# Balanced Crystalloids Compared to Saline in Patients with Diabetic Ketoacidosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials.

Naif Alghamdi, MD; Paityn Major, BScH; Dipayan Chaudhuri, MD; Janice Tsui, PharmD, BCPS, MSc; Brent Brown, MD;

Wesley H. Self, MD, MPH; Matthew W. Semler, MD, MSc; Bram Rochwerg, MD, MSc.

### - Supplemental Materials:

Supplemental file 1: Predefined protocol designed on July 19 2019.

Supplemental file 2: Search Strategy.

Supplemental table 1: Definitions used for DKA resolution.

Supplemental table 2: Predefined data abstraction sheet.

Supplemental table 3: Details of the eligible trials.

Supplemental table 4: Risk of bias assessment of the included studies.

Supplemental table 5: Evidence profile including GRADE certainty assessments.

Supplemental table 6: Adverse events reported in the included RCTs.

Supplemental figure 1: Effect of using either saline or balanced crystalloids (BC) on mortality. df= degree of freedom.

Supplemental figure 2: Effect of using either saline or balanced crystalloids (BC) on DKA resolution. df= degree of freedom

Supplemental Figure 3. Sensitivity analysis limited to adults for time to DKA resolution

Supplemental Figure 4. Forest plot for Post resuscitation chloride levels.

#### **Supplemental File 1: Predefined protocol designed on July 19 2019.**

#### **TITLE OF REVIEW:**

Balanced crystalloids compared to saline in patients with diabetic ketoacidosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.

#### **REVIEW TEAM MEMBERS:**

Naif Alghamdi, Paityn Major, Dipayan Chaudhuri, Janice Tsui, Brent Brown, Wesley H. Self, Matthew W. Semler and Bram Rochwerg.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST:**

Dr. Self received funding in May 2019 from Baxter Healthcare Corporation to speak at an educational conference on intravenous fluid use.

#### **QUESTION OF INTEREST:**

In patients with diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), is there a benefit in using balanced crystalloids as compared to saline?

#### **BACKGROUND:**

DKA is a common complication that occurs due to metabolic compensation triggered by either absolute or relative insulin deficiency. It is a unique condition owing to the presence of mild to severe metabolic acidosis in addition to severe fluid deficits at baseline. Current guidelines recommend using crystalloids rather than colloids but it is unclear if there is a favour to consider saline versus balanced like Ringer's Lactate.

Saline has been used historically as the main crystalloid for fluid resuscitation in DKA. Recently some concerns were unmasked due to potential adverse events as hyperchloremia with worsening metabolic acidosis especially in DKA.

Moreover, recent randomized controlled trials (RCTs) demonstrated reduction in a composite outcome that included death, need for renal replacement therapy or persistent acute kidney injury at 30 days in patients receiving balanced versus unbalanced crystalloids. As a result, our goal from this systematic review is to examine the role of balanced crystalloids versus saline in the resuscitation of patients with DKA.

## **METHODS:**

We will conduct this systematic review and meta-analysis by following the recommendations from the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses guidelines.

#### - Data Sources and Searches:

We will perform a comprehensive search of MEDLINE, EMBASE and the Cochrane trial registry from inception. We will not apply any language or quality restriction. An experienced health science librarian will assist in developing the search strategy. Keyword search terms will include: DKA, fluid resuscitation, saline and balanced crystalloids.

#### - Study Selection:

Two reviewers (NA, PM) will screen all citations independently and in in duplicate in two stages, first titles and abstracts, then full texts to identify eligible studies. A citation identified as potentially eligible by either reviewer at the first stage will be advanced to the second stage. In the second stage, disagreements will be resolved by discussion or third person (BR) adjudication if necessary. Reasons for exclusion at the second stage will be stated.

We will include all RCTs that compared balanced crystalloids versus saline for fluid resuscitation among patients with DKA. Studies in both children and adults will be included in both critical and non-critical care settings. Case reports, case series and observational studies will be excluded.

The following outcomes will be included: Mortality (at the longest time point reported), DKA resolution (as defined by study authors), time to DKA resolution, post resuscitation chloride and bicarbonate levels and length of stay in intensive care unit or stepdown. For post resuscitation electrolyte levels, if there are multiple time points reports, we will use the longest follow up post resuscitation level.

