Supplementary Table 1: Associations Between Body Fat Measurements and Log_{10} Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) in Women with HIV Infection (n = 226) with Inclusion of CD4 Cell Count as a Covariate

| Body Fat Predictor Variable(s): | | VAT only | | | SAT only | | | VAT and SAT Together | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|----------------------|---------|--|
| variable(e). | Beta | 95% CI | P value | Beta | 95% CI | P value | Beta | 95% CI | P value | |
| Covariate: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age (per 5 | -0.017 | -0.069, 0.035 | 0.52 | 0.007 | -0.044, 0.058 | 0.78 | -0.018 | -0.070, 0.033 | 0.48 | |
| years) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Race: | 0.012 | -0.18, 0.20 | 0.90 | 0.071 | -0.12, 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.011 | -0.18, 0.20 | 0.90 | |
| White vs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black | | | | | | | | | | |
| Race: | -0.15 | -0.034, 0.028 | 0.097 | -0.14 | -0.32, 0.050 | 0.15 | -0.15 | -0.34, 0.027 | 0.094 | |
| Other vs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black | | | | | | | | | | |
| WIHS site: | 0.042 | -0.11, 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.069 | -0.09, 0.23 | 0.39 | 0.071 | -0.083, 0.22 | 0.36 | |
| San | | | | | | | | | | |
| Francisco vs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bronx | | | | | | | | | | |
| WIHS site: | -0.10 | -0.28, 0.077 | 0.26 | -0.063 | -0.25, 0.12 | 0.50 | 0.077 | -0.26, 0.10 | 0.40 | |
| Chicago vs | | | | | | | | | | |

Supplementary Table 1: Associations Between Body Fat Measurements and Log_{10} Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) in Women with HIV Infection (n = 226) with Inclusion of CD4 Cell Count as a Covariate

| Bronx | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|
| Post- | 0.12 | -0.054, 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.14 | -0.046, 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.13 | -0.049, 0.31 | 0.16 |
| menopausal | | | | | | | | | |
| Smoking: | -0.19 | -0.40, 0.027 | 0.085 | -0.19 | -0.41, 0.031 | 0.091 | -0.19 | -0.40, 0.028 | 0.088 |
| Former vs | | | | | | | | | |
| Never | | | | | | | | | |
| Smoking: | -0.062 | -0.24, 0.12 | 0.50 | -0.091 | -0.27, 0.093 | 0.33 | -0.062 | -0.24, 0.12 | 0.50 |
| Current vs | | | | | | | | | |
| Never | | | | | | | | | |
| HCV | 0.12 | -0.030, 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.10 | -0.046, 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.13 | -0.020, 0.28 | 0.089 |
| seropositive | | | | | | | | | |
| CD4 cell | 0.034 | 0.010, 0.058 | 0.0060 | 0.041 | 0.017, 0.065 | 0.0010 | 0.034 | 0.010, 0.058 | 0.0059 |
| count (per | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | | | | | | | | | |
| cells/mm³) | | | | | | | | | |
| VAT mass | 0.33 | 0.14, 0.52 | 0.0006 | | | | 0.34 | 0.16, 0.53 | 0.0004 |
| (per kg) | | | | | | | | | |
| SAT mass | | | | -0.10 | -0.25, 0.046 | 0.18 | -0.12 | -0.26, 0.021 | 0.095 |

Supplementary Table 1: Associations Between Body Fat Measurements and Log_{10} Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) in Women with HIV Infection (n = 226) with Inclusion of CD4 Cell Count as a Covariate

| (per kg) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|--------------|------|------|-------------|--------|------|-------------|-------|--|--|
| BMI (per 5 | 0.057 | -0.015, 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.092, 0.31 | 0.0003 | 0.13 | 0.019, 0.24 | 0.023 | | |
| kg/m²) | | | | | | | | | | | |

The table depicts three separate multiple linear regression models each with log HOMA-IR as the outcome variable: from left to right, the first model includes VAT as the only body fat measure, the second includes SAT as the only body fat measure, and the last includes both VAT and SAT together. Each covariate is adjusted for all other covariates in the same model.

