## eAppendix

## Bias Adjustment

Let $x$ be the monitor pressure (in mm Hg ),$x^{*}$ be the true pressure (or the more accurate meter pressure, which is assumed to be true), and $\varepsilon$ be the systematic error arising from measuring with the less accurate monitor. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \equiv x^{*}+\varepsilon \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the distribution of the errors $\varepsilon$ conditional on $x^{*}$ are normally distributed with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Furthermore, assume that both the mean $(\mu)$ and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of the normal distribution for a particular $x^{*}$ are linear in $x^{*}$. That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=m x^{*}+c \\
& \sigma=p x^{*}+d
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\varepsilon \mid x^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \Pi\left(p x^{*}+d\right)^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left[\varepsilon-\left(m x^{*}+c\right)\right]^{2}}{2\left(p x^{*}+d\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m, c, p$, and $d$ fully parameterize this conditional, normal distribution. Performing maximum likelihood estimation on the data from the validity study, we obtain the following parameter estimates (standard errors in parenthesis):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{m}=-0.0151923(0.0004225) \\
& \hat{c}=2.344279(0.0814004) \\
& \hat{p}=-0.0051017(0.0003233) \\
& \hat{d}=3.291946(0.0611373)
\end{aligned}
$$

All estimates are significant at the $1 \%$ level ( $p$-values in Stata are 0.000 ). These estimates indicate the following:

1. The negative $\hat{m}$ indicates that, on average, the systematic error decreases with increasing true pressure. (That is, on average, a person with hypertension has an error which is more negative compared to a person with no hypertension.) The observed monitor pressures have negative errors when true pressure is $<154.31\left(x^{*}=-\frac{\hat{c}}{\hat{m}}\right)$, zero error when true pressure $=154.31$, and positive errors when true pressure is $>154.31$.
2. The negative $\hat{p}$ indicates that the standard deviation of the error decreases with increasing true pressure.

The log likelinood from performing maximum likelihood estimation under different assumptions is tabulated below. Comparing the different models, it is clear that the form chosen above (the one with the highest log likelihood) has the best fit among them.

| Distributional form of $\varepsilon$ | Mean | Variance | Log Likelihood |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Normal, Conditional on $x^{*}$ | Linear in $x^{*}$ | Linear in $x$ | -14083.813 |
| Normal, Conditional on $x^{*}$ | Linear in $x^{*}$ | Constant | -14199.657 |
| Normal, Conditional on $x$ | Linear in $x$ | Linear in $x$ | -14203.203 |
| Normal, Conditional on $x$ | Linear in $x$ | Constant | -14290.249 |
| Normal, Unconditional | Constant | Constant | -14761.244 |

However, when measuring pressure in the field, one only observes the measured value $x$ and not the true unobserved value $x^{*}$. Thus, an adjustment must be made to the measured value $x$ to obtain the true value. From equation (1), in expectation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=E\left[x^{*}\right]+E[\varepsilon] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But given equation (2),

$$
E[\varepsilon]=E\left[E \left[\varepsilon \mid x^{*} \|=E\left[m x^{*}+c\right]=m E\left[x^{*}\right]+c\right.\right.
$$

where the first equality holds by the law of iterated expectations. Substituting in (3) for $E(\varepsilon)$ and rearranging the terms we get

$$
E\left[x^{*}\right]=\frac{x-c}{1+m}
$$

Therefore, using the parameters estimated above, the adjustment to be made to obtain the expected true value $x^{*}$ from an observed measured value $x$ is

$$
E\left[x^{*}\right]=\frac{x-\hat{c}}{1+\hat{m}} \approx(1.015427 \times x)-2.380443
$$

eTable 1. Food/Caffeine/Smoking 30 Minutes Prior to Blood Pressure Measurement

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Means ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) weighted to be representative of adolescents in grades 7-12 in the U.S. during the1994-95 school year.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Weighted means ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ).
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ No food, caffeine or smoking in past 30 minutes.
eTable 2.Terminal Digit Preference of Blood Pressure, Add
Health Wave IV (2008)

| Terminal Digit | Systolic BP Measure |  |  | Diastolic BP Measure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\%^{\text {a }}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 0 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 10.5 |
| 1 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.3 |
| 2 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 11.2 |
| 3 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 11.4 | 10.6 | 11.1 |
| 4 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 9.8 |
| 5 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.7 |
| 6 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 9.9 |
| 7 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.5 |
| 8 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 9.5 |
| 9 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 9.7 |
| Pearson $\chi^{2}$ | 12.49 | 19.26 | 11.71 | 67.90 | 44.99 | 61.76 |
| $P$ value | 0.187 | 0.023 | 0.230 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <. 0001 |
| DPS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 |

