Appendix S1. The thirty baseline ethical principles, found in the WHO Guiding Principles, Declaration of Istanbul and Barcelona Principles, distilled and matched to the four underlying Framework Themes.

| WHO Guiding Principles on Human Cell, Tissue and Organ | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Transplantation (2010) | | | | |
| Framework Theme | | | | |
| Margin of Appreciation | | | | |
| Protection | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Protection | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 3. | Donation from deceased persons should be | Self-sufficiency |
|----|--|------------------------|
| | developed to its maximum therapeutic | Margin of Appreciation |
| | potential, but adult living persons may donate | Efficacy |
| | organs as permitted by domestic regulations. In | Protection |
| | general living donors should be genetically, | |
| | legally or emotionally related to their recipients. | |
| | | |
| | Live donations are acceptable when the | |
| | donor's informed and voluntary consent is | |
| | obtained, when professional care of donors is | |
| | ensured and follow-up is well organized, and | |
| | when selection criteria for donors are | |
| | scrupulously applied and monitored. Live | |
| | donors should be informed of the probable | |
| | risks, benefits and consequences of donation | |
| | in a complete and understandable fashion; they | |
| | should be legally competent and capable of | |
| | weighing the information; and they should be | |
| | acting willingly, free of any undue influence or | |
| | coercion. | |
| | | |
| 4. | No cells, tissues or organs should be removed from the body of a living minor for the purpose | Margin of Appreciation |
| | of transplantation other than narrow exceptions allowed under national law. Specific measures | Protection |
| | | |
| | | |

| | should be in place to protect the minor and, | |
|----|--|------------------------|
| | | |
| | wherever possible the minor's assent should | |
| | be obtained before donation. What is | |
| | applicable to minors also applies to any legally | |
| | incompetent person. | |
| | | |
| 5. | Cells, tissues and organs should only be | Margin of Appreciation |
| | donated freely, without any monetary payment | Protection |
| | or other reward of monetary value. Purchasing, | |
| | or offering to purchase, cells, tissues or organs | |
| | for transplantation, or their sale by living | |
| | persons or by the next of kin for deceased | |
| | persons, should be banned. | |
| | | |
| | The prohibition on sale or purchase of cells, | |
| | tissues and organs does not preclude | |
| | reimbursing reasonable and verifiable | |
| | expenses incurred by the donor, including loss of income, or paying the costs of recovering, processing, preserving and supplying human cells, tissues or organs for transplantation. | |
| | | |

| 6. Promotion of altruistic donation of human cells, | Self-sufficiency |
|---|------------------------|
| tissues or organs by means of advertisement | Margin of Appreciation |
| or public appeal may be undertaken in | Efficacy |
| accordance with domestic regulation. | Protection |

_

| Advertising the need for or availability of cells, | |
|--|------------------------|
| tissues or organs, with a view to offering or | |
| seeking payment to individuals for their cells, | |
| tissues or organs, or, to the next of kin, where | |
| the individual is deceased, should be | |
| prohibited. Brokering that involves payment to | |
| such individuals or to third parties should also | |
| be prohibited. | |
| | |
| 7. Physicians and other health professionals | Margin of Appreciation |
| should not engage in transplantation | Protection |
| procedures, and health insurers and other | |
| payers should not cover such procedures, if the | |
| cells, tissues or organs concerned have been | |
| obtained through exploitation or coercion of, or | |
| payment to, the donor or the next of kin of a | |
| deceased donor. | |
| | |
| | |

| 8. | All | health-care | facilities | and | professi | onals | Protection |
|----|--------------|---|------------|--------|----------|-------|------------|
| | invo | lved in cell, ti | ssue or or | gan [r | ecovery] | and | |
| | prof exce | splantation hibited from eeds the jus dered. | receiving | any | payment | | |

| 9. | The allocation of organs, cells and tissues | Margin of Appreciation |
|----|---|------------------------|
| | should be guided by clinical criteria and ethical | Efficacy |
| | norms, not financial or other considerations. | Protection |
| | Allocation rules, defined by appropriately | |
| | constituted committees, should be equitable, | |
| | externally justified, and transparent. | |
| | | |

| Efficacy |
|------------|
| Protection |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 11. The organization and execution of donation and transplantation activities, as well as their | Margin of Appreciation |
|--|------------------------|
| clinical results, must be transparent and open to scrutiny, while ensuring that the personal anonymity and privacy of donors and recipients are always protected. | Protection |

| Declaration of Istanbul on Organ Trafficking and Transplant Tourism | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--|--|--|
| (2018 Edition) | | | | |
| Statement | Framework Theme | | | |
| Governments should develop and implement ethically and clinically sound programs for the prevention and treatment of organ failure, consistent with meeting the overall healthcare needs of their populations. | Self-sufficiency | | | |
| Trafficking in human organs and trafficking in persons for the purpose of organ removal should be prohibited and criminalized. | Protection | | | |
| 3. Organ donation should be a financially neutral act. | Margin of Appreciation | | | |

| 4. Each country or jurisdiction should develop and | Margin of Appreciation |
|--|------------------------|
| implement legislation and regulations to govern | |
| the recovery of organs from deceased and | |
| living donors and the practice of | |
| transplantation, consistent with international | |
| standards. | |
| | |