## - Data Extraction and Quality Assessment:

Using a predefined data abstraction form, two reviewers (NA and PM) will complete data extraction independently and in duplicate. A third reviewer (BR) will resolve disagreements if necessary. The following will be abstracted: study characteristics, demographic data, interventions details and outcome data. A graph analyzer (<a href="http://plotdigitizer.sourceforge.net">http://plotdigitizer.sourceforge.net</a>) will be used to extract data if needed. We will contact individual study authors in cases of missing study date.

Risk of bias will be assessed independently by 2 reviewers (NA and DC) and in duplicate for each study using a modified Cochrane risk of bias tool that classifies risk of bias as: "low", "probably low", "probably high" or "high" for each of the following items: randomization and sequence generation, allocation sequence concealment, blinding, incomplete data, selective outcome reporting and other risk of bias. We will evaluate the overall risk of bias as the highest risk attributed to any criterion.

### - Data Synthesis and Analysis

We will perform all analyses using RevMan 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford) software. We will be generating study weights using the inverse variance method and we will use random effects model. Results will be presented as relative risks for dichotomous outcomes and as mean differences for continuous outcomes, both with 95% confidence intervals. For continuous outcomes, we will assume a normal distribution and we will convert inter-quartile range to standard deviation using the methods suggested by Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. For cluster randomized controlled trials that meet the inclusion criteria, we will use the intra-cluster correlation coefficient to calculate the design effect to reduce the sample size based on the cluster design. We will assess for publication bias if the included RCTs are equal to or more than 10 studies.

Heterogeneity will be assessed between studies using the  $\chi^2$  tests for homogeneity, the  $I^2$  statistic and the visual inspection of the forest plots. The magnitude and direction of heterogeneity will be considered when deciding whether to rate down our certainty in the evidence for inconsistency. Based on the characteristics of the included studies, a decision will be made regarding the need for performing subgroup or sensitivity analysis.

## - Assessment of Certainty of Evidence:

We will appraise the overall certainty of evidence for each outcome using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach (GRADE).

## **Supplemental File 2: Search Strategy.**

Database: As an example: Embase Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Daily and Versions(R)

Search Strategy:

\_\_\_\_\_

- 1 diabetic ketoacidosis/
- 2 diabet\* acidos\*.mp.
- 3 diabet\* ketoacidos\*.mp.
- 4 diabet\* ketos\*.mp.
- 5 KTA.ti,ab.
- 6 or/1-5
- 7 isotonic solution/
- 8 isotonic solution\*.mp.
- 9 crystalloid/
- 10 crystalloid.mp.
- 11 electrolyte/
- 12 electrolyte solution/
- 13 Electrolyte\*.mp.
- 14 or/7-13
- 15 balanced solution\*.mp.
- 16 acetic acid plus gluconate sodium plus magnesium chloride plus potassium chloride plus sodium chloride/
- 17 plasma-lyte.mp.
- 18 plasmalyte.mp.
- 19 acetic acid/
- 20 Sodium Acetate.mp.
- 21 potassium chloride/
- 22 Potassium Chloride.mp.
- 23 magnesium chloride/
- 24 Magnesium Chloride.mp.
- 25 Ringer acetate/
- 26 Ringer lactate solution/
- 27 Ringer solution/

- 28 ringer\*.mp.
- 29 Hartmann solution/
- 30 Hartman\* solution.mp.
- 31 or/15-30
- 32 sodium chloride/
- 33 (sodium chloride or saline).mp.
- 34 or/32-33
- 35 31 and 34
- 36 14 or 35
- 37 fluid therapy/
- 38 fluid therap\*.mp.
- 39 fluid resuscitation/
- 40 fluid resuscitation.mp.
- 41 resuscitation/
- 42 Water-Electrolyte Imbalance/
- 43 Water-Electrolyte Imbalance.mp.
- 44 or/37-43
- 45 6 and 36 and 44
- 46 diabetic ketoacidosis/th [Therapy]
- 47 45 or 46 (2086)

# **Supplemental Table 1: Definitions used for DKA resolution.**

Study	DKA resolution definition					
Mahler(24)	Not used					
Van Zyl(25)	HCO <sup>3</sup> equal and >15 and pH equal and > 7.30					
Aditianingsih(26)	Not reported					
Tsui(27)	Serum glucose< 200 mg/dl and 2/3 (HCO <sup>3</sup> equal					
	and>15, venous pH>7.3 or anion gap< 12 mEq/L)					
Self(28)	Serum glucose< 200 mg/dl and 2/3 (HCO <sup>3</sup> equal					
	and>15, venous pH>7.3 or anion gap equal or < 12					
	mEq/L)					
Yung(29)	HCO <sup>3</sup> equal and >15 or pH>7.30					
Williams(30)	HCO <sup>3</sup> equal and >15, pH equal and > 7.30 and normal					
	sensorium					