[^0]eTable 3. Accuracy of Monitor Pressure, by Meter Pressure (mm Hg), Add Health Wave IV (2008)

|  | Bias (mm Hg) $^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |  |  | Relative Bias (\%) $^{\mathbf{b}}$Meter <br> pressure <br> (mm Hg) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{n}$ | Median | Mean | Std | Median | Mean | Std |
| 280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 260 | 409 | -1.70 | -1.79 | 1.78 | $-0.60 \%$ | $-0.64 \%$ | $0.64 \%$ |
| 240 | 415 | -1.30 | -1.34 | 1.89 | $-0.50 \%$ | $-0.51 \%$ | $0.73 \%$ |
| 220 | 418 | -1.10 | -1.20 | 1.89 | $-0.46 \%$ | $-0.50 \%$ | $0.79 \%$ |
| 200 | 431 | -1.10 | -1.26 | 2.15 | $-0.50 \%$ | $-0.57 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ |
| 180 | 451 | -1.10 | -1.38 | 2.16 | $-0.55 \%$ | $-0.69 \%$ | $1.08 \%$ |
| 160 | 465 | -0.70 | -0.57 | 2.66 | $-0.39 \%$ | $-0.31 \%$ | $1.48 \%$ |
| 140 | 480 | -0.30 | -0.06 | 2.69 | $-0.19 \%$ | $-0.03 \%$ | $1.68 \%$ |
| 120 | 491 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 2.65 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.20 \%$ | $1.89 \%$ |
| 100 | 502 | 0.40 | 0.71 | 2.59 | $0.33 \%$ | $0.60 \%$ | $2.16 \%$ |
| 80 | 513 | 0.60 | 1.30 | 3.27 | $0.59 \%$ | $1.30 \%$ | $3.27 \%$ |
| 60 | 516 | 0.75 | 1.23 | 2.74 | $0.95 \%$ | $1.53 \%$ | $3.42 \%$ |
| 40 | 512 | 0.70 | 1.30 | 2.73 | $1.16 \%$ | $2.17 \%$ | $4.53 \%$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bias = monitor pressure - meter pressure; Negative bias indicates underestimation and positive bias indicates overestimation.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Relative bias $=100 \times($ Bias $\div$ meter pressure $)$.
eTable 4. Reliability of Resting, Seated Blood Pressure, Add Health Wave IV (2008)

| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pressure |  | BetweenParticipant | BetweenVisit | WithinVisit | Total | ICC ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (95\% CI) |
| Systolic BP | 1 | 127.770 | 67.622 |  | 195.392 | 0.65 | 0.54, 0.76 |
|  | 2 | 136.420 | 43.293 |  | 179.713 | 0.75 | 0.67, 0.84 |
|  | 3 | 114.190 | 56.712 |  | 170.902 | 0.66 | 0.55, 0.78 |
|  | 1-3 | 123.420 | 15.059 | 43.909 | 182.388 | 0.67 | 0.59, 0.75 |
|  | Mean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 124.890 | 28.934 |  | 153.824 | 0.81 | 0.74, 0.88 |
| Diastolic BP | 1 | 56.2668 | 37.7294 |  | 93.996 | 0.59 | 0.46, 0.72 |
|  | 2 | 68.2485 | 44.7751 |  | 113.024 | 0.60 | 0.47, 0.73 |
|  | 3 | 67.6312 | 29.0477 |  | 96.679 | 0.69 | 0.59, 0.80 |
|  | 1-3 | 64.9698 | 18.8493 | 18.9748 | 102.7939 | 0.63 | 0.53, 0.72 |
|  | Mean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 67.2055 | 30.4655 |  | 97.671 | 0.68 | 0.57, 0.79 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{ICC}(95 \% \mathrm{CI})=$ intraclass correlation coefficient (95\% confidence interval).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Averaged over measures 2-3 according to Joint National Committee (JNC) 7 recommendations. This is the measure made available to Add Health users in the publicly disseminated dataset.
eTable 5. Mean Blood Pressure by Field Interviewer's (FI) and Participant's Gender and Race, Add Health (Wave IV)

