Study	Design/Sample	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Summary/Conclusions
Greco et al	Design: Single	EX: Formal or	4 mo:	4 mo:	Level of Evidence: VI
(1998) <sup>48</sup>	group; Pre/post	informal aerobic	Exercise	Exercise capacity	
(1000)	(n=11)	training program, (3-5	capacity	PeakVO <sub>2</sub>	Jadad score = NR
		d/wk at aerobic	- Peak VO <sub>2</sub>	Pre: 20.54±7.69	
	Sample: patients	workloads (30-45min)	<sub>(</sub> mL/kg/min)	Post: 25.32±10.97	Strength
	with rate responsive	at home or in	- Anaerobic	P=.002	- Tailoring of CPET was
	pacemakers,	outpatient setting	threshold time		done for the type of rate
	implanted for high		(ATT) min	ATT	response pacemaker
	degree	The f/u duration:	- Exercise	Pre: 6.86+2.24	
	atrioventricular AV	2-7 mo (mean 3.9	time (ET) min	Post: 13.18±4.22	Weakness
	block and	mo)		P<.001	- Small sample size
	chronotropic				- Not all exercise
	incompetence;			ET	programs were the
	no CRT devices			Pre: 11.50+2.73	same, some were done
	used			Post:17.14±5.14	at home and others
				P<.001	supervised
	Pacemaker type:				- Not all follow-up times
	Medtronic =5				were at the same time
	Guidant=4			Adverse events	following training.
	St. Jude=1			Not reported	
	Biotronic=1			(NR)	
	Activity sensors:				
	5; temperature				
	sensors: 4; dual				
	sensors: 2				
	Gender: n(%):				
	M: 7 (63.6%) F:				
	4 (36.4%)				
	Age: (mean, range)				
	60 y (18-83)				

## SDC Table 2: Studies Included with Pacemaker (CRT)

	LVEF% (mean ±				
	SD): NR				
	Dropout rate:				
	NR				
Conraads et	Design:	EX: Supervised	5 mo:	5 mo:	Level of Evidence:
al (2007) <sup>22</sup>	prospective RCT	ambulatory	Exercise	Exercise capacity	
ai (2007)	(n=8) who got	endurance exercise	capacity	Peak VO <sub>2</sub>	Jadad score = 3
	exercise, matched	program 1h x 3x/wk x	- Peak VO <sub>2</sub>	CRT+: 19.3±1.2	
	to CRT no exercise	4 mo at HR 90% of	(mL/kg/min)	CRT- : 13.8 ±0.9	Strength
	(n=9), compared to	the ventilatory	- Peak Watts	P=.005	- 4 group design
	historical controls	threshold. (n=17)	(maximal		- 2 historical
	(n=19) who got no		workload	Watt peak	group controls
	exercise	CRT+	(wattmax)	CRT+: 113±12	used with no CRT
	Sample: patients	Standard	LV remodeling -	CRT- : 87 ±9	
	with LV systolic	pharmacological	LVEF	P=0.0005	Limitations
	dysfunction and	therapy plus 4-mo	- Left ventricular		- Small patient groups -
	LBBB, cardiac	endurance exercise	end-diastolic	LV remodeling	relative short follow-up
	resynchronization	training program with	(LVEDD)	LVEF	time
	therapy CRT and	CRT (n=8)	- Left ventricular	CRT+: 36±5	- There are no
	dyssynchrony 1		end-systolic	CRT- : 34 ± 6	comparisons done
	month after CRT	HF+	diameter (LVESD)	P=.50	between those with
	implanted, EF<35%,	Standard			CRT who exercised and
		pharmacological		LVEDD	HF patients without
	CRT device:	treatment plus 4		CRT+: 59+3	CRT who exercised, to
	Guidant CRT-P= 13	mo endurance	QOL	CRT- : 68 ± 4	determine the benefits
	Guidant CRT-D=4	exercise training-	MLHFQ	P=.30	of exercise and CRT.
	Settings in DDD	<u>no CRT</u> (n=9)			
	mode			LVESD	
				CRT+: 47±3	
	Gender (M/F):	Control: (n=19)		CRT- : 54±5	
	CRT group			P=.30	
	CRT+: 3/5	CRT-	Biomarker		
	CRT- :5/4	Standard	NT-pro brain	QOL	
	C group	pharmacological	natriuretic peptide	MLHFQ	
	HF+:7/2	therapy with CRT	(NT-proBNP)	CRT+: 30±6	

