers, or URLs at the end of the abstract (if applicable).
- * Name the IRB or Ethics Committee institution in the Methods section (if applicable).
- * Add any information about the specific location of the study (ie, city, state, or country), if necessary for context.
- 3. Obstetrics & Gynecology's Copyright Transfer Agreement (CTA) must be completed by all authors. When you uploaded your manuscript, each coauthor received an email with the subject, "Please verify your authorship for a submission to Obstetrics & Gynecology." Please ask your coauthor(s) to complete this form, and confirm the disclosures listed in their CTA are included on the manuscript's title page. If they did not receive the email, they should check their spam/junk folder. Requests to resend the CTA may be sent to em@greenjournal.org.

2 of 4 8/30/2022, 4:31 PM

- 4. ACOG uses person-first language. Please review your submission to make sure to center the person before anything else. Examples include: "People with disabilities" or "women with disabilities" instead of "disabled people" or "disabled women"; "patients with HIV" or "women with HIV" instead of "HIV-positive patients" or "HIV-positive women"; and "people who are blind" or "women who are blind" instead of "blind people" or "blind women."
- 5. The journal follows ACOG's Statement of Policy on Inclusive Language (https://www.acog.org/clinical-information /policy-and-position-statements/statements-of-policy/2022/inclusive-language). When possible, please avoid using gendered descriptors in your manuscript. Instead of "women" and "females," consider using the following: "individuals;" "patients;" "participants;" "people" (not "persons"); "women and transgender men;" "women and gender-expansive patients;" or "women and all those seeking gynecologic care."
- 6. Figures: Have either of your figures been previously published in another source?

If yes, both print and electronic (online) rights must be obtained from the holder of the copyright (often the publisher, not the author), and credit to the original source must be included in your manuscript. Many publishers have online systems for submitting permissions requests; please consult the publisher directly for more information.

Please upload both figures as Figure files in Editorial Manager.

7. Make sure your manuscript meets the following word limit. The word limit includes the manuscript body text only (for example, the Introduction through the Discussion in Original Research manuscripts), and excludes the title page, précis, abstract, tables, boxes, and figure legends, reference list, and supplemental digital content. Figures are not included in the word count.

Current Commentary: 3,000 words

- 8. Specific rules govern the use of acknowledgments in the journal. Please review the following guidelines and edit your title page as needed:
- * All financial support of the study must be acknowledged.
- * Any and all manuscript preparation assistance, including but not limited to topic development, data collection, analysis, writing, or editorial assistance, must be disclosed in the acknowledgments. Such acknowledgments must identify the entities that provided and paid for this assistance, whether directly or indirectly.
- * All persons who contributed to the work reported in the manuscript, but not sufficiently to be authors, must be acknowledged. Written permission must be obtained from all individuals named in the acknowledgments, as readers may infer their endorsement of the data and conclusions. Please note that your response in the journal's electronic author form verifies that permission has been obtained from all named persons.
- * If all or part of the paper was presented at the Annual Clinical and Scientific Meeting of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists or at any other organizational meeting, that presentation should be noted (include the exact dates and location of the meeting or indicate whether the meeting was held virtually).
- * If your manuscript was uploaded to a preprint server prior to submitting your manuscript to Obstetrics & Gynecology, add the following statement to your title page: "Before submission to Obstetrics & Gynecology, this article was posted to a preprint server at: [URL]."
- * Do not use only authors' initials in the acknowledgement or Financial Disclosure; spell out their names the way they appear in the byline.
- 9. Provide a short title of no more than 45 characters, including spaces, for use as a running foot. Do not start the running title with an abbreviation.
- 10. Be sure that each statement and any data in the abstract are also stated in the body of your manuscript, tables, or figures. Statements and data that appear in the abstract must also appear in the body text for consistency. Make sure there are no inconsistencies between the abstract and the manuscript, and that the abstract has a clear conclusion statement based on the results found in the manuscript.

In addition, the abstract length should follow journal guidelines. Please provide a word count.

Current Commentary: 250 words

- 11. Only standard abbreviations and acronyms are allowed. A selected list is available online at http://edmgr.ovid.com/ong/accounts/abbreviations.pdf. Abbreviations and acronyms cannot be used in the title or précis. Abbreviations and acronyms must be spelled out the first time they are used in the abstract and again in the body of the manuscript.
- 12. The journal does not use the virgule symbol (/) in sentences with words, except with ratios. Please rephrase your text to avoid using "and/or," or similar constructions throughout the text. You may retain this symbol if you are using it to express data or a measurement.
- 13. Please review the journal's Table Checklist to make sure that your tables conform to journal style. The Table Checklist

is available at http://edmgr.ovid.com/ong/accounts/table_checklist.pdf.