|  | nSystolic <br> $B^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  | Diastolic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (95\% CI) | $B P^{\text {a }}$ | (95\% CI) |
| Fl female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participant female | 6527 | 120 | 119, 121 | 77 | 76, 77 |
| Participant male | 5600 | 130 | 129, 130 | 82 | 81, 82 |
| FI male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participant female | 711 | 120 | 119, 121 | 77 | 76,78 |
| Participant male | 779 | 130 | 128, 131 | 81 | 80, 82 |
| By race |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fl white, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participant white, non-Hispanic | 5523 | 125 | 124, 125 | 79 | 79, 80 |
| Participant black, non-Hispanic | 1404 | 127 | 126, 128 | 80 | 79, 81 |
| Participant Hispanic /Latino | 981 | 124 | 123, 125 | 79 | 78, 80 |
| Participant Asian/PI, non-Hispanic | 378 | 123 | 120, 126 | 79 | 77, 82 |
| Participant other/multiracial | 530 | 125 | 124, 127 | 80 | 79, 81 |
| Fl black, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participant white, non-Hispanic | 1222 | 125 | 124, 126 | 79 | 78, 80 |
| Participant black, non-Hispanic | 985 | 126 | 125, 127 | 80 | 79, 81 |
| Participant Hispanic /Latino | 466 | 126 | 124, 128 | 79 | 77, 81 |
| Participant Asian/PI, non-Hispanic | 107 | 125 | 115, 135 | 81 | 75, 86 |
| Participant other/multiracial | 153 | 123 | 121, 126 | 79 | 76, 81 |
| Fl other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participant white, non-Hispanic | 565 | 123 | 122, 125 | 78 | 77, 79 |
| Participant black, non-Hispanic | 262 | 127 | 123, 130 | 80 | 78, 82 |
| Participant Hispanic /Latino | 536 | 124 | 121, 126 | 79 | 77, 80 |
| Participant Asian/PI, non-Hispanic | 285 | 126 | 124, 128 | 80 | 79, 82 |
| Participant other/multiracial | 167 | 125 | 120, 130 | 80 | 76, 84 |

[^1]eTable 6. Participant Characteristics, Ages 24-32 Years

|  | Add Health, Wave IV (2008) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | NHANES (2007-8) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | American Community Survey (2008) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { or } \\ \%^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | 95\% CI | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { or } \\ \%^{\text {c }} \end{gathered}$ | 95\% CI | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { or } \\ \%^{\text {c }} \end{gathered}$ | 95\% CI |
| Age (years); mean | 14252 | 28 | 28, 29 | 733 | 28 | 28, 28 | 306837 | 28 | 28, 28 |
| Male, \% | 6683 | 51 | 49, 52 | 363 | 51 | 46, 56 | 151455 | 51 | 51, 51 |
| Race/ethnicity, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic | 7636 | 66 | 60, 72 | 287 | 62 | 50, 73 | 195554 | 60 | 59, 60 |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 2837 | 15 | 11, 19 | 160 | 13 | 9, 18 | 32116 | 13 | 13, 13 |
| Asian/PI, non-Hispanic | 813 | 3 | 2, 5 | --- | --- | --- | 17576 | 5 | 5, 5 |
| Hispanic | 2027 | 11 | 8, 15 | 258 | 19 | 14, 26 | 53229 | 20 | 20, 20 |
| Foreign-born, \% | 870 | 4 | 3, 6 | 185 | 19 | 13, 26 | 52401 | 19 | 19, 19 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {d }}$, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < High School | 1100 | 9 | 8,11 | 194 | 19 | 15, 24 | 36333 | 14 | 13, 14 |
| High school/GED | 2279 | 18 | 16, 20 | 178 | 22 | 18, 27 | 72287 | 25 | 25, 26 |
| Some college/ AA | 6298 | 43 | 41, 45 | 212 | 31 | 26, 37 | 97718 | 32 | 32, 32 |
| 4 -Year college | 4572 | 30 | 27, 33 | 149 | 28 | 21, 35 | 100499 | 29 | 29, 29 |
| Household income $\leq \$ 20,000$, \% | 1527 | 12 | 11, 14 | 143 | 15 | 11, 20 | 31891 | 12 | 12, 12 |
| Uninsured, \% | 2927 | 22 | 21, 24 | 285 | 31 | 25, 37 | 77504 | 28 | 28, 29 |

[^2]
eFigure 1. Frequency distribution of the monitor pressure - meter pressure difference (bias, mm Hg). Add Health, Wave IV (2008).
eFigure 2. Distribution of Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressures in Add Health Wave IV (2008) and NHANES (2007-2008), Ages 24-32.




[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Unweighted percents; $\mathrm{n}=15,347$.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ DPS $=$ digit preference score (see methods).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Means ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) weighted to be representative of adolescents in grades 7-12 in the U.S. during the1994-95 school year.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ With valid blood pressure data.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Means, percents ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) weighted to be representative of adolescents in grades 7-12 in the U.S. during the1994-95 school year.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Weighted percents ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ).
    ${ }^{d}$ GED $=$ General Educational Development or high school equivalency degree; AA = Associate's Degree.