# Supplemental Table 2: Predefined data abstraction sheet.

Basic Study	Basic Study Information								
Study Title									
Journal/Conference									
Year of Publication									
Author	List first author only								
Correspondence Email									
Information requested from the author? If yes									
when?									
Number of Sites									
Country/Countries of Study									
Ethics and con	flict of interest								
Study Sponsor									
Research ethic service approval									
Population	Description								
Inclusion Criteria									
Exclusion Criteria									
Median/Mean age									
Number of Patients Fulfilling Criteria and									
approached?									
Number of patients randomized?									
Intervention (	Types of fluid)								
Fluid type used in both arms									
Duration of Intervention									
Volume of Intravenous fluid									
Average duration of follow up									
Risk of Bias	Assessment								
Randomization and sequence generation?									
Concealment of the intervention?									
Blinding of the intervention?									
Were there any missing data?									
Was there a concern of selective reporting?									
Any other bias noted? Risk of bias overall?									
	#1								
Outco	-								
Outcome being evaluated	e.g. DKA resolution								
Dichotomous or continuous outcome?									

Intervention 1: Number analyzed							
Intervention 1: Number of Events/Mean							
Intervention 2: Number analyzed							
Intervention 2: Number of Events/Mean							
Intervention 3: Number analyzed							
Intervention 3: Number of Events/Mean							
Comments							
Outcome #2							
Outcome being evaluated	e.g. Time to DKA resolution						
Dichotomous or continuous outcome?							
Intervention 1: Number analyzed							
Intervention 1: Number of Events/Mean							
Intervention 2: Number analyzed							
Intervention 2: Number of Events/Mean							
Intervention 3: Number analyzed							
Intervention 3: Number of Events/Mean							
Comments							

# <u>Supplemental Table 3: Details of the eligible trials.</u>

Author	Mahler(24)	Van zyl(25)	Aditianingsih(26)	Tsui(27)	Self(28)	Yung(29)	Williams(30)
Site	Louisiana State	University of	Emergency	The Emergency	Emergency and	North Adelaide,	Emergency department
	University Health	Pretoria. Kalafong	department of	department of the	Intensive Care Unit	Australia.	and Intensive Care Unit
	Sciences Center –	(secondary)	Cipto	University of	departments at	Single site	departments in a large
	Shreveport.	hospital and Steve	Mangunkusumo	Oklahoma Medical	Vanderbilt University		tertiary Hospital in
	Single site.	Biko Academic	Hospital Jakarta.	Center, an academic	in Nashville in		Chandigarh. Single
		(tertiary) hospital.	Single site.	tertiary hospital. Single	Tennessee. Single		site.
		Multi-site.		site.	site.		
Country	USA	South Africa	Indonesia	USA	USA	Australia	India
Design	Randomized	RCT.	RCT.	RCT.	Subgroup analysis of	RCT.	RCT.
	controlled trial	Double blind	Single blind.	Open label.	cluster RCTs.	Double blind.	Double blind.
	(RCT).				Not blinded.		
	Double blind.						
Definition	Moderate to	Venous pH at	Blood sugar >250	Blood glucose >250	DKA defined as	Hyperglycemia	Blood glucose> 11
of Diabetic	severe DKA	presentation 6.9–	mg/dl, positive	mg/dl,	plasma glucose	(blood glucose >11	mmol/L or 200 mg/dL,
ketoacidosi	defined by serum	7.2, presence of at	ketone bodies in	pH < 7.3, serum HCO3	concentration	mmol/L), venous	venous pH < 7.3 and a
s (DKA)	glucose greater	least two plus	the blood and	< 18 mEq/L and anion	greater than	pH < 7.3 and/or	serum beta
	than 200 mg/dL,	ketones on urine	arterial pH <7.35	gap > 10.	250mg/dL,	HCO3 <15 mmol/L	hydroxybutyrate >3
	serum bicarbonate	dipstick test at			plasma HCO3 less	and ketonemia or	mmol/L.
	(HCO3) less than	presentation and a			than or equal to 18	ketonuria and	
	or equal to 15	capillary blood			mEq/L	glycosuria.	
	mmol/L, and	glucose of >13			and calculated anion		
	anion gap greater	mmol/l.			gap		
	than or equal to				greater than 10		
	16 mmol/L.				mEq/L.		
Inclusion	Patients aged 18-	Age >18 years	Patients aged 18-	Adult DKA patients.	Age 18 years or	Children with	Children aged
criteria	65 with moderate	with DKA in	65 with DKA.		older, presentation to	moderate to severe	> 1 month to < 12
	to severe DKA.	either newly			the Emergency	DKA were eligible.	years who presented to
		diagnosed or			department during the	Criteria for the	the pediatric
		previously known			15-month period	diagnosis of	emergency room with
		to have diabetes			when	moderate to severe	DKA
		mellitus, type 1 or			both the ED and	DKA are	were enrolled into the
		type 2 diabetes			ICUs were	hyperglycemia	study.
					participating in the	(blood glucose >11	