	HF-:7/3 Age (mean ± SD) CRT group CRT+: 57±2 CRT-:61±4 C group HF+:65±3 HF-:64±4 LVEF (mean ± SD): CRT group CRT+: 27±5 CRT-: 28±5 C group HF+: 28±3 HF-: 26±2 Dropout rate: NR	(n=9) <b>HF</b> -: standard pharmacological treatment no CRT (n=10)	levels	CRT- :24 ±7 P=.50 Biomarker NT-proBNP CRT+: 1698±802 CRT- : 711±198 P=.70 Adverse events No lead dislodgement Normal LV thresholds	
Patwala et al (2009) <sup>49</sup>	Design: prospective RCT (n=50) 3 mo after CRT Groups: NYHA functional class III to IV who received CRT, QRS>120	<b>EX:</b> Physician- supervised exercise training (30min/3visit/wk at intensity 80% of the peak heart rate (HR) achieved at the 3-mo test for the first 4 wk,	6 mo: Exercise capacity Peak VO <sub>2</sub> (mL/kg/min) Peak cardiac power output (CPO) Maximum	6 mo: Exercise capacity Peak VO <sub>2</sub> EX: 20.10±3.84 C: 18.07±3.89 P=.02 %peak VO <sub>2</sub> at the	Level of Evidence: II JADAD=3 Strength: - Randomization delayed to determine effects of CRT alone
	msec, LVEF%< 35%, Gender %: M: 92% Age (mean): 64.4y	<ul> <li>85% for the next 4</li> <li>wk, and 90% for the final 4 wk) n=25</li> <li>C: No specific advice on exercise training and underwent no</li> </ul>	RER %Peak VO <sub>2</sub> at the anaerobic threshold Echocardiogram Left ventricular end-diastolic	anaerobic threshold EX: 62.1±10.0 C: 70.0±11.3 P=.11 Echocardiographic LVEDD EX: 6.40±0.53	until the 3 mo - Exercise training in a nonclinical setting and by using a physician not involved in the pacemaker implant or follow up

	CRT-P: Biventricular pacer set to 60 bpm, AV delay 120 msec, LVEF%: 23.67 Dropout rate: NR	supervised training (n=25) Randomization to EX or C occurred 3 mo post-CRT implant	dimension (LVEDD) LVEF% <b>QOL</b> MLHFQ Peak skeletal muscle torque Isokinetic dynamometry with 2 sets of knee extensions	C: $6.34 \pm 0.57$ P=.96 LVEF% EX: $37.3\pm5.4$ C: $35.0\pm7.2$ P=.37 QOL MLHFQ EX: $26.2$ $\pm 20.5$ C: $29.5$ $\pm 17.8$ P=.02 Peak muscle torque right leg EX=144.8+57.6 C=131.5+49.5 P=.13 Adverse events NR	Weakness: - Relatively small sample - Control group not receiving CRT but randomized to exercise training would have improved the methodology
Smolis-Bąk	<b>Design:</b> prospective	EX: Initial aerobic	4 mo:	4 mo:	Level of Evidence: II
et al (2015) <sup>3</sup>	randomized observation (n=52) <b>Sample</b> HF of ischemic or another etiology, NYHA class III <b>Gender:</b> % (n):	exercise training in the hospital setting (3 wk) and continued training program at home with telemonitoring. Large and small muscle isometric exercises, respiratory exercises,	Exercise capacity Peak VO <sub>2</sub> (ml/kg/min) -Exercise time (min) -METs -6MWD (meters) QOL - NHP-EL	Exercise capacity PeakVO <sub>2</sub> EX: 17.2±3.9 C: 13.4±4.2 P=.03 Ex time EX: 7.98±2.80 C: 5.22±2.7 P=.007	Jadad score =1 Strengths - 12 mo follow-up - Home telemonitoring used to monitor safety while exercising at home
	Male: EX=96.1% C= 84.6%	ROM exercises both in hospital and at home up to 3 mo; n=26.	- NHP-LM	METs EX:5.47±1.76	Limitations - No aerobic exercise training provided

