Author(s): CMM/MN/AT Date: 2015-02-27

Question: Should non in vivo exposure-based therapy in adults with high levels of needle fear vs control be used for reducing vaccine injection fear in adults?

Settings: dental clinic, MS clinic

Bibliography: Heaton 2013, Mohr 2005

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Non in vivo exposure- based therapy in adults with high levels of needle fear	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quanty	importance
Fear (specific)¹ (measured with: validated tool (Needle Survey 18-90); Better indicated by lower values)												
	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	serious ³	serious ⁴	none	34	34	-	SMD 0.62 lower (1.11 to 0.14 lower) ¹	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Fear (acı	ite during pro	cedure)1,	⁵ (measured with	n: validated to	ool (Modified	Interval Scale of	f Anxiety Response Visua	al Analo	g Scale 0-1	00); Better indica	ted by lo	wer values)
	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	serious ³	serious ⁶	none	12	5	-	SMD 0.18 higher (0.87 lower to 1.23 higher) ^{1,5}	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Complia	nce (assessed	d with: va	lidated tools (vo	luntary denta	Il injection o	r self-injection of	medication, yes/no))					
	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	serious ^{3,8}	serious ⁴	none	20/49 (40.8%)	9/49 (18.4%)	RR 2.22 (1.13 to 4.39)	224 more per 1000 (from 24 more to 623 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
								0%		-		
Pain, Dis	tress, Faintin	g, Proced	lure Outcomes,	Memory, Pref	erence, Satis	sfaction (assesse	ed with: no data were ide	ntified fo	or these im	portant outcomes	s)	
	No evidence available					none	-	-	-	-		IMPORTANT
								0%		-		

Additional information and study data provided by author (Heaton 2013)

² Participants not blinded; outcome assessor not blinded

³ Not vaccination; however, includes individuals with high needle fear

⁴ Sample size was below the recommended optimum information size (OIS) of 400 for an effect size of 0.2 ⁵ Includes subsample of participants who opted to undergo a voluntary injection

⁶ Confidence intervals cross the line of nonsignificance and the sample size was below the recommended optimum information size (OIS) of 400 for an effect size of 0.2

⁷ Therapists and participants not blinded; outcome assessor not consistently blinded

⁸ In the study by Heaton (2013), individuals with high needle fear opted to undergo dental injection; in the study by Mohr (2005), patients with Multiple Sclerosis opted to self-inject medication.