Supplementary Table 2: Associations Between Body Fat Measurements and Log_{10} Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) in Women without HIV Infection (n = 100) with Inclusion of CD4 Cell Count as a Covariate

| Body Fat Predictor Variable(s): | | VAT only | | | SAT only | | | VAT and SAT Together | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|----------------------|---------|--|
| variable(3). | Beta | 95% CI | P value | Beta | 95% CI | P value | Beta | 95% CI | P value | |
| Covariate: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age (per 5 | 0.013 | -0.041, 0.067 | 0.64 | 0.039 | -0.011, 0.089 | 0.13 | 0.014 | -0.041, 0.069 | 0.61 | |
| years) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Race: | -0.039 | -0.23, 0.15 | 0.69 | -0.021 | -0.22, 0.18 | 0.84 | -0.053 | -0.26, 0.15 | 0.60 | |
| White vs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black | | | | | | | | | | |
| Race: | 0.16 | -0.067, 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.18 | -0.063. 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.14 | -0.090, 0.38 | 0.22 | |
| Other vs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black | | | | | | | | | | |
| WIHS site: | 0.12 | -0.063, 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.099 | -0.098, 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.10 | -0.090, 0.30 | 0.28 | |
| San | | | | | | | | | | |
| Francisco vs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bronx | | | | | | | | | | |
| WIHS site: | 0.23 | 0.021, 0.44 | 0.031 | 0.20 | -0.027, 0.42 | 0.083 | 0.21 | -0.010, 0.43 | 0.061 | |
| Chicago vs | | | | | | | | | | |

Supplementary Table 2: Associations Between Body Fat Measurements and Log_{10} Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) in Women without HIV Infection (n = 100) with Inclusion of CD4 Cell Count as a Covariate

| Bronx | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|
| Post- | -0.15 | -0.61, 0.32 | 0.53 | -0.20 | -0.68, 0.27 | 0.39 | -0.16 | -0.29, 0.24 | 0.50 |
| menopausal | | | | | | | | | |
| Smoking: | -0.025 | -0.29, 0.24 | 0.85 | 0.021 | -0.24, 0.28 | 0.87 | -0.024 | -0.29, 0.24 | 0.86 |
| Former vs | | | | | | | | | |
| Never | | | | | | | | | |
| Smoking: | -0.042 | -0.25, 0.17 | 0.69 | -0.052 | -0.27, 0.16 | 0.63 | -0.047 | -0.26, 0.16 | 0.66 |
| Current vs | | | | | | | | | |
| Never | | | | | | | | | |
| HCV | 0.35 | 0.12, 0.58 | 0.0027 | 0.34 | 0.11, 0.57 | 0.0047 | 0.36 | 0.13, 0.58 | 0.0026 |
| seropositive | | | | | | | | | |
| CD4 cell | 0.028 | 0.004, 0.052 | 0.024 | 0.028 | 0.003, 0.052 | 0.029 | 0.028 | 0.004, 0.052 | 0.025 |
| count (per | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | | | | | | | | | |
| cells/mm³) | | | | | | | | | |
| VAT mass | 0.29 | | 0.025 | | | | 0.27 | | 0.038 |
| (per kg) | | 0.037, 0.54 | | | | | | 0.015, 0.53 | |

Supplementary Table 2: Associations Between Body Fat Measurements and Log₁₀ Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) in Women without HIV Infection (n = 100) with Inclusion of CD4 Cell Count as a Covariate

| SAT mass | | | | 0.078 | -0.084, 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.038 | -0.12, 0.20 | 0.65 |
|------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|--------------|------|-------|--------------|------|
| (per kg) | | | | | | | | | |
| BMI (per 5 | 0.083 | -0.010, 0.18 | 0.079 | 0.11 | -0.032, 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.058 | -0.084, 0.20 | 0.42 |
| kg/m²) | | | | | | | | | |

The table depicts three separate multiple linear regression models each with log HOMA-IR as the outcome variable: from left to right, the first model includes VAT as the only body fat measure, the second includes SAT as the only body fat measure, and the last includes both VAT and SAT together. Each covariate is adjusted for all other covariates in the same model.