Exclusion	Patients with hyperosmotic hyperglycemic nonketotic syndrome, hyperglycemia without signs of DKA, mild DKA, and patients receiving greater than 500mL of crystalloid or an Insulin bolus before enrollment in the study. Also,	and should have received <1L of resuscitation fluid prior to enrolment.  If another cause for acidosis was present, if severely ill and in need of inotropic or ventilatory support and if more than 1L of resuscitation fluid was administered before enrolment.	Patients with respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation, endstage renal disease on hemodialysis, congestive heart failure, corrected sodium >158 or <120 mmol/L, myocardial infarction with signs of heart	Age< 18 years, pregnancy, end stage renal disease or dialysis dependent, a condition for which aggressive fluid resuscitation is contra-indicated, corrected Na < 115 mmol/L, the patient does not understand English or intubated.	SALT-ED and SMART trials (January 1, 2016, to March 31, 2017), a clinical diagnosis of DKA in the ED.  Transfer from an outside hospital to the study Emergency department, admission to the cardiac or neurologic Intensive Care Unit and presentation to the Emergency department within 24 hours prior to a planned crossover in the trial.	mmol/L), venous pH <7.3 and/or HCO3 <15 mmol/L and ketonemia or ketonuria and glycosuria. Moderate DKA was determined as pH ≥7.1, HCO3 ≥ 5 mmol/L and severe DKA as pH <7.1, HCO3 <5 mmol/L. If HCO3 did not correlate with pH, the pH determined the severity.  Patients with: Glasgow coma score (<11, mechanical ventilation, hyponatremia, potassium >5.5 mmol/L or previous enrolment.	Children with symptomatic cerebral edema (Glasgow coma score < 8 at presentation), known chronic kidney disease or liver disease or who had received pre-referral fluids and/or Insulin at the time of hospital presentation.
	than 500mL of crystalloid or an Insulin bolus before enrollment		sodium >158 or <120 mmol/L, myocardial infarction with		department within 24 hours prior to a planned crossover		and/or Insulin at the time of hospital
	evidence of		failure, traumatic				
	myocardial		brain injury with cerebral edema				
	infarction, sepsis, respiratory		signs, and liver				
	failure, cerebral		failure.				
	edema, and age		ianure.				
	less than 18 or						
	greater than 65.						

Number of patients	45	54	30	42	172> 106 after sample size reduction using intra-cluster correlation	77	66
					coefficient given cluster design.		
Compariso n	Saline vs Plasma- Lyte	Saline vs Ringer's Lactate	Saline vs Ringerfundin (Type of balanced crystalloids)	Saline vs Ringer's Lactate or Plasma- Lyte.	Saline vs Ringer's Lactate or Plasma- Lyte	Saline vs Hartmann's Solution	Saline vs Plasma-Lyte
Total fluid given (mean or median)	Not mentioned.	Not mentioned.	Saline: 6.23 L. Ringerfundin: 6.23 L	Saline: 2.585 L. Ringer's Lactate or Plasma- Lyte: 2.265 L	Saline: 4694 mL. Ringer's Lactate or Plasma-Lyte: 4000 mL.	Saline: 2167 mL. Hartmann's Solution: 1771 mL	Saline: 1190 mL. Plasma-Lyte: 1200 mL
Outcome	Post-resuscitation HCO3 and chloride (Cl) level.	Time to reach a venous pH of 7.32, to achieve serum glucose of 14 mmol/l and time to resolution of DKA defined as fulfilment of the following three criteria: venous pH > 7.3, serum HCO3 equal and more than 18 mmol/l and blood glucose <11.1 mmol/	Standard base excess and strong ion difference.	Primary outcome was time to resolution of DKA in hours.	The primary outcome was time to DKA resolution. Secondary outcomes were: time to Intravenous Insulin discontinuation and other outcomes as per the study supplement that include: total amount of Intravenous Insulin, Intensive Care Unit admission, in-hospital mortality and changes in plasma electrolyte concentrations.	Primary: Time to reach HCO3 equal and more than 15 mmol/L.  Secondary outcomes: time to reach venous pH of 7.3; time to start subcutaneous Insulin; time to start oral intake; time to change in fluid type, either/or 0.45% saline or the addition of glucose to study fluid; total Insulin requirement per kilogram; length of stay in high dependency unit; time to normalisation of anion gap.	Primary outcome was incidence of new onset or progressive acute kidney injury as defined in the trial. Secondary outcomes were rate of resolution of acute kidney injury, time to resolution of DKA (pH > 7.3, HCO3 > 15mEq/L and normal sensorium), change in chloride, pH and HCO3 levels (baseline, 24 h), proportion of inhospital all-cause mortality, proportion of children requiring renal replacement therapy, length of ICU and hospital stay.