14. Please review examples of our current reference style at https://edmgr.ovid.com/ong/accounts/ifa_suppl_refstyle.pdf. Include the digital object identifier (DOI) with any journal article references and an accessed date with website references.

Unpublished data, in-press items, personal communications, letters to the editor, theses, package inserts, submissions, meeting presentations, and abstracts may be included in the text but not in the formal reference list. Please cite them on the line in parentheses.

If you cite ACOG documents in your manuscript, be sure the references you are citing are still current and available. Check the Clinical Guidance page at https://www.acog.org/clinical (click on "Clinical Guidance" at the top). If the reference is still available on the site and isn't listed as "Withdrawn," it's still a current document. In most cases, if an ACOG document has been withdrawn, it should not be referenced in your manuscript.

Please make sure your references are numbered in order of appearance in the text.

15. Authors whose manuscripts have been accepted for publication have the option to pay an article processing charge and publish open access. With this choice, articles are made freely available online immediately upon publication. An information sheet is available at http://links.lww.com/LWW-ES/A48. The cost for publishing an article as open access can be found at https://wkauthorservices.editage.com/open-access/hybrid.html.

If your article is accepted, you will receive an email from the Editorial Office asking you to choose a publication route (traditional or open access). Please keep an eye out for that future email and be sure to respond to it promptly.

**

If you choose to revise your manuscript, please submit your revision through Editorial Manager at http://ong.editorialmanager.com. Your manuscript should be uploaded as a Microsoft Word document. Your revision's cover letter should include a point-by-point response to each of the received comments in this letter. Do not omit your responses to the EDITOR COMMENTS (if applicable), the REVIEWER COMMENTS, the STATISTICAL EDITOR COMMENTS (if applicable), or the EDITORIAL OFFICE COMMENTS.

If you submit a revision, we will assume that it has been developed in consultation with your coauthors and that each author has given approval to the final form of the revision.

Again, your manuscript will be maintained in active status for 21 days from the date of this letter. If we have not heard from you by Sep 09, 2022, we will assume you wish to withdraw the manuscript from further consideration.

Sincerely,
Jason D. Wright, MD
Editor-in-Chief

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/ong/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

4 of 4 8/30/2022, 4:31 PM



Assistant Director Institute for Innovations in Medical Education Masters in Health Professions Education Program

August 25, 2022

Dear Dr. Wright,

Thank you for the opportunity to revise this manuscript, ONG-22-1255 "Facilitating an Optimal Transition to Residency in Obstetrics and Gynecology". We have included a point-by-point response to the Reviewer Comments and Editorial Office Comments below. There were no Editor Comments or Statistical Editor Comments to include in the response.

We hope that in its revised form, you will consider it worthy of publication. Below, the reviewers' and editors' comments are outlined individually, noting the location of changes in the revised manuscript.

Sincerely,

Abigail Ford Winkel, MD, MHPE

Karen George, MD, MPH

Maya Hammoud, MD, MBA

Sarah Wagner, MD

Zachary Schoppen, MD

Erika Banks, MD

Jessica Bienstock, MD, MPH

Tony Ogburn, MD

David Marzano, MD

Helen K. Morgan, MD

REVIEWER COMMENTS	RESPONSE	Page in Revised Manuscript
Reviewer #1: The authors present a national curriculum to improve the transition to residency in OBGYN. They explain the rationale behind this initiative and provide arguments in favor of its implementation. I found the topic timely and relevant. It addresses the need for a standardized transition to residency in the context of disparities among medical students that match into OBGYN. The manuscript is well organized and it provides a clear idea about the process followed to develop the curriculum.	Thank you!	
Some sections in the introduction felt redundant and I think they could be merged. For example: Lines 21 to 44: These two paragraphs basically address the same issues: changes in the field of OBGYN, challenges with the transition to residency and curricula implementation has a way to address those challenges.	This section has been trimmed.	Page 2