Age 62±9.3y			C:4.13±1.80	
EX: 60+8.5y	C: Hospital			
C: 65.1+8.2y	rehabilitation (3 wk),		P=.41	
	but no training		6MWD	
CRT device: CRT-D	program after	Depression	EX=460+99	
	discharge (n=26)	Beck Depression	C=435+107	
Disease:		Inventory	P=NR	
Ischemic		2		
cardiomyopathy		12 mo:	QOL	
EX=42.6%		Same as 4	NHP-EL	
C=50%		mo	EX: 1+0.8	
			C:1.2+1.0	
LVEF% (mean ±		Echocardiogram - Left ventricular	P=.43	
SD) EX: 25.3±7.4 C:24.9±7.2		- Left ventricular end-diastolic	NHP-LM	
0.24.9±1.2		dimension-LVDD	EX:1.5±1.2	
Dropout rates:		- Left ventricular	C:2.3±1.4	
NR		end systolic	P=.03	
		dimension-LVSD	1 =.00	
		- LVEF	Depression	
			BDI	
			EX: 10.3±6.9	
			C: 12.0±7.3	
			P=.41	
			12 mo:	
			Exercise capacity	
			PeakVO <sub>2</sub>	
			EX: 13.1±4.1	
			C:14.2±3.1	
			P=.94	
			Time	
			EX: 7.34±3.07	
			C:5.42±3.09	
			P=.38	

METs EX: 5.74±2.22 C: 4.62±2.38 P=.61
6MWD EX: 466+113 C: 456+108 P=NS
QOL NHP-EL EX: 0.9+0.8 C:1.2+0.9 P=.14
NHP-LM
EX:1.2±1.1
C:2.0±1.5
P=.03
Depression BDI EX: 8.3±5.7 C: 11.9±5.9 P=.12
Echocardiogram LVDD EX: 6.53±0.97 C:6.41±1.07
P=.70
LVSD EX: 4.48±1.28

				C: 4.78±1.15 P=.47 LVEF EX:28.9±9.1 C: 31.7 ±10.6 P=.33 Adverse events NR	
Zeitler et al	Design: prospective	EX: Supervised	3 mo:	3 mo:	Level of Evidence: II
(2015) <sup>50</sup>	RCT	cardiac rehab 18	Exercise	Exercise Capacity	
	<b>Sample</b> (n=1118)	sessions 40 min 5x per wk, at 60% to	Capacity Exercise time	Peak VO <sub>2</sub> ICD	Jadad score = 3
	Outpatients with HF	70% of heart rate	(min)	EX:15.1	Strengths
	and LVEF ≤35%.	reserve, followed by	Peak VO <sub>2</sub>	C: 14.5	- Largest HF exercise
	NYHA 2-4, with	home exercise 5x/	(mL/kg/min)		trial completed to date
	implanted ICD or	wk 40 min 60-70%		CRT-D	
	CRT device	of HRR for 9 mo;	QOL	EX:14.9	Limitations
	Comparison group = no device (n=1200)	n=1149	KCCQ	C:13.9	- Not blinded to collection of outcome
			Pro BNP	No device	data
	CRT Device:	C: No restricted		EX= 15.9	- Complete data not
	- ICD: single and	activity	Adverse Events:	C=15.6	reported, no mean±SD
	dual-chamber ICD (n=683)	n=1160	All cause mortality	P=NS	data, no P values. - Considerable missing
	-Biventricular		Composite of CV	Exercise time	data
	lead: CRT-D		death or CV	ICD	
	(n=435)		hospitalization	EX: 10.8	
	Gender: n(%) Female 430(35%)		Composite of CV	C: 9.8	
	RV=137 (20%)		heath or HF	CRT-D	
	CRT-D 94 (22%)		hospitalization	EX: 10.6	
	Age: 58y			C:9.3	
	ICD = 61y			No device	