# <u>Supplemental Table 4: Risk of bias assessment of the included studies.</u>

Study	Randomization	Allocation	Blinding	Incomplete	Selective	others	Risk of
	generation	concealment		data	reporting		bias
	sequence						overall
Mahler(24)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Van Zyl(25)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Aditianingsih(26)	Probably Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Tsui(27)	High	High	High	Low	Low	Low	High
Self(28)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Williams(29)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Yung(30)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

## Supplemental Table 5: Evidence profile including GRADE certainty assessments.

	Certainty assessment						Nº of p	patients	Effe	ct	
Number of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Balanced Crystalloids	Saline	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty
DKA resolution.											
6	Randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	none	200/212 (94.3%)	180/195 (92.3%)	<b>RR 1.00</b> (0.97 to 1.03)	0 fewer per 1,000 (from 28 fewer to 28 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE
Time to DKA res	solution. (assessed w	rith: hours.)									_
6	Randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>b</sup>	none	202	183	-	MD 3.51 Hours higher (0.9 higher to 6.12 higher)	⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE
Post treat HCO3	(assessed with: mm	nol/L)									
5	Randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	none	174	159	-	MD 1.5 mmol/L lower (2.33 lower to 0.67 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE
Duration of hos	pital days. (assessed	with: days.)									_
4	Randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>d</sup>	none	116	108	-	MD <b>0.89 days</b> higher (0.34 higher to 1.43 higher)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE
Post treat CI (as	sessed with: mmol/	L)									
6	Randomised trials	not serious	serious <sup>e</sup>	not serious	serious <sup>f</sup>	none	212	197	-	MD 1.62 mmol/L higher (0.4 lower to 3.64 higher)	⊕⊕⊖ Low
Mortality			<u> </u>								
6	Randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>f</sup>	none	4/189 (2.1%)	4/171 (2.1%)	<b>RR 1.13</b> (0.32 to 4.08)	<b>3 fewer per</b> <b>1,000</b> (from 16 fewer to 72 more)	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio; MD: Mean difference

# **Explanations**

a. Despite point estimate that suggests no effect, 95% confidence intervals do not rule out important benefit or harm. Also, low event number contributes to imprecision. b. Point estimate suggests longer time to DKA resolution with saline, however lower end of the 95% CI suggests no effect thereby contributing to imprecision. c. Point estimate suggests lower bicarbonate level with saline, however low patients number contributes to imprecision. d. Point estimate demonstrates higher length of stay in saline, however low number of patients contributes to imprecision. e. Important statistical heterogeneity given high Is quared and significant following the suggestion of the

# Supplemental Table 6: Adverse events reported in the included RCTs.

	Electrolyte d	isturbances	Cere	ebral edema	Hypoglycemia		
	Balanced crystalloids	Saline	Balanced crystalloids	Saline	Balanced crystalloids	Saline	
Mahler(24)	Not reported	Not reported	None	None	None	None	
Van Zyl(25)	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	4 patients	None	
Aditianingsih(26)	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	
Tsui(27)	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Self(28)	11 patients with hyperkalemia 9 patients with hypokalemia	18 patients with hyperkalemia 15 patients with hypokalemia	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	
Williams(29)	9 patients with hypokalemia	13 hypokalemia	1 patient	None	2 patients	3 patients	
Yung(30)	1 patient with hypernatremia patients with hypokalemia	None	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	

