SUPPLEMENTAL DIGITAL CONTENT 11

This table also appears in the Supplemental Digital Content 2 in the complete set of evidence tools.

Table 11. Levosimendan versus dobutamine in patients with septic shock and persistent hypoperfusion

Author(s): Alhazzani W, Machado F

Bibliography: Fang M Zhonghua Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue 2014; 26(10):692-6, Memis D J Crit Care 2012; 27(3):18e1-6, Morelli A Intensive Care Med 2005; 31(5):638-44, Morelli A Crit Care 2010; 14(6):R232, Alhashemi JA J Crit Care 2009; 24(3):e14-5, Vaitsis J Crit Care 2009;13 (Suplem 1):165.

Quality assessment							Nº of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Levosimendan	dobutamine	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
Mortality												
6	randomized trials	serious 1	not serious ²	not serious	serious ³	none	53/112 (47.3%)	63/108 (58.3%)	RR 0.83 (0.66 to 1.05)	99 fewer per 1000 (from 29 more to 198 fewer)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	CRITICAL

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio

- 1. We downgraded for risk of bias by one level, the randomization process and allocation concealment was unclear for most trials. small sample size, blindness and allocation concealment not adequately described
- 2. The $I^2 = 0\%$, no significant statistical heterogeneity identified
- 3. We downgraded the quality of evidence for imprecision by one level, the CI contained significant benefit and small harm