	iviasiers in Health Professions Ed	iucation i logiam
Lines 46 to 68: The idea that we need more structured curricula is mentioned in two different paragraphs: "An explicit curriculum is needed" (line 50-51) and "More	These sections have been integrated.	
structured learning and better support for transition is urgently needed" (line 62-63) are very similar ideas.		
My suggestion is to have only three paragraphs in the introduction, each one with defined goals: Paragraph#1. State the problem: Why is the transition to residency so challenging? What do we know about intern readiness from prior studies? How does diversity in medical school experiences add to the problem? What are the potential consequences for both interns and patients if these challenges are not addressed? Paragraph#2. Propose curricula development as a way to address these challenges: What does the data on preresidency preparedness courses show? How would the implementation of national curricula would improve	The introduction was rearranged in the way the reviewer suggests. Redundancy was removed and the section is presented in 3 paragraphs	Page 3
patient care and resident wellness? Paragraph#3. Introduce your curriculum and the importance of a collaborative approach.		
On the other hand, I would suggest to provide more details in other sections of the manuscript: Lines 93 to 98: Among all the available material, How did you choose what to include? What was the actual process? Did all the participants review the content? Did they all vote to decide or was there a different method of topic selection? Were there any differences in opinion regarding what material should be included? If so, How were these differences resolved? How was the curriculum advertised to residency programs and medical students?	The process of integrating existing curricula and expert consensus to select content is now more completely described in the section entitled "approach."	Page 5
Lines 122 to 125: What was the process to develop the multiple-choice questions? Were they taken from an available question bank or were they written by the workgroup members? If written, Was there a validation process?	These questions were drawn from existing OBGYN Intern Challenge questions, or developed by the working group. All questions were reviewed by at least one content expert and one other	Page 7

Abigail Ford Winkel, MD, FACOG



Professor Vice Chair for Education Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology

	Masters in Health Professions Ed	ducation Program
	workgroup member. This	
	process is now more	
	clearly outlined in the	
	manuscript	
Lines 127 to 133: Are the results of the self-assessment	The results of the self-	Page 6
being collected for future research? If so, what type of	assessment are only	
study are you planning?	available in aggregate	
	form as no identifying	
	information is collected	
	from users to increase	
	the psychological safety	
	of the experience and	
	trust that the self-	
	assessment is purely for	
	guiding self-directed	
	learning. Future analysis	
	of patterns of use may	
	identify where these	
	users are less prepared	
	for residency. Language	
	describing this has been	
	added to the section	
	describing the self-	
	directed learning	
	program.	
Lines 226 to 228: What metrics will be used to assess the	This process will include	Page 11
impact of the program? What tools will be use for quality	tapping the expert	
improvement?	working groups, asking	
	them to make careful	
	adjustments by	
	incorporating data from	
	our curricular	
	assessments. Language	
	was added to describe	
	this.	
Reviewer #2: This paper is a review of a robust program	Thank you!	
developed for transitioning residents from		
undergraduate medical education into residency. They		
describe the reasoning behind the necessity of a		



	Masters in Health Professions Ed	iucation Program
curriculum of this nature and the various components		
that were developed. They discuss the importance of		
residents starting a program with the right skills and		
attitudes for success.		
Reviewer #3: This paper addresses the undergraduate to	Thank you!	
graduate medical education transition, defining success		
as an intern possessing the requisite skills and knowledge		
combined with professional identity formation. This		
paper provides new information about a coordinated,		
flexible, and equitable curricula to ensure preparedness		
for residency. The key components of this program		
include self-directed learning, small group workshops,		
and coaching.		
This program addresses a crucial transition point in		
medical education. It acknowledges that an individual		
student's experience may be highly variable due to		
disparities that exist within medical education. This is a		
clinically relevant topic as a diverse workforce is more		
likely to provide care for underserved populations and		
patient-physician racial/ethnic concordance is associated		
with higher levels of trust. This commentary provides a		
compelling and tangible mechanism to increase diversity,		
equity, and inclusion among OB/GYN residents by		
providing a level starting point to their residency training.		

EDITORIAL OFFICE COMMENTS:	Response	Page in Revised Manuscript
1. If your article is accepted, the journal will publish a copy of this revision letter and your point-by-point responses as supplemental digital content to the published article online. You may opt out by writing separately to the Editorial Office at em@greenjournal.org, and only the revision letter will be posted.	This is acceptable to us.	
2. When you submit your revised manuscript, please make the following edits to ensure your submission contains the required information that was previously omitted for the initial double-blind peer review: * Funding information (ie, grant numbers or industry	This information is complete as applicable.	Title