| 5. Designated authorities in each jurisdiction | Margin of Appreciation |
|---|------------------------|
| should oversee and be accountable for organ | Efficacy |
| donation, allocation and transplantation | Protection |
| practices to ensure standardization, | |
| traceability, transparency, quality, safety, | |
| fairness and public trust. | |
| | |
| 6. All residents of a country should have equitable | Protection |
| access to donation and transplant services and | |
| to organs [recovered] from deceased donors. | |
| | |
| 7. Organs for transplantation should be equitably | Efficacy |
| allocated within countries or jurisdictions, in | Protection |
| conformity with objective, non-discriminatory, | |
| externally justified and transparent rules, | |
| guided by clinical criteria and ethical norms. | |
| | |
| | |

| 8. Health professionals and health care institutions | Protection |
|--|------------|
| should assist in preventing and addressing | |
| organ trafficking, trafficking in persons for the | |
| purpose of organ removal, and transplant | |
| tourism. | |
| | |
| | |

| The Barcelona Principles: An Agreement on the | e use of human donated | |
|--|------------------------|--|
| The Barcelona Principles: An Agreement on the use of human donated | | |
| | | |
| transplantation. | | |
| selfsufficiency in organ donation and | | |
| 10. Countries should strive to achieve | Self-sufficiency | |
| engaging in transplant tourism. | | |
| prevent the residents of their country from | | |
| implement strategies to discourage and | | |
| 9. Governments and health professionals should | Protection | |

| 2. Protect the integrity of the altruistic and | Self-sufficiency |
|--|------------------|
| voluntary donation and its utility as a public | Protection |
| resource for the shared benefit of all. | |
| | |
| 3. Support sight restoration and ocular health for | Self-sufficiency |
| recipients. | Efficacy |
| | |
| | |

| 4. Promote fair, equitable and transparent | Margin of Appreciation |
|---|------------------------|
| allocation mechanisms. | Protection |
| | |
| 5. Uphold the integrity of the custodian's profession | Self-sufficiency |
| in all jurisdictions. | Protection |
| | |
| | |
| 6. Develop high-quality services that promote | Self-sufficiency |
| ethical cell, tissue and organ management, | Efficacy |
| traceability, and utility. | |
| | |
| 7. Develop local/national self-sufficient services. | Self-sufficiency |
| | |
| 8. Recognise and address the potential ethical, | Protection |
| legal and clinical implications of cross-border | |
| | |
| activities. | |
| | |
| 9. Ensure ethical practice and governance of | Protection |
| research (non-therapeutic) requiring cells, | |
| tissues and organs. | |
| | |
| | |

Appendix S2. Baseline Ethical Principles domain participants and their affiliations.

| Name | Affiliation |
|----------------------|--|
| Dr. Dale Gardiner | NHS Blood and Transplant, London, United Kingdom |
| Andrew McGee | Australian Centre for Health Law Research, Faculty of Business and Law, QUT, Brisbane, Australia |
| Christi Simpson | Department of Bioethics, Dalhousie University (Primary appt); Australian Centre for Health Law Research (Adjunct appt); Canadian Blood Services (Bioethics Consultant), Halifax, Canada |
| Dr. Curie Ahn | National Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea |
| Carmen Carriere | Patient, Family, Donor Partner, Canadian Donation and Transplantation Research Program, Canada |
| Austin Kinsella | Patient, Family, Donor Partner, Canadian Donation and Transplantation Research Program, Canada |
| Dr. Sanjay Nagral | Jaslok Hospital & Research Centre, Mumbai, India |
| Dr. Matthew J. Weiss | Medical Director of Donation, Transplant Québec, Canada |

Appendix S3. A worked example using the framework questions to consider the ethical principles which need to be considered if implementing Opt-out legislation.

Self-sufficiency

Does the policy promote self-sufficiency?

1. Reduce organ failure and the need for transplantation?

No

2. Increase the number and quality of organs that are transplanted?

Yes, that's the hope. Increase donor numbers by changing the approach to consent (i.e., that consent is assumed unless the person has opted out).

Margin of Appreciation

Does the policy fall within an acceptable margin of appreciation?

1. Would this policy be accepted by any reasonable decision-maker with the

appropriate expertise and background knowledge to decide if the policy should

be accepted?

No. Considerable debate exists.

2. If the answer to the first question is No, is this a policy about which reasonable

decision-makers can reasonably disagree?

Yes, we can, and we do disagree, respectfully. Many jurisdictions have successfully and ethically introduced Opt-out legislation; many jurisdictions consider it would not be right for them. Within jurisdictions there are similar differences of opinion.

Efficacy

Will the policy be effective?

1. What is the evidence base for benefit(s) from the policy?

Worldwide, mixed evidence. This highlights why not every jurisdiction will seek to introduce.

2. What burdens or safety concerns does the policy have and to whom? Protection

of vulnerable populations, respecting autonomy, ensuring high awareness levels

of any law change.

3. How does this policy proposal compare?

Other options exist which may be prioritised instead. For example, strong firstperson consent, changing practices regarding family 'overrides,' and promotion campaigns.

4. What further areas of research and evaluation are required?

Obligation on all jurisdictions, especially those who implement Opt-out to evaluate and publish, thereby furthering the evidence base.

Protection

What protections are required to ensure:

1. Respect for people?

Age requirements, what tissues and organs are (or are not) included, role of family.

2. Respect for autonomy?

Ease of registering an opt-out. Ensuring high public awareness levels. Addressing what happens in situations where capacity cannot be established or has changed.

3. Equity, fairness and justice?

Meeting the needs of, accommodating, and respecting special populations; especially those within a jurisdiction who disagree with Optout.

4. Privacy and transparency?

The register of Opt-out data is both secure and able to be accessed in a timely way by authorized persons.

5. Professional probity?

Additional policies and training for healthcare professionals regarding changes in consent practices, addressing questions.