CRT-D=61 y	EX 11.6
	C=10.5
Ethnicity	P=NS
AA 483 (40%)	
ICD 173(20%)	KCCQ total
CRT-D 93(22%)	RV lead
01(1 D 00(2270)	EX: 73
Diagnosis	C: 72
Ischemic	0.72
cardiomyopathy	CRT-D
n=512 (42%)	EX:72
ICD 456( 67%)	C:71
CRT-D 229 (53%)	
	No device
LVEF 25 <u>+</u> 21.31	EX=69
ICD 24%	C=70
CRT-D 23%	P=NS
Dropout rate:	Pro-BNP
No device 19.9%	RV lead
ICD: 15.1%	EX: 998.2
CRT-D: 17.1%	C: 959.8
	CRT-D
	EX: 1197
	C:881.5
	No device
	EX=480.8
	C=558
	P=NS
	P=N0
	Difference among
	device groups:
	All cause mortality
	P=.33

All cause death or hospitalization P=.06
CV death or CV hospitalization P=.19 Hospitalization rates Higher for those with devices vs no device, 26% vs 15%. Adverse events NR

## Final List: Pacemaker

Total: 5 Studies

Greco EM, Guardini S, Citelli L. Cardiac rehabilitation in patients with rate responsive pacemakers. *PACE-Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 1998;21(3):568-575.

Conraads VM, Vanderheyden M, Paelinck B, et al. The effect of endurance training on exercise capacity following cardiac resynchronization therapy in chronic heart failure patients: a pilot trial. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* 2007;14(1):99-106.

Patwala AY, Woods PR, Sharp L, Goldspink DF, Tan LB, Wright DJ. Maximizing patient benefit from cardiac resynchronization therapy with the addition of structured exercise training: a randomized controlled study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2009;53(25):2332-2339.

Smolis-Bąk E, Dąbrowski R, Piotrowicz E, et al. Hospital-based and telemonitoring guided home-based training programs: effects on exercise tolerance and quality of life in patients with heart failure (NYHA class III) and cardiac resynchronization therapy. A randomized, prospective observation. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;199:442-447.

Zeitler EP, Piccini JP, Hellkamp AS, et al. Exercise training and pacing status in patients with heart failure: results from HF-ACTION. *J Card Fail.* 2015;21(1):60-67.

Table abbreviations: AV, atrioventricular; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; C, control group; CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise test; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; CRT-D, cardiac resynchronization-defibrillator; CRT-P, cardiac resynchronization-pacemaker; CRT+, with cardiac resynchronization therapy; CRT-, no cardiac resynchronation therapy; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; CV, cardiovascular; EF, ejection fraction; EX, exercise intervention; f/u, follow-up; HF, heart failure; HF+, with heart failure; HF-, no heart failure; HR, heart rate; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; KCCQ, Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; METs, metaboloic equivalents; MLHFQ, Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire; NHP-EL, Nottingham Health Profile-Energy Level; NHP-LM, Nottingham Health Profile-Limited Mobility; NYHA, New York Heart Association; NR, not reported; QOL, quality of life; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RV, right ventricle; SD, standard deviation; VO<sub>2</sub>, oxygen uptake; 6MWD, 6-minute walk distance.