Multifactorial Effects of AXA1665, a Novel Composition of Amino Acids, in Subjects With Cirrhosis

Study Subjects	Study Design	Outcomes	AXA1665 14.7 g TID	Control
Adult subjects with Child– Pugh A and B cirrhosis	Crossover design of two 15-day domiciled periods with AXA1665 vs control on background of SOC	Improved nitrogen handling NH ₃	↓ 21 %	↓ 3.8%
		Improved amino acid metabolism FR	† 42%	↓ 2.3%
		Improved lean mass %LBM	↑ 0.7%	↓ 0.3%
		Reduced frailty LFI	↓ 21 %	↑ 5.0%

Conclusion

AXA1665 has potential to address core metabolic derangements associated with cirrhosis, including HE and sarcopenia

FR, Fischer ratio; HE, hepatic encephalopathy; LFI, Liver Frailty Index (comprised of hand grip strength, timed chair stands, and balance assessments); LBM, lean body mass; NH₃, ammonia; SOC, standard of care (standardized meals, daily supervised physical activity, late evening snack); TID, three times a day.

Chakravarthy MV, et al. *Clin Trans Gastroenterol*. August 2020. doi: 10.14309/ctg.0000000000000222 All icons above are from The Noun Project (https://thenounproject.com/).

Clinical and Translational GASTROENTEROLOGY



CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial*

Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	N/A
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	6
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	7-9
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	9
Methods			
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	10-11
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	N/A
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	9, Supplementary T
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	10
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	8-9
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	12-14
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	N/A
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	N/A
•	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	N/A
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	N/A
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	N/A
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers),	
concealment mechanism		describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	N/A
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	N/A
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those	N/A

CONSORT 2010 checklist

		assessing outcomes) and how	N/A
	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	N/A
Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	14-15
	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	N/A
Results			
Participant flow (a	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and	15
diagram is strongly		were analysed for the primary outcome	15
recommended)	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	15, Figure 1C
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	N/A
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	N/A
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	15, Table 1
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was	
		by original assigned groups	15
Outcomes and	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its	45.40
estimation		precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	15-19
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	N/A
Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing	
		pre-specified from exploratory	N/A
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	19
Discussion			
Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	21-22
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	20-23
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	N/A
Other information			
Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	N/A
Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	N/A
Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	

^{*}We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant, we also recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials. Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see www.consort-statement.org.

CONSORT 2010 checklist Page 2