Table 2. Evidentiary Table 1997-2011

				Antibiotics/				Empyema	
Author(s)	Year	Title/Reference	Class	Placebo	n=	Duration	PNA (%)	(%)	Synopsis
Aguilar et al. ¹⁶	1997	Posttraumatic empyema. Risk factor analysis. Arch Surg. 1997;132:647-650.	III	No patients received presumptive antibiotics. 40 patients received antibiotics for other reasons at time of chest tube placement	40			4%	Retrospective evaluation of risk factors associated with the development of empyema. Predictive factors found were retained HTX, pulmonary contusion and multiple chest tube placements. Antibiotics were not associated with a reduced risk of developing empyema, however, no patient received antibiotics prophylactically to prevent empyema.
Mandal et al. ¹⁷	1997	Posttraumatic empyema thoracis: a 24-year experience at a major trauma center. J Trauma. 1997;43:764-771.	III	No pts received presumptive antibiotics	5,474			1.6%	Retrospective review of outcomes in patients who developed posttraumatic empyema. Prophylactic antibiotics were not given for chest tube placement alone, but for other indications: soft tissue chest wall shotgun injuries, emergent/urgent thoracotomy, pulmonary contusion with hemoptysis, associated long bone fractures and patients requiring exploratory laparotomy. They conclude that due to the low incidence of posttraumatic empyema, routine antibiotics prophylaxis is not warranted.
Gonzalez et al. 15	1998	Role of prophylactic antibiotics for tube thoracostomy in chest trauma. Am Surg. 1998;64:617-620; discussion 620-621.	I	Cefazolin 1gm IV q8h Albumin 1 gm IV q8h	71 68	Until chest tube removed Until chest tube removed	3%	3%	Prospective, randomized, double-blind study which enrolled a total of 139 patients (mostly penetrating). Study included only patients with ISS 9 or 10 (isolated chest injuries). Pre-procedural antibiotics reduced incidence of infectious complications. All patients were given antibiotics until chest tube removed. Authors conclude significant reduction in infectious complications, however this is based on combining both empyema and pneumonia.

Maxwell et al. 14	2004	Use of presumptive	Ī	Cefazolin	77	Abx until	6.5%	0%	Multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind
Maxwell et al.	200.	antibiotics following tube	-	1gm IV q8h		chest tube	0.070	0,0	study comparing cefazolin for the entire duration of tube
		thoracostomy for		8 1		removal			thoracostomy versus placebo. Use of presumptive
		traumatic hemo-							antibiotics did not reduce the incidence of empyema or
		pneumothorax in the			76	Abx for 24	8%	2.6%	pneumonia, however, the study was stopped early
		prevention of empyema				hours and			because of problems accruing patients, and thus,
		and pneumonia—a				then crossed			isunderpowered. Study found that groups receiving
		multicenter trial.				over to			antibiotics had higher rates of resistant organisms.
						placebo group			
		J Trauma. 2004;57:742-							
		748; discussion 748-749.							
						Placebo for			
						entire			
				Placebo	71	duration of	4%	5.6%	
						chest tube			
Eren et al. 18	2008	The risk factors and	III	No patients	2261	Unknown	Unknown	3.1%	Retrospective study of blunt and penetrating chest
		management of post-		received					trauma patients requiring chest tube. Duration of chest
		traumatic empyema in		presumptive					tube, ICU LOS, pulmonary contusion, retained
		trauma patients.		antibiotics					hemothorax, and exploratory laparotomy were
				unless					independent risk factors for post-traumatic empyema.
		Injury. 2008;39:44-49.		emergency					Use of prophylactic antibiotics may be recommended
				thoracotomy,					for patients with these risk factors.
				soft-tissue					
				destruction of					
				chest wall, or					
				associated					
				long bone					
				fractures.					