	Masters in Health Professions Ed	lucation Program
support statements) should be disclosed on the title page		
and at the end of the abstract. For industry-sponsored		
studies, describe on the title page how the funder was or		
was not involved in the study.		
* Include clinical trial registration numbers,		
PROSPERO registration numbers, or URLs at the end of		
the abstract (if applicable).		
* Name the IRB or Ethics Committee institution in the		
Methods section (if applicable).		
* Add any information about the specific location of		
the study (ie, city, state, or country), if necessary for		
context.		
3. Obstetrics & Gynecology's Copyright Transfer	We will ensure authors	
Agreement (CTA) must be completed by all authors.	complete this form.	
When you uploaded your manuscript, each coauthor	•	
received an email with the subject, "Please verify your		
authorship for a submission to Obstetrics & Gynecology."		
Please ask your coauthor(s) to complete this form, and		
confirm the disclosures listed in their CTA are included on		
the manuscript's title page. If they did not receive the		
email, they should check their spam/junk folder. Requests		
to resend the CTA may be sent to em@greenjournal.org.		
4. ACOG uses person-first language. Please review your	Addressed throughout	
submission to make sure to center the person before	the manuscript	
anything else. Examples include: "People with disabilities"	accordingly.	
or "women with disabilities" instead of "disabled people"	<i>G</i> ,	
or "disabled women"; "patients with HIV" or "women with		
HIV" instead of "HIV-positive patients" or "HIV-positive		
women"; and "people who are blind" or "women who are		
blind" instead of "blind people" or "blind women."		
5. The journal follows ACOG's Statement of Policy on	Throughout the paper,	
Inclusive Language	we refer to "patients"	
(https://urldefense.com/v3/https://www.acog.org/clinic	instead of using gender-	
al-information/policy-and-position-	specific descriptors.	
statements/statements-of-policy/2022/inclusive-	•	
language;!!MXfaZl3l!fdsgiSB_9bz9S1x_qb4KSTl3QeCcTtz		
XRQf17kRb-		
sJooPHbH_ArXjRxqEEdEltm0cKwXoKXzqy5RymWv8uk0eO		
f\$). When possible, please avoid using gendered		
descriptors in your manuscript. Instead of "women" and		
"females," consider using the following: "individuals;"		
"patients;" "participants;" "people" (not "persons");		
"women and transgender men;" "women and gender-		



	Masters in Health Professions E	ducation i rogram
expansive patients;" or "women and all those seeking gynecologic care."		
6. Figures: Have either of your figures been previously published in another source? If yes, both print and electronic (online) rights must be obtained from the holder of the copyright (often the publisher, not the author), and credit to the original source must be included in your manuscript. Many publishers have online systems for submitting permissions requests; please consult the publisher directly for more information.	No. The figures are the original work of this authorship team.	
Please upload both figures as Figure files in Editorial Manager.	These have been included in the revised submission	
7. Make sure your manuscript meets the following word limit. The word limit includes the manuscript body text only (for example, the Introduction through the Discussion in Original Research manuscripts), and excludes the title page, précis, abstract, tables, boxes, and figure legends, reference list, and supplemental digital content. Figures are not included in the word count. Current Commentary: 3,000 words	The word count is less than 3,000 words.	
8. Specific rules govern the use of acknowledgments in the journal. Please review the following guidelines and edit your title page as needed: * All financial support of the study must be acknowledged. * Any and all manuscript preparation assistance, including but not limited to topic development, data collection, analysis, writing, or editorial assistance, must be disclosed in the acknowledgments. Such acknowledgments must identify the entities that provided and paid for this assistance, whether directly or indirectly. * All persons who contributed to the work reported in the manuscript, but not sufficiently to be authors, must be acknowledged. Written permission must be obtained from all individuals named in the acknowledgments, as readers may infer their endorsement of the data and conclusions. Please note that your response in the	We affirm that the manuscript conforms to all of these guidelines.	