# Supplemental Figure 1. Effect of using either saline or balanced crystalloids (BC) on mortality.

	Salii	1e	Balanced Crysta	alloids		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Rando	m, 95%	CI	
Aditianingsih 2017	2	15	2	15	49.2%	1.00 [0.16, 6.20]				_	
Ramanan 2021	1	29	0	33	16.4%	3.40 [0.14, 80.36]			-		
Self 2020	1	48	0	58	16.2%	3.61 [0.15, 86.70]			-		
Tsul 2019	0	20	0	22		Not estimable					
Van Zyl 2012	0	27	0	27		Not estimable					
Williams 2020	0	32	2	34	18.2%	0.21 [0.01, 4.26]		•	+-		
Total (95% CI)		171		189	100.0%	1.13 [0.32, 4.08]		-			
Total events	4		4								
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	• 0.00; Cl	$ht^2=2.$	19, $df = 3 (P = 0)$	1.53); P =	· 0%		0.01	0.1	<del> </del>	10	100
Test for overall effect	z = 0.19	) (P = (	).85)				0.01	Favours Saline	Favour	10 s BC	100

# **Supplemental Figure 2. Outcome = DKA resolution.**

	Salii	1e	Balanced Cryst	alloids		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Ramanan 2021	26	29	32	33	4.6%	0.92 [0.81, 1.06]	
Self 2020	48	48	58	58	66.0%	1.00 [0.96, 1.04]	
Tsul 2019	17	20	20	22	1.8%	0.94 [0.75, 1.17]	<del></del>
Van Zyl 2012	19	27	20	27	0.8%	0.95 [0.68, 1.32]	<del></del>
Williams 2020	32	32	32	34	8.8%	1.06 [0.96, 1.17]	+-
Yung 2017	38	39	38	38	17.9%	0.97 [0.91, 1.05]	<del></del>
Total (95% CI)		195		212	100.0%	1.00 [0.97, 1.03]	•
Total events	180		200				
Heterogeneity: Tau2 •	= 0.00; Cl	0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2					
Test for overall effect	z = 0.31	Favours Saline Favours BC					

# Supplemental Figure 3. Sensitivity analysis limited to adults for time to DKA resolution

		Saline		Balance	d Crystal	loids		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Ramanan 2021	30.8	18	26	22.6	15	32	20.9%	8.20 [-0.45, 16.85]	
Self 2020	16.9	16.7	48	13	6.9	58	34.0%	3.90 [-1.15, 8.95]	-
Tsul 2019	31	14.81	20	18	11.85	22	22.4%	13.00 [4.84, 21.16]	
Van Zyl 2012	14.1	10.1	19	14.5	15.17	20	22.7%	-0.40 [-8.45, 7.65]	-
Total (95% CI)			113			132	100.0%	5.86 [0.66, 11.05]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	4.05	Cht <sup>2</sup> = 6	6.06, d	f = 3 (P =	· 0.11); r²	<b>= 51%</b>			<u>-4 -2 0 2 4</u>
Test for overall effect	: Z = 2.2	1 (P = 0)	0.03)						Favours Saline Favours BC

# <u>Supplemental Figure 4. Outcome = Post resuscitation chloride level</u>

	Saline			Balanced Crystalloids			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Mahler 2011	111	2.32	23	105	5.65	22	17.0%	6.00 [3.46, 8.54]	
Ramanan 2021	108	8.63	29	106.5	6.89	33	12.4%	1.50 [-2.42, 5.42]	<del></del>
Self 2020	107	4.14	48	105	3.61	58	20.7%	2.00 [0.51, 3.49]	
Van Zyl 2012	108.83	0.86	27	109.02	0.86	27	23.0%	-0.19 [-0.65, 0.27]	+
Williams 2020	114.5	11.85	32	118	10	34	8.9%	-3.50 [-8.81, 1.81]	<del></del>
Yung 2017	117	6	38	115	4	38	17.9%	2.00 [-0.29, 4.29]	<del>  •  </del>
Total (95% CI)			197			212	100.0%	1.62 [-0.40, 3.64]	•
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 4.56$ ; $Chl^2 = 32.95$ , $df = 5$ (P < 0.00001); $l^2 = 85\%$									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.57$ (P = 0.12)									–10 –5 0 5 10 Favours Saline Favours BC