Supplemental Material

Supplemental Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients who were lost to follow up compared to those who continued the study.

Supplemental Table 2. Baseline patient demographics of the whole cohort recruited.

Supplemental Table 3. Baseline biochemical and hemodynamic characteristics of the whole cohort recruited.

Supplemental Table 4. Linear regression model: Association between 12-month change in adjusted pulse wave velocity and kidney donation, age, sex and smoking status.

Supplemental Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients who were lost to follow up compared to those who continued the study.

Baseline characteristics	Attended follow up	Lost to follow up				
	All=306 (68%)	All=141 (32%)	Donors n=78	Controls n=63		
Living kidney donor	168 (55)	78 (55)			0.93	
Sex (male)	135 (44)	50 (35)	28 (36)	22 (35)	0.40	
Age (years)	50 ± 13	47 ± 13	50 ± 12	44 ± 12	0.05	
Race	Caucasian=285 (93) Non-white=17 (6) Unknown=4 (1)	Caucasian=108 (77) Non-white=17 (12) Unknown=16 (11)	Caucasian=64 (82) Non-white=4 (5) Unknown=10 (13)	Caucasian=44 (70) Non-white=13 (21) Unknown=6 (9)	0.04	
Weight (kg)	75.1 ± 13.6	76.4 ± 13.6	77.2 ± 13	75.2 ± 13	0.38	
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 ²)	93 ± 15	97 ± 15	96 ± 15	99 ± 14	0.008	
History of hypertension	26 (9)	9 (6)	7 (9)	2 (3)	0.08	
Anti- hypertensive usage	27 (19)	8 (6)	6 (8)	2 (3)	0.04	
Current or ex- smoker	112 (37)	68 (48)	39 (50)	29 (46)	0.006	
ACE/ARB usage	8 (3)	2 (1)	1	1	0.29	
Calcium channel blocker usage	10 (3)	4 (3)	3 (4)	1 (1)	0.62	

ACE; Angiotensin Converting Enzyme. ARB; Angiotensin receptor blocker. eGFR; estimated glomerular filtration rate.

^{*} A comparison was made between all those lost to follow up n=141 compared to all those who followed up n=306. Categorical variables are presented as n (valid %) and were analysed using Chi squared tests. Continuous data are represented as mean \pm standard deviation if normally distributed and were analysed using independent samples *t*-tests.

Supplemental Table 2. Baseline patient demographics of the whole cohort recruited.

Variable	Controls	Donors	P value †
Sample size =n			
	== (20)	101(12)	
Male sex Donors n=246	79 (39)	106 (43)	0.40
Controls n=201			
Age, years	47 ± 14	51 ± 12	0.003
Donors n=239	17 = 11	01 = 12	0.000
Controls n=195			
Race	Caucasian=171 (85)	Caucasian=222 (90)	0.04
Donors n=246	Non-white=21 (10)	Non-white=13 (5)	
Controls n=201	Unknown= 9 (5)	Unknown=11 (5)	
Previous history of hypertension	11 (6)	24 (10)	0.08
Donors n=232	11 (0)	2.(10)	0.00
Controls n=194			
Anti-hypertensive usage	11 (7)	24 (14)	0.04
Donors n=173	(.)	_ : (= :)	
Controls n=159			
ACE/ARB usage	5 (2)	7 (3)	0.67
Donors n=246			
Controls n=201			
Calcium channel blocker usage	5 (2)	9 (4)	0.62
Donors n=246			
Controls n=201			
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 ²) ‡	96 ± 15	93 ±15	0.04
Donors n=231			
Controls n=181			
Weight, kg	74.8 ± 13.8	76 ± 13.5	0.38
Donors n=237			
Controls n=193			
Current or ex-smoker	67 (33)	113 (46)	0.007
Donors n=246	, ,	, ,	
Controls n=193			
Normalised isotopic GFR	89 ± 13	89 ± 12	0.88
(ml/min/1.73m ²)			
Donors n=115			
Controls n=24			

ACE; Angiotensin Converting Enzyme. ARB; Angiotensin receptor blocker. CKD; Chronic Kidney Disease. eGFR; Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate.

⁴⁴⁷ participants recruited into the study with valid data sets are represented.

[†] Categorical variables are presented as n (valid %) and were analysed using Chi squared tests for categorical variables. Continuous data are represented as mean \pm standard deviation if normally distributed and were analysed using independent samples t-tests.

[‡] eGFR was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation (2009).

