

Supplementary Table S1. NOS criteria for quality assessment of cohort study

Study	Representativeness of the exposed cohort	Selection of the exposed cohort	Ascertainment of non-exposed cohort	Demonstration of that outcome of interest was not present at the start of the study	Comparability of cohorts or design analysis	Assessment of outcome	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur	Adequacy of follow-up cohorts	Total quality scores
Farhad 2013 ¹	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆	8
Knott 2020 ²	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆☆	☆	☆	☆	9
Meinel 2017 ³	☆	☆	☆	☆	☆☆	☆	/	☆	8
Hamaya 2020 ⁴	☆	☆	☆	/	☆	☆	☆	☆	7
Harms 2021 ⁵	☆	☆	☆	/	☆☆	☆	☆	☆	8

Monroy

2019 ⁶

☆

☆

☆

/

/

☆

☆

/

5

References

1. Farhad H, Dunet V, Bachelard K, et al.: Added prognostic value of myocardial blood flow quantitation in rubidium-82 positron emission tomography imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;14:1203-10.
2. Knott KD, Seraphim A, Augusto JB, et al.: The Prognostic Significance of Quantitative Myocardial Perfusion: An Artificial Intelligence-Based Approach Using Perfusion Mapping. *Circulation* 2020;141:1282-91.
3. Meinel FG, Wichmann JL, Schoepf UJ, et al.: Global quantification of left ventricular myocardial perfusion at dynamic CT imaging: Prognostic value. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2017;11:16-24.
4. Hamaya R, Kanaji Y, Hada M, et al.: Prognostic implication of global myocardial blood flow in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Heart Vessels* 2020;35:936-45.
5. Harms HJ, Bravo PE, Bajaj NS, et al.: Cardiopulmonary transit time: A novel PET imaging biomarker of in vivo physiology for risk stratification of heart transplant recipients. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2021.
6. Monroy-Gonzalez AG, Tio RA, de Groot JC, et al.: Long-term prognostic value of quantitative myocardial perfusion in patients with chest pain and normal coronary arteries. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2019;26:1844-52.