Author(s):

Question: A higher frequency of short in-situ simulation events with structured debriefing compared to a lower frequency of short in-situ simulation events with structured debriefing for training interprofessional healthcare providers to improve clinician behaviors during patient care and/or patient outcomes?

Setting:

Bibliography:

| Certainty assessment | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|---|-----------|------------|
| № of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | Impact | Certainty | Importance |
| Mortality | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | observational studies | not serious | not serious | seriousª | not serious | | Note; Quasi-experimental design 220361 patients/patient events 15.2 % improvement in survival in higher dose in-situ group, p < 0.001 Odds ratio 0.62 (95% CI: 0.54–0.72); Note: high dose is 17 ISS per 100 beds per year low dose is 3.2 ISS per 100 beds /year IN-situ sim duration = 5 min Certainty should be low (upgraded based on large effect). Magnitude of effect: Large | - | CRITICAL |
| Resource Impact | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | - | |
| Cost Impact | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | - | |
| Adverse Emotional Impact | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | - | |
| Adverse Care Impact | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | - | |

CI: confidence interval

Explanations

a. Concern regarding relevance of doses, dose likely derived from convenience issues (i.e. incomplete penetrance of institutional intervention)