SUPPLEMENTAL DIGITAL CONTENT

Anal human papillomavirus prevalence among vaccinated and unvaccinated gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men in Canada

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

- 31. Avery L, Rotondi N, McKnight C, et al. Unweighted regression models perform better than weighted regression techniques for respondent-driven sampling data: results from a simulation study. BMC Med Res Methodol 2019;19(1):202.
- Greenland S, Pearl J, Robins JM. Causal diagrams for epidemiologic research. Epidemiology 1999;10(1):37-48.
- Hernan MA, Hernandez-Diaz S, Werler MM, et al. Causal knowledge as a prerequisite for confounding evaluation: an application to birth defects epidemiology. Am J Epidemiol 2002;155(2):176-84.
- Greenland S, Pearce N. Statistical foundations for model-based adjustments. Annu Rev Public Health 2015;36:89-108.
- 35. Weng HY, Hsueh YH, Messam LL, et al. Methods of covariate selection: directed acyclic graphs and the change-in-estimate procedure. Am J Epidemiol 2009;169(10):1182-90.
- 36. Nyitray AG, Carvalho da Silva RJ, Baggio ML, et al. Age-specific prevalence of and risk factors for anal human papillomavirus (HPV) among men who have sex with women and men who have sex with men: the HPV in men (HIM) study. J Infect Dis 2011;203(1):49-57.
- 37. Gilbert M, Kwag M, Mei W, et al. Feasibility of incorporating self-collected rectal swabs into a community venue-based survey to measure the prevalence of HPV infection in men who have sex with men. Sex Transm Dis 2011;38(10):964-9.
- Glick SN, Feng Q, Popov V, et al. High rates of incident and prevalent anal human papillomavirus infection among young men who have sex with men. J Infect Dis 2014;209(3):369-76.

- 39. Nyitray AG, Fujimoto K, Zhao J, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for anal human papillomavirus infection in a sample of young, predominantly black men who have sex with men, Houston, Texas. J Infect Dis 2018;217(5):777-84.
- King EM, Gilson R, Beddows S, et al. Human papillomavirus DNA in men who have sex with men: type-specific prevalence, risk factors and implications for vaccination strategies. Br J Cancer 2015;112(9):1585-93.
- Meites E, Gorbach PM, Gratzer B, et al. Monitoring for human papillomavirus vaccine impact among gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men-United States, 2012-2014. J Infect Dis 2016;214(5):689-96.
- 42. Chow EPF, Danielewski JA, Murray GL, et al. Anal human papillomavirus infections in young unvaccinated men who have sex with men attending a sexual health clinic for HPV vaccination in Melbourne, Australia. Vaccine 2019;37(43):6271-5.
- 43. Mboumba Bouassa RS, Belec L, Gubavu C, et al. High prevalence of anal and oral highrisk human papillomavirus in human immunodeficiency virus-uninfected French men who have sex with men and use preexposure prophylaxis. Open Forum Infect Dis 2019;6(9):ofz291.
- 44. Cameron RL, Cuschieri K, Pollock KGJ. Baseline HPV prevalence in rectal swabs from men attending a sexual health clinic in Scotland: assessing the potential impact of a selective HPV vaccination programme for men who have sex with men. Sex Transm Infect 2020;96(1):55-7.
- 45. Garland SM, Kjaer SK, Munoz N, et al. Impact and effectiveness of the quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine: a systematic review of 10 years of real-world experience. Clin Infect Dis 2016;63(4):519-27.

- 46. Tabrizi SN, Brotherton JM, Kaldor JM, et al. Assessment of herd immunity and crossprotection after a human papillomavirus vaccination programme in Australia: a repeat cross-sectional study. Lancet Infect Dis 2014;14(10):958-66.
- 47. Chow EP, Danielewski JA, Fehler G, et al. Human papillomavirus in young women with Chlamydia trachomatis infection 7 years after the Australian human papillomavirus vaccination programme: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Infect Dis 2015;15(11):1314-23.
- Oliver SE, Unger ER, Lewis R, et al. Prevalence of human papillomavirus among females after vaccine introduction-National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, United States, 2003-2014. J Infect Dis 2017;216(5):594-603.
- Liu Z, Nyitray AG, Hwang LY, et al. Acquisition, persistence, and clearance of human papillomavirus infection among male virgins residing in Brazil, Mexico, and the United States. J Infect Dis 2018;217(5):767-776.
- Moreira ED, Giuliano AR, Palefsky J, et al. Incidence, clearance, and disease progression of genital human papillomavirus infection in heterosexual men. J Infect Dis 2014;210(2):192-9.
- 51. Nyitray AG, Carvalho da Silva RJ, Chang M, et al. Incidence, duration, persistence, and factors associated with high-risk anal human papillomavirus persistence among HIV-negative men who have sex with men: a multinational study. Clin Infect Dis 2016;62(11):1367-74.
- 52. Giuliano AR, Nyitray AG, Kreimer AR, et al. EUROGIN 2014 roadmap: differences in human papillomavirus infection natural history, transmission and human papillomavirusrelated cancer incidence by gender and anatomic site of infection. Int J Cancer 2015;136(12):2752-60.

