Copyright © by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Franklin et al.

STRATEGIES FOR EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION OF PATIENT-REPORTED OUTCOME MEASURES IN ARTHROPLASTY PRACTICE http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.20.02072

Page 1

## The following content was supplied by the authors as supporting material and has not been copy-edited or verified by JBJS.

## Appendix 1: PROMIS Measures for TJR Practice

- 1. PROMIS Physical Function CAT (4-12 items) *or* PROMIS Physical Function Short Form 10a (10 items)
- 2. PROMIS Pain Interference CAT (4-12 items) *or* PROMIS Pain Interference Short Form 4a (4 items)
- 3. PROMIS Numeric Rating Scale Pain Intensity 1a (1 item)

Total assessment time: 1.5 to 4 minutes (9 to 25 items)

## Appendix 2: Commonly used Joint-specific Outcome Measures

- HOOS-12 and KOOS-12 capture symptoms in the hip and knee, respectively, but allow
  the clinician to assess the sub-score domains separately. The measures have 12 items
  and generate pain, function (or ADL), and quality of life scores that are comparable to
  the full HOOS and KOOS surveys. [13,14]
- **HOOS-JR** and **KOOS-JR** capture symptoms in the hip or knee, respectively, but do not address broader health impact. As with PROMIS Global, the JR scores are aggregate and do not allow the user to assess specific domains of physical function, pain, or fatigue, a concern if using the scores in a clinical encounter [11,15,16].