journal's electronic author form verifies that permission has been obtained from all named persons. * If all or part of the paper was presented at the Annual Clinical and Scientific Meeting of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists or at any other organizational meeting, that presentation should be noted (include the exact dates and location of the meeting or indicate whether the meeting was held virtually). * If your manuscript was uploaded to a preprint server prior to submitting your manuscript to Obstetrics & Gynecology, add the following statement to your title page: "Before submission to Obstetrics & Gynecology, this article was posted to a preprint server at: [URL]." * Do not use only authors' initials in the acknowledgement or Financial Disclosure; spell out their names the way they appear in the byline. 9. Provide a short title of no more than 45 characters, including spaces, for use as a running foot. Do not start the running title with an abbreviation. 10. Be sure that each statement and any data in the abstract are also stated in the body of your manuscript, tables, or figures. Statements and data that appear in the abstract must also appear in the body text for consistency. Make sure there are no inconsistencies between the abstract and the manuscript, and that the abstract has a clear conclusion statement based on the results found in the manuscript.	This is done We affirm that the abstract reflects the information included in the manuscript, and is 139 words in length.	Title page
In addition, the abstract length should follow journal guidelines. Please provide a word count. Current Commentary: 250 words		
11. Only standard abbreviations and acronyms are allowed. A selected list is available online at https://urldefense.com/v3/ http://edmgr.ovid.com/on g/accounts/abbreviations.pdf !!MXfaZl3l!fdsgiSB-9bz9S https://www.abbreviations.pdf !!MXfaZl3l!fdsgiSB-9bz9S https://www.abbreviations.pdf https://www.abbreviations.pdf		



	TVIUSTETS III TTEUTIII T TOTESSIOIIS LE	in the second second
12. The journal does not use the virgule symbol (/) in	Table 1 has been edited	11
sentences with words, except with ratios. Please rephrase	to reflect this	
your text to avoid using "and/or," or similar constructions	requirement, all other	
throughout the text. You may retain this symbol if you	use of / is related to URL	
are using it to express data or a measurement.	citations	
12.01		D 44.42
13. Please review the journal's Table Checklist to make	This has been done.	Page 11-12
sure that your tables conform to journal style. The Table		
Checklist is available		
at https://urldefense.com/v3/ http://edmgr.ovid.com/on		
g/accounts/table_checklist.pdf ;!!MXfaZl3l!fdsgiSB_9bz9		
S1x qb4KSTI3QeCcTtzXRQf17kRb-		
sJooPHbH ArXjRxqEEdEltm0cKwXoKXzqy5RymWv Yam95		
<u>t\$</u> .		
14. Please review examples of our current reference style	This has been done.	Page 12
at https://edmgr.ovid.com/o		
ng/accounts/ifa suppl_refstyle.pdf_;!!MXfaZl3l!fdsgiSB_9		
bz9S1x qb4KSTl3QeCcTtzXRQf17kRb-		
sJooPHbH ArXjRxqEEdEltm0cKwXoKXzqy5RymWv944Yfcl		
\$. Include the digital object identifier (DOI) with any		
journal article references and an accessed date with		
website references.		
Unpublished data, in-press items, personal	N/A	
communications, letters to the editor, theses, package		
inserts, submissions, meeting presentations, and		
abstracts may be included in the text but not in the		
formal reference list. Please cite them on the line in		
parentheses.		
If you cite ACOG documents in your manuscript, be sure	N/A	
the references you are citing are still current and		
available. Check the Clinical Guidance page		
at https://urldefense.com/v3/ https://www.acog.org/clini		
cal ;!!MXfaZl3l!fdsqiSB 9bz9S1x qb4KSTl3QeCcTtzXRQf1		
7kRb-		
sJooPHbH ArXjRxgEEdEltm0cKwXoKXzgy5RymWv4YDg7		
KH\$ (click on "Clinical Guidance" at the top). If the		
reference is still available on the site and isn't listed as		
"Withdrawn," it's still a current document. In most cases,		
if an ACOG document has been withdrawn, it should not		
be referenced in your manuscript.		
Please make sure your references are numbered in order	This is confirmed.	
of appearance in the text.		



15. Authors whose manuscripts have been accepted for	We do not wish to	
publication have the option to pay an article processing	publish this manuscript	
charge and publish open access. With this choice, articles	as open access.	
are made freely available online immediately upon		
publication. An information sheet is available		
at http://links.lww.com/LWW		
=		
ES/A48 ;!!MXfaZl3l!fdsgiSB 9bz9S1x qb4KSTl3QeCcTtzX		
RQf17kRb-		
sJooPHbH ArXjRxqEEdEltm0cKwXoKXzqy5RymWv4hYb3-		
es . The cost for publishing an article as open access can		
be found		
at https://urldefense.com/v3/ https://wkauthorservices.e		
ditage.com/open-		
access/hybrid.html ;!!MXfaZl3l!fdsgiSB 9bz9S1x qb4KSTl		
3QeCcTtzXRQf17kRb-		
sJooPHbH ArXjRxqEEdEltm0cKwXoKXzqy5RymWv n 9Vx		
<u>d\$</u>		