- 53. Einstein MH, Takacs P, Chatterjee A, et al. Comparison of long-term immunogenicity and safety of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine and HPV-6/11/16/18 vaccine in healthy women aged 18-45 years: end-of-study analysis of a Phase III randomized trial. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2014;10(12):3435-45.
- Guevara A, Cabello R, Woelber L, et al. Antibody persistence and evidence of immune memory at 5 years following administration of the 9-valent HPV vaccine. Vaccine 2017;35(37):5050-57.
- 55. Villa LL, Costa RL, Petta CA, et al. High sustained efficacy of a prophylactic quadrivalent human papillomavirus types 6/11/16/18 L1 virus-like particle vaccine through 5 years of follow-up. Br J Cancer 2006;95(11):1459-66.
- Rolnick SJ, Parker ED, Nordin JD, et al. Self-report compared to electronic medical record across eight adult vaccines: do results vary by demographic factors? Vaccine 2013;31(37):3928-35.
- 57. Grace D, Gaspar M, Rosenes R, et al. Economic barriers, evidentiary gaps, and ethical conundrums: a qualitative study of physicians' challenges recommending HPV vaccination to older gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men. Int J Equity Health 2019;18(1):159.
- Grace D, Gaspar M, Paquette R, et al. HIV-positive gay men's knowledge and perceptions of Human Papillomavirus (HPV) and HPV vaccination: A qualitative study. PLoS One 2018;13(11):e0207953.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

	Overall	Montreal	Toronto	Vancouver	
Engage Cohort Study					
Recruitment start date	Feb-2017	Feb-2017	May-2017	Feb-2017	
Recruitment end date	Aug-2019	Jun-2018	Aug-2019	Jul-2019	
Final number at enrollment	2,449	1,179	517	753	
Number of seeds	240	27	96	117	
Number of coupons distributed	14,324	6,822	3,078	4,424	
Number of seeds recruiting ≥ 1 person, n (%)	145 (60.4)	21 (77.8)	53 (55.2)	71 (60.7)	
Number of recruitment waves, median (range) ^a	4 (1-17)	7 (1-17)	3 (1-12)	3 (1-10)	
Cluster size, median (range) ^b	5 (2-413)	11 (2-413)	3 (2-78)	5 (2-92)	
Nested HPV prevalence study					
Eligible for HPV sub-study ^c	1,003	435	249	319	
Provided anal specimen for HPV genotyping, n (%)	847 (84.4)	392 (90.1)	215 (86.3)	240 (75.2)	
Valid anal specimen for HPV genotyping, n (%) ^d	645 (76.2)	270 (68.9)	171 (79.5)	204 (85.0)	
Self-reported social network size, median (range) ^e	30 (1-150)	25.5 (2-150)	30 (1-150)	30 (1-150)	
RDS-II weights, median (IQR) ^f	0.53 (0.28-1.06)	0.62 (0.32-1.06)	0.48 (0.22-0.96)	0.47 (0.23-1.40)	

Table S1. RDS recruitment characteristics by city, Engage Cohort Study, 2017-2019

HPV=human papillomavirus; IQR=interquartile range; RDS=respondent-driven sampling

^a Excluding seeds.

^b Among clusters with ≥ 2 participants.

^c Based on age 16-30 years at enrollment.

^d Defined as specimens with detection of either human β -globin or HPV DNA.

^e Based on response to: "How many men who have sex with men aged 16 years or older, including trans men, do you know who live or work in the [city] metropolitan area (whether they identify as gay or otherwise)? This includes gay/bi guys you see or speak to regularly, e.g. close friends, boyfriends, spouses, regular sex partners, roommates, relatives, people you regularly hang out with, etc." Values truncated at a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 150. ^f RDS-II weights are inversely proportional to self-reported RDS network size within each city; RDS-II weights sum to total number of participants in each city with a mean of 1.00.

	Overall, weighted % ^a			Unvaccinated, weighted % ^a			Vaccinated, weighted % ^a					
	MTL (N=270)	TOR (N=171)	VAN (N=204)	p-value	MTL (N=163)	TOR (N=95)	VAN (N=105)	p-value	MTL (N=87)	TOR (N=68)	VAN (N=90)	p-value
≥1 HPV type	72.9	63.3	61.2	0.312	71.9	54.3	58.7	0.244	76.3	82.3	64.5	0.200
≥1 4vHPV type	27.3	27.3	21.2	0.636	25.1	27.8	27.0	0.957	30.2	19.2	8.9	0.049
HPV-6	7.8	11.6	6.0	0.438	7.6	15.0	6.2	0.333	7.8	5.8	3.9	0.611
HPV-11	9.1	12.0	4.2	0.294	5.6	7.6	6.1	0.900	13.9	11.4	0.7	0.134
HPV-16	13.6	6.0	11.8	0.301	11.2	8.3	16.0	0.559	15.6	3.5	4.0	0.025
HPV-18	7.4	1.9	2.9	0.071	3.7	1.0	3.6	0.453	18.2	1.7	1.8	< 0.001
≥1 additional 9vHPV type	9.0	23.8	12.5	0.014	9.7	19.4	13.6	0.294	5.6	23.3	11.5	0.047
HPV-31	2.3	4.5	3.7	0.698	2.4	6.6	4.5	0.546	0.3	2.0	2.4	0.404
HPV-33	0.6	5.9	1.6	0.011	0.4	2.8	1.0	0.176	0.8	0.0	3.1	-
HPV-45	1.3	11.1	2.6	< 0.001	0.8	4.5	3.4	0.173	1.9	10.5	1.2	0.011
HPV-52	3.5	6.6	3.8	0.603	4.7	3.4	3.2	0.817	1.4	1.5	5.7	0.058
HPV-58	2.2	11.5	2.4	0.001	2.7	7.7	1.7	0.159	1.3	9.5	4.2	0.180
≥1 9vHPV type	33.8	43.5	29.8	0.271	31.2	42.2	37.0	0.583	35.4	41.3	15.7	0.056
≥1 non-9vHPV type	63.2	41.8	46.7	0.017	61.3	39.3	40.1	0.043	68.4	57.2	59.1	0.619