Supplemental Table 3. Baseline biochemical and haemodynamic characteristics of the whole cohort recruited.*

Variable	Controls	Donors	P value †
Sodium (meq/L)	141 ± 2	140 ± 2	0.15
Donors n=232			
Controls n=184			
Potassium (meq/L)	4.2 ± 0.3	4.3 ± 0.3	0.04
Donors n=231			
Controls n=183			
Urea (mg/dL)	30 ± 8	30 ± 8	0.66
Donors n=232			
Controls n=184			
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.8 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.2	0.29
Donors n=232			
Controls n=182			
Albumin (g/dL)	4.6 ± 0.5	4.3 ± 0.4	0.006
Donors n=217			
Controls n=183			
Corrected calcium (mg/dL)	9.2 ± 0.4	9.2 ± 0.4	0.24
Donors n=217			
Controls n=183			0.15
Phosphate (mg/dL)	3.4 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 0.6	0.15
Donors n=198			
Controls n=175	20.02	20.02	0.64
Magnesium (mg/dL)	2.8 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.3	0.64
Donors n=123			
Controls n=114	40 + 1 1	50 + 12	0.10
Uric acid (mg/dL)	4.8 ± 1.1	5.0 ± 1.2	0.18
Donors n=121 Controls n=127			
Urine albumin: creatinine	27 ± 57	25 ± 47	0.78
ratio (mg/g)	27 ± 37	23 ± 47	0.78
Donors n=126			
Controls n=125			
Seated office systolic BP	125 ± 16	126 ± 14	0.64
(mmHg)	123 ± 10	120 ± 14	0.04
Donors n=234			
Controls n=195			
Seated office diastolic BP	77 ± 10	78 ± 9	0.19
(mmHg)	77 = 10	70 = 7	0.17
Donors n=233			
Controls n=194			
Ambulatory day systolic BP	123 ± 10	124 ± 10	0.56
(mmHg)			
Donors n=174			
Controls n=158			
Ambulatory day diastolic BP	78 ± 9	79 ± 8	0.36
(mmHg)			
(

The EARNEST study

Donors n=174			
Controls n=158			
Ambulatory day heart rate	73 ± 9	74 ± 11	0.49
(bpm)			
Donors n=109			
Controls n=124			
Ambulatory night systolic BP	111 ± 12	112 ± 11	0.74
(mmHg)			
Donors n=169			
Controls n=156			
Ambulatory night diastolic BP	67 ± 8	67 ± 9	0.57
(mmHg)			
Donors n=169			
Controls n=156			
Central systolic BP (mmHg)	110 ± 16	113 ± 13	0.04
Donors n=142			
Controls n=148			
Central diastolic BP	75 ± 10	77 ± 8	0.06
(mmHg)			
Donors n=142			
Controls n=148			
Augmentation index, corrected	20 ± 13	23 ± 15	0.10
for heart rate (%)			
Donors n=140			
Controls n=148			
Adjusted carotid-femoral pulse	6.9 ± 1.3	7.0 ± 1.4	0.66
wave velocity (m/s)			
Donors n=200			
Controls n=174			

BPM; Beats per minute. BP; Blood Pressure, CI; Confidence interval.

^{*447} participants recruited into the study with valid data sets are represented.

[†] Continuous data are represented as mean \pm standard deviation if normally distributed. Independent samples *t*-tests (controls vs. donors) were used to compare variables at baseline between donors and controls.

The EARNEST study

Supplemental Table 4. Linear regression model: Association between 12-month change in adjusted pulse wave velocity and kidney donation, age, sex and smoking status.

-	Univariable analysis				Multivariable analysis			
	β		CI	P	β		CI	P
Donor	0.083	-0.155	0.323	0.49	0.098	-0.147	0.343	0.43
Age (years) at baseline	-0.003	-0.012	0.005	0.48	-0.003	-0.012	0.005	0.47
Female	-0.067	-0.307	0.172	0.57	-0.074	-0.318	0.170	0.55
Current or ex-smoker at baseline	-0.065	-0.312	0.182	0.60	-0.089	-0.345	0.165	0.49

β;Beta coefficient; CI; Confidence interval. PWV; Pulse wave velocity.

Multivariable analysis shows mutually adjusted coefficients for each independent variable.

Coefficients are given per unit change e.g. per year for age. Linear regression was used for all participants with both baseline and follow up data for PWV i.e. change in PWV (Living kidney donors n=168, Controls n=138). Pulse wave velocity has been adjusted for mean heart rate and mean arterial pressure as previously described. Variables chosen for the multivariable model were based on clinical relevance and known factors influencing PWV.