Supplemental Digital Content 1, Table that shows comparisons of GAT IOP and ORA parameters including CH and corneal-compensated IOP between eyes with PG analogues and those with other medications before washout.

## Comparisons of variables of GAT IOP and ORA parameters including CH and IOPcc between eyes with PG analogues and those with other medications before washout

	PG analogues (33 eyes /25 cases) mean ± SD (range)	Other medications (8 eyes /6 cases) mean ± SD (range)	P value
GAT IOP (mmHg)	$14.0 \pm 3.3$ $(8 - 23)$	$13.6 \pm 2.9$ $(8 - 20)$	0.86
IOPcc (mmHg)	$16.3 \pm 3.7$ $(9.0 - 28.8)$	14.6 ± 3.3 (7.6 – 23.2)	0.20
CH (mmHg)	10.1 ± 1.2 (7.5 – 13.3)	10.6 ± 1.1 (8.0 – 12.4)	0.48
GAT IOP amplitude (mmHg)	$3.6 \pm 1.6$ $(0-7)$	3.5 ± 1.7 (1 – 6)	0.84
IOPcc amplitude (mmHg)	4.8 ± 1.7 (1.2 – 8.6)	4.7 ± 1.5 (2.1 – 6.6)	0.90
CH amplitude (mmHg)	$1.0 \pm 0.5$ $(0.3 - 2.4)$	$0.9 \pm 0.7$ (0.1 – 2.2)	0.48

GAT IOP, intraocular pressure measured by Goldmann applanation tonometry; ORA, Ocular Response Analyzer; CH, corneal hysteresis; IOPcc, corneal-compensated intraocular pressure; PG, prostaglandin; SD, standard deviation; P values by mixed-effects models accounting for repeated measurements in the same eye and correlations between fellow eyes.