Table S2. RDS-weighted anal HPV prevalence by city and HPV vaccination status among gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men aged 16-30 years, Engage Cohort Study, 2017-2019

MTL=Montreal; TOR=Toronto; VAN=Vancouver

4vHPV types include HPV-6/11/16/18; 9vHPV types include HPV-6/11/16/18/31/33/45/52/58.

^a Prevalence estimates weighted using RDS-II weights to account for the RDS recruitment approach.

		Anal HPV	prevalence				
Model ^a	Unvaccinated		Vacci	inated			
Wodel	Weighted Weighted n/N ^c % ^c		Weighted Weighted n/N ^c % ^c		Unadjusted PR (95% CI)	Adjusted ^b PR (95% CI)	
4vHPV types							
Overall $(\geq 1 \text{ dose})^d$	111/404	27.5	37/186	19.8	0.72 (0.41-1.25)	0.61 (0.36-1.02)	
Number of doses						, , ,	
≥2 doses ^e	110/401	27.5	31/132	23.3	0.85 (0.47-1.54)	0.68 (0.38-1.20)	
3 doses ^f	108/394	27.5	18/91	19.6	0.71 (0.40-1.28)	0.59 (0.31-1.12)	
Time since vaccination							
>1 year before enrollment	105/382	27.5	22/88	24.4	0.89 (0.44-1.81)	0.67 (0.35-1.27)	
>2 years before enrollment	103/375	27.5	2/35	5.9	0.21 (0.09-0.53)	0.15 (0.06-0.40)	
Age at first dose							
≤23 years	111/403	27.5	18/96	18.9	0.69 (0.30-1.61)	0.54 (0.26-1.11)	
>23 years	111/403	27.5	16/81	20.2	0.73 (0.39-1.38)	0.66 (0.33-1.29)	
9vHPV types							
Overall $(\geq 1 \text{ dose})^d$	147/404	36.4	55/186	29.7	0.82 (0.53-1.25)	0.63 (0.43-0.93)	
Number of doses							
≥2 doses ^e	146/401	36.4	47/132	36.0	0.99 (0.64-1.53)	0.72 (0.48-1.08)	
3 doses ^f	143/394	36.4	27/91	29.9	0.82 (0.51-1.34)	0.59 (0.37-0.93)	
Time since vaccination							
>1 year before enrollment	139/382	36.3	33/88	37.6	1.04 (0.63-1.72)	0.71 (0.45-1.11)	
>2 years before enrollment	136/375	36.4	4/35	10.4	0.29 (0.14-0.58)	0.19 (0.09-0.40)	
Age at first dose							
≤23 years	146/403	36.4	27/96	28.1	0.77 (0.42-1.43)	0.61 (0.35-1.04)	
>23 years	146/403	36.4	26/81	31.9	0.88 (0.52-1.49)	0.64 (0.39-1.03)	

Table S3. RDS-weighted prevalence ratios for anal HPV infection with vaccine-preventable types comparing vaccinated to unvaccinated gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men aged 16-30 years, Engage Cohort Study, 2017-2019

CI=confidence interval; PR=prevalence ratio; RDS=respondent-driven sampling

4vHPV types include HPV-6/11/16/18; 9vHPV types include HPV-6/11/16/18/31/33/45/52/58.

^a Analyses restricted to 590 (97.0%) participants who had complete data for all covariates.

^b Analyses adjusted for age group, city, education, lifetime smoking history, lifetime history of STIs (excluding HIV and anogenital warts), and number of condomless receptive anal sex encounters in the past 6 months.

^c Prevalence estimates weighted using RDS-II weights to account for the RDS recruitment approach.

^d HPV vaccination status defined as self-reported receipt of ≥ 1 dose or unknown number of doses (vs. 0 doses).

^e HPV vaccination status defined as self-reported receipt of ≥ 2 doses (vs. 0 doses); excludes participants who self-reported 1 dose or had an unknown number of doses.

^f HPV vaccination status defined as self-reported receipt of 3 doses (vs. 0 doses); excludes participants who self-reported 1 or 2 doses or had an